Conflict of Laws and Laws of Conflict in Europe and Beyond

Patterns of Supranational and Transnational Juridification
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present volume contains the proceedings from the RECON project (www.reconproject.eu) workshop on ’Conflict of Laws and Laws of Conflict in Europe and Beyond – Patterns of Supranational and Transnational Juridification’, which I organised and convened in September 2007 at the European University Institute in Florence. The event was held within the framework of RECON Work Package 9 – ’Global Transnationalisation and Democratisation Compared’.

I would like to thank John Erik Fossum and Christian Joerges as leaders of WP 9, Erik O. Eriksen as the overall scientific co-ordinator of RECON, and Geir Kvaerk and Marit Eldholm for their generous help and support, and all the contributors to this report and the participants in the discussions in Florence for their efforts. I also wish to express my gratitude to Marlies Becker (EUI) for her wonderful help and assistance with the organisation of the event, and to Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann, the Head of Law Department of the EUI, who supported this project from the beginning and gave us the opportunity to hold the workshop at the EUI.

As always, Chris Engert has done an excellent job as our language editor, and I wish to thank him for his patience and sensitive language editing.

Rainer Nickel
Johann Wolfgang Goethe University
CONTENTS

Acknowledgements ............................................................................. v
List of contributors ................................................................. xvii
Abbreviations ............................................................................... xxi

Introduction
Conflict of Laws and Laws of Conflict – An Introduction to the Research Agenda
Rainer Nickel .................................................................................. 1

1. The Need for a New Approach to Supranational and International Law-Making. 1
2. The Development of a Notion of Supranational Conflicts Law ............... 4
3. Mapping the Field: Conflict of Laws and Laws of Conflict .................. 7

PART I. DELIBERATIVE SUPRANATIONALISM – LAW AND DEMOCRACY IN THE POST-NATIONAL CONSTELLATION ......................... 11

Chapter 1. Habermas on Constitutional and Social Democracy in the European Union
John P. McCormick ........................................................................ 13

1. EU Democracy as a Solution to Global Problems ......................... 15
2. The History of the State as a Guide to the Present ....................... 17
3. Critical-Historical Limits of Habermas’ Theory of EU Democracy ...... 23
4. Conclusion ................................................................................. 30

Chapter 2. Justice or Democracy? Power and Justification in the EU and other International Organizations
Jürgen Neyer .................................................................................. 33

1. Beyond the Democratic Deficit .................................................. 33
2. Transnational Justice as a Right to Justification ......................... 35
3. Obstacles to Transnational Justice ............................................ 38
4. Supranationalism as a New Context for Justice .............................................. 40
   4.1. Transforming Bargaining into Legal Reasoning ......................................... 40
   4.2. Safeguarding Executive Responsiveness .................................................. 43
   4.3. Healing the Achilles’ Heel ..................................................................... 44
5. Multi-Level Legitimacy: Justice and Democracy .......................................... 46

Chapter 3. Can International Public Goods be Supplied without
Multilevel Constitutional Democracy and “Constitutional Justice”?
Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann ................................................................. 49

1. Introduction and Summary: From Realism to Multilevel Democratic
   Constitutionalism in International Law ...................................................... 49
2. Cosmopolitan Reasonability as a Requirement of UN Human
   Rights Law and European Law ............................................................ 55
3. Citizen-oriented Reasonability as a Requirement of
   Constitutional Justice in International Law .............................................. 57
4. International Courts as Guardians of Public Reason in Modern
   International Law .................................................................................. 59
5. Constitutional Pluralism: Three Different Kinds of Multilevel
   Judicial Protection of Citizen Rights in Europe ....................................... 62
   5.1. Multilevel Judicial Protection of EC Law has extended the
       Constitutional Rights of EC Citizens .................................................... 63
   5.2. Multilevel Judicial Enforcement of the ECHR: the Subsidiary
       “Constitutional Functions” of the ECtHR ........................................... 64
   5.3. The Diversity of Multilevel Judicial Governance in Free Trade
       Agreements (FTAs): the Example of the EFTA Court .......................... 69
   for Worldwide Economic and Human Rights Law? ................................ 72
   6.1. The “Solange method” of Judicial Co-operation among the German
       Constitutional Court and the EC Court in the Protection of
       Fundamental Rights ............................................................................ 75
   6.2. “Horizontal” Co-operation among the EC Courts, the EFTA Court
       and the ECtHR in Protecting Individual Rights in the EEA ................. 78
7. Conditional “Solange-co-operation” among International Trade and
   Environmental Courts Beyond Europe? ............................................... 81
   7.1. The OSPAR arbitral award of 2003 on the MOX Plant dispute............... 81
   7.2. The UNCLOS 2001 provisional measures and 2003 arbitral
       decision in the MOX Plant dispute ....................................................... 82
   7.3. The EC Court judgment of May 2006 in the MOX Plant Dispute .......... 82
   7.4. The 2004 IJzeren Rijn Arbitration between the Netherlands
       and Belgium .................................................................................... 83
   7.5. The “Solange method” as Reciprocal Respect for Constitutional Justice ... 83
8. Multilevel Judicial Protection of Constitutional Rights as Pre-condition
   for International Rule of Law and Democratic Supply of International
   Public Goods ....................................................................................... 85
Chapter 4. The European Union and "Otherness": Can The European Union Reinforce Global Justice? A View from International Law
Alicia Cebada Romero ................................................................. 91
1. Introductory Remarks .......................................................... 91
2. The European Union as an “Open” Community ......................... 93
3. The Ways in Which the EU, as an International Actor, Can Propel Transnational Justice Forward: The Characterisation of the EU as a “Smart” Civilian Power ......................................................... 96
4. The Subtle Influence of the European Union – the Pledge for Solidarity ................................................................. 100
  4.1. The External Side of the European Union’s Social Agenda ........ 103
  4.2 Examples of the Influence of the European Union: the Latin-American Case ................................................................. 106
5. The Problems of the European Union to Stick to its Civilian Power Paradigm ................................................................. 110
6. Concluding Remarks .............................................................. 112

Chapter 5. On "Europe’s American Dream"
John Erik Fossum ........................................................................ 113
1. Introduction ................................................................................ 113
2. The “Dream” ............................................................................. 116
  2.1. A New Beginning: The U.S as Polity Model ......................... 117
    2.1.1. Brief Description of the Phenomenon ............................ 117
    2.1.2. Justification for Why Europeans Would See This as Relevant to the EU ................................................................. 119
  2.2. The “City on the Hill” ............................................................ 120
    2.2.1. Description of the Phenomenon .................................... 120
    2.2.2. Justification for Why Europeans Would See This as Relevant to the EU ................................................................. 121
  2.3. The “Words of Power” ........................................................... 122
    2.3.1. Description of the Phenomenon .................................... 122
    2.3.2. Justification for Why Europeans Would See This as Relevant to the EU ................................................................. 123
  2.4. A Coherent Model? ............................................................... 124
3. The European Union ................................................................. 125
  3.1. Constitution-making European Style ..................................... 125
  3.2. Not the City for Europe ......................................................... 127
  3.3. The "Power of Words" ........................................................... 128
4. Canada – Closer to Europeans’ American Dream? ....................... 129
  4.1. From Counter-Revolution to Charter Revolution .................. 129
  4.2. Canada – EU: Towards Post-National Convergence? ............ 131
  4.3. Canada – also Propounds the “Power of Words” ................... 132
5. Concluding Reflections .............................................................. 133
Chapter 6. European Citizenship and the Disillusion of the Common Man
Michelle Everson

1. Introduction .................................................................................................................. 135
3. The End of Nation and History within European Citizenship .................................... 139
4. Union Citizenship Fallito (1): Nation and History Bite Back ................................. 143
5. Union Citizenship Fallito (2): Class Bites Back ....................................................... 146
   5.1. The Empirical Traces of Class Exclusion ....................................................... 146
   5.2. The Legal Consolidation of Class Exclusion .................................................... 150
6. The Responsibilities of Legal Method in European Law ........................................ 153

Chapter 7. About Deliberative Supranationalism, Comitology and other Heroes
Ellen Vos

1. “Comitology is Our Hero!” ...................................................................................... 155
2. DSN II, Comitology and Foodstuffs ......................................................................... 159
   2.1. Comitology as a Co-operative System ............................................................. 159
   2.2. The Food Sector .............................................................................................. 160
3. Concluding Remarks: Another Hero ....................................................................... 164

Chapter 8. The Significance of General Administrative Law for European Administrative Law
Karl-Heinz Ladeur

1. The Development of a "Europeanised General Administrative Law" ................. 167
   1.1. Learning Processes between General and Specific Administrative Law on the National Plane .................................................. 167
   1.2. Disruption through European Law? ............................................................... 170
2. Europeanised Administrative Law as a Consequence of the Pluralisation of the Public Interest ................................................................. 172
   2.1. Does the Principle of Effet Utile make the General Administrative Law a Quantité Négligeable? .................................................. 172
   2.2. The Standardisation of General Administrative Law without an “Ordering Principle”? ........................................................... 174
   2.3. The EC as an Association of States and the Need for a Novel Law of Conflicts .............................................................................. 175
   3.1. EC Law as Conflicts Law: Transnational Administrative Acts ................. 179
   3.2. A New Supervisory Law for the Delimitation of Divergences amongst the Association of States ......................................................... 180
   3.3. Mutual Learning inside a Pluralised Administrative Legal Order ............. 181
   3.4. Differentiation through the Europeanisation of Administrative Law. The Example of the Precautionary Principle .............................................. 183
Contents

   4.1. A Practical Example for a Productive Irritation of the German Administrative Law through European “Influence” ................. 184
   4.2. The Organisation of “Information Networks” as an “Ordering Idea” of a Europeanised Administrative Law ........................... 186
5. Synopsis ........................................................................................................... 187

Chapter 9. Formalisation or De-formalisation through Governance?
Poul F. Kjaer ................................................................. 189
   1. Introduction .................................................................................................. 189
   2. The Expansion of Knowledge .................................................................. 190
   3. The Evolution of European Science Co-operation .................................. 191
   4. The European Research Area ................................................................. 193
   5. The OMC in Research and Development ............................................. 195
   6. The Function of the OMC ................................................................. 197

PART II. TRANSNATIONAL REGULATION AND SOCIETAL CONSTITUTIONALISM:
CONFLICT OF LAWS OR LAWS OF CONFLICT? .................................. 201

Chapter 10. The Corporate Codes of Multinationals:
Company Constitutions Beyond Corporate Governance and Co-determination
Gunther Teubner ................................................................. 203
   1. Private Juridification: Corporate Codes as Law without the State .......... 205
   2. Civic Constitutionalisation: Elements of a Communal Constitution ........ 208
   3. International Judicialisation: Corporate Codes in Conflict with State Laws 210
   4. Regulatory Hybridisation: The Mixing of Private and Public Policy ..... 211
   5. Inter-organisational Co-operation: The Extension of the Corporate Codes into Production Networks ......................................................... 212

Chapter 11. Taking Constitutionalism Beyond the State
Neil Walker ................................................................. 215
   1. Introduction .................................................................................................. 215
   2. The Statist Legacy and the Problem of Definition .............................. 216
   3. The Frames of Transnational Constitutionalism .................................. 222
   4. The Five Frames Considered ................................................................. 224
   5. The Five Frames in Transnational Context ........................................ 230
   6. The Antinomies of Transnational Constitutionalism ...................... 233
Chapter 12. Transnational Borrowing Among Judges: Towards a Common Core of European and Global Constitutional Law?  
*Rainer Nickel* .......................... 239  
1. Introduction ........................................ 239  
2. The U.S. Sonderweg: Parochialism, or the Fight against ‘Juristocracy’? .... 242  
3. The New Senator Class in Action: Venice Commissions, Constitutional Court Conferences, and Global Constitutionalism Seminars. ............... 247  
3.1. The Council of Europe and its Venice Commission ................ 249  
3.2. Networking ........................................ 250  
3.3. Academic Support .................................. 252  
4. European Constitutionalism: From Borrowing and Lending to Hierarchisation? .................................................. 253  
5. Casework: The Practice of European Constitutionalism ................ 256  
5.1. The *Omega* Case (European Court of Justice) .................. 256  
5.2. The Case *Von Hannover v Germany* (European Court of Human Rights) .... 258  
6. Constitutional Conflicts Law .................................. 260

Chapter 13. Regime-Collisions, Proceduralised Conflict of Laws and the Unity of the Law: On the Form of Constitutionalism Beyond the State  
*Florian Rödl* ...................................... 263  
1. The “Conflict of Laws”Approach in Constitutionalism Beyond the State ........ 263  
2. Universalism versus Particularism in Private International Law Theory ...... 265  
2.1. Classical and Modern Universalism .......................... 265  
2.2. The Political Particularism Prevailing Today ....................... 268  
3. The Form of Conflict of Laws in Constitutionalism beyond the State ....... 268  
3.1. Fischer-Lescano’s and Teubner’s Law of Regime-Collisions ........ 268  
3.1.1. Epistemically Grounded Particularism ..................... 269  
3.1.2. The Reason to Submit Regimes to Conflict Rules .......... 271  
3.1.3. Really an Anti-Universalism? ................................ 272  
3.2. Joerges’ Proceduralised Law of Conflict of Laws .................. 274  
3.2.1. The Reason to Submit Legal Orders to Conflict Rules ....... 274  
3.2.2. A Plea against Judicial Dominance ......................... 275  
3.2.3. Underlying Teleological Universalism ....................... 276  
4. Conclusion ........................................... 277

Chapter 14. The Chameleon State. EU Law and the Blurring of the Private/Public Distinction in the Market  
*Miguel Poiares Maduro* ............................ 279  
1. The Concept of an Undertaking .................................. 280  
2. Article 10 and Competition Rules Granting Public form to Private Behaviour .......................... 284
### Contents

3. Golden Shares ................................................................. 287
4. Conclusion ................................................................. 290

**PART III. SOCIAL RIGHTS AND SOCIAL JUSTICE – CAN “THE SOCIAL” SURVIVE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION?** .............................. 293

Chapter 15. From *Effet Utile* to *Effet Neolibéral* A Critique of the New Methodological Expansionism of the European Court of Justice
*Christoph U. Schmid* ......................................................... 295

1. Introduction ................................................................. 295
2. Judicial Expansionism in the Elaboration of the European Economic Constitution ......................................................... 297
3. Recent Judicial Expansionism Translating into Neoliberal Results ................................................................. 299
   3.1. The Court’s Articulation of Fundamental Rights and Market Freedoms ................................................................. 300
      3.1.1. Freedom of Services Versus Trade Unions’ Right to Collective action: the Viking, Laval and Rüffert Cases ................................................................. 302
   3.2. The Excessive Application of the Pre-emption Doctrine in Secondary Law ................................................................. 307
      3.2.1. The Posted Workers Directive ................................................................. 308
   3.2.2. The Interpretation of the Posted Workers Directive in *Laval* and *Rüffert*. ................................................................. 310
      3.2.3. Methodological Critique ................................................................. 311
   3.3. Evaluation ................................................................. 312
4. A Plea for a New Constitutional Role of the EC in the European Multi-level System ................................................................. 313

Chapter 16. Public Service, Autonomy and Community Law
*Nina Boeger* ................................................................. 315

1. Introduction ................................................................. 315
2. Public Service, Law and Autonomy ................................................................. 317
3. Community Law and the Community “Compact” ................................................................. 320
4. Continental and Anglo-Saxon Public Service Traditions ................................................................. 322
5. Liberalisation and the Community Legislation ................................................................. 325
6. What Public Service Obligations Do and Do Not Do ................................................................. 327
7. Conclusion ................................................................. 333

Chapter 17. Services of General Economic Interest (SGEI) and Universal Service Obligations (USO) as an EU Law Framework for Curative Health Care
*Wolf Sauter* ................................................................. 335

1. Introduction ................................................................. 335
   1.1. Article 86(2) as an Exception to the Treaty Rules for Undertakings ................................................................. 335
   1.2. The Research Question in Detail ................................................................. 336

xiii
# Contents

1.3. Scope ............................................................... 337  
1.4. Structure ........................................................... 337  

2. The Legal Basis and Basic Purpose of Article 86(2) ........................................ 337  
2.1. Legal Basis .......................................................... 337  
2.1.1. Article 86 EC .................................................. 337  
2.1.2. Article 16 EC .................................................. 338  
2.1.3. Article 36 Charter on Fundamental Rights ........................................ 340  
2.2. The Role and Structure of Article 86 EC ........................................... 340  

3. The Debate on Services of General Economic Interest ........................................ 342  
3.1. Scope of the Debate ..................................................... 342  
3.2. Position on Services of General Economic Interest of the Main Actors ............. 344  
3.2.1. Commission ...................................................... 344  
3.2.2. Member States .................................................... 345  
3.2.3. The Court of Justice ............................................. 345  

4. Definition Issues ........................................................... 346  
4.1. Overlapping and Incomplete Definitions ........................................... 346  
4.1.1. Focus on Services of General Economic Interest and Universal Service Obligations ........................................... 347  
4.2. The Lack of a Definition of Services of General Economic Interest .................. 347  
4.2.1. Act of Entrustment .............................................. 349  
4.2.2. Existence Derived from Broader Legal Context .................................. 350  
4.3. The Definition of Universal Service ............................................. 350  

5. Services of General Economic Interest, Universal Service Obligations and Market Failure ................................................................. 353  
5.1. Examples of Universal Service Defined at EU Level .................................. 353  
5.1.1. Natural Gas ....................................................... 353  
5.1.2. Electricity ........................................................ 353  
5.1.3. Postal Services ................................................. 354  
5.1.4. Electronic Communications ....................................... 354  
5.2. Services of General Economic Interest in Relation to Universal Service Obligations ................................................................. 355  
5.2.1. Proportionality ................................................... 355  
5.2.2. Public Service Compensation ....................................... 356  
5.3. Services of General Economic Interest and Market Failure ........................... 357  

6. Scope of the Article 86(2) EC Exemption .................................................... 359  
6.1. The Concepts of Undertaking and Solidarity ......................................... 360  
6.1.1. Undertakings ...................................................... 360  
6.1.2. Solidarity ........................................................ 361  
6.1.3. Rule of Reason .................................................... 362  
6.2. EU Law Rules Affected by Article 86(2) EC ......................................... 362  
6.3. Proportionality and Pre-emption ...................................................... 363  
6.4. A Three Step Approach ................................................ 366  
6.4.1. Choice of Organisation ........................................... 367
7. Compensation for Public Service Obligations ................................. 368
   7.1. Four-Part Test .................................................. 368
   7.2. The Commission Notice and Decision .................................. 369
8. Particular Reasons for Researching Services of General Economic Interest
   in Hospital Care ...................................................... 370
9. Conclusion .............................................................. 373

PART IV. CONCLUSIONS .................................................... 375

Chapter 18. Integration Through Conflicts Law. On the Defence of
   the European Project by Means of Alternative Conceptualisation of Legal
   Constitutionalisation
Christian Joerges .......................................................... 377
1. Introduction .............................................................. 377
2. Structuring the Argument ............................................... 378
3. The Social Deficit within the Integration Project ......................... 379
   3.1. The De-Coupling of the Economic from the Social Constitution .... 379
   3.2. Europe as Agent of Reform ........................................ 381
4. The De-coupling of Economic and Social Constitutions as a Challenge
   to Law ........................................................................... 382
   4.1. The Internal Market as Agent of Inter-statal Redistribution ......... 383
   4.2. The De-Coupling of the Social from the Economic Constitution
        as a “Social” Integration Compromise .............................. 385
   4.3. The Project of the Constitutional Treaty .............................. 387
5. The Conflicts Law Alternative ................................................ 389
   5.1. European Law as Supranational Conflicts Law ......................... 389
   5.2. Exemplary Application ............................................... 392
      5.2.1. Viking and the Relationship between Economic and Labour Law.. 393
      5.2.2. Viking and the Strike as a Social Right .......................... 395
      5.2.3. Laval and the Limits to the Doctrine of Pre-emption ............. 395
      5.2.4. Rüffert and the Determination of the Purpose of National Laws . 398
   5.3. The ECJ as Pouvoir Constituant? ..................................... 399
6. Conclusion ........................................................................... 399
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Nina Boeger is a lecturer in law at the University of Bristol, UK. She is also a fully-qualified UK solicitor and German lawyer and holds an LL.M. from the European University Institute in Florence.
Nina.Boeger@bristol.ac.uk

Alicia Cebada Romero is Professor of Public International Law at the Universidad Carlos III in Madrid (Spain) and Director of the Crisis Management and Peacebuilding Programme at Toledo International Centre for Peace (CITpax). She teaches and writes on Public International Law and European Union Law, with a focus on the external action of the European Union as well as on peace studies and conflicts resolution.
aliciacebada@der-pu.uc3m.es

Michelle Everson, LLB (Exeter), Ph.D (EUI, Florence) is Professor of European Law. She has previously held posts as the Managing Editor of the European Law Journal at the European University Institute in Florence, as a lecturer in Law and Political Science at the University of Bremen and as a fellow at the Centre of European Law and Politics Bremen. Michelle Everson is also Director of the Birkbeck College Research School, co-ordinating the research environment for post-graduate students across all Schools at Birkbeck College. Currently, Michelle Everson also sits on the editorial boards of Law and Critique and the Journal for Socio-Legal Studies. She is also Vice-Chair of the Academic Board for Social Sciences of the Austrian Academy of Sciences.
m.everson@bbk.ac.uk

John Erik Fossum holds a Ph.D from the University of British Columbia, Canada and is Professor in Political Science at the ARENA Centre for European Studies at the University of Oslo, Norway. He is substitute co-ordinator for RECON and co-editor of the Routledge Series on Democratising Europe (with Erik Eriksen). He has published widely on democracy, federalism, constitutionalism, citizenship and identity in the European Union and Canada.
j.e.fossum@arena.uio.no

xvii
Christian Joerges held the Chair for European Economic Law at the European University Institute in Florence from 1997–2007. Since 2003 he directs together with Josef Falke the project “Trade Liberalisation and Social Regulation” at the Collaborative Research Centre Transformations of the State in Bremen, and since 2007 the project “Transnational Governance, Deliberative Supranationalism and Constitutionalism” within the Integrated Project Reconstituting Democracy in Europe (RECON), co-ordinated by ARENA-Centre for European Studies, Oslo. After his return to the University of Bremen in 2008 he became a Research Professor there, and Co-Director of the Bremen Centre of European Law and Politics.
cjoerges@Sfb597.uni-bremen.de

Poul F. Kjaer is Alexander Von Humboldt fellow at the Cluster of Excellence “The Formation of Normative Orders” at the Goethe-University, Frankfurt am Main. He holds a Ph.D in Law from the European University Institute, Florence, and a BA and MS in Political Science from University of Aarhus. He has also studied and researched at the Humboldt University, Berlin, the University of Bielefeld, the London School of Economics and Political Science, and the Centre for Law and Politics at the University of Bremen.
Poul.Kjaer@normativeorders.net

Karl-Heinz Ladeur is Professor (em) of Public Law, University of Hamburg. He is Distinguished Professor at the Bremen International Graduate School of Social Sciences (BIGSSS). From 1994–1996 and 1998–2002 he was also a professor of public law, including environmental law and telecommunications law, at the European University Institute, Florence.
karl-heinz.ladeur@uni-hamburg.de

Miguel Poiares Maduro has been Advocate General at the European Court of Justice and is currently Professor at the European University Institute in Florence/Italy. He is an external Professor at the Law School of the Universidade Nova de Lisboa, at the London School of Economics, and at the College of Europe. His book, We the Court – The European Court of Justice and the European Economic Constitution, (Oxford: Hart Publishing, 1997) has won the Rowe and Maw Prize and the Prize Obiettivo Europa. He belongs to the editorial or advisory board of several law journals, including the European Law Journal and the Common Market Law Review.
Miguel.Maduro@eui.eu

jpmccorm@uchicago.edu
Jürgen Neyer is Professor of European Politics at the European University Viadrina. He teaches and writes on aspects of the institutional order of the European Union, with a special focus on the legitimacy of the EU.
Neyer@euv-frankfurt-o.de

Rainer Nickel teaches European Law and Public Law at the Goethe Universität in Frankfurt am Main, where he obtained his Ph.D in Law. From 1998–2001, he served as a legal advisor at the German Federal Constitutional Court. He has been visiting professor and Schlesinger Scholar at Cornell Law School and visiting professor at the University of Florida Levin College of Law. From 2004–2006, he was a Marie Curie Fellow at the European University Institute in Florence.
nickel@jur.uni-frankfurt.de

Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann is Professor for international and European law at the European University Institute, Florence. He was previously professor at the University of Geneva (Switzerland) and its Graduate Institute for International Studies (1993–2001).
Ulrich.Petersmann@EUI.eu

Florian Rödl holds degrees in law and philosophy and received his Ph.D from the European University Institute in Florence. He was then a Research Assistant at the Centre of European Law and Politics in Bremen and is now the Head of an Independent Research Group at the Cluster of Excellence on “The Formation of Normative Orders”, Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main. The group’s focus is on “The change in Transnational Economic and Labour Law”.
roedl@normativeorders.net

Wolf Sauter is currently professor of healthcare regulation at the University of Tilburg and a senior member of TILEC (Tilburg Law and Economics Centre) as well as a competition expert at the Dutch Healthcare Authority. He obtained his Ph.D in law (with distinction) from the EUI under co-supervision by Christian Joerges in 1996, and, before moving to Tilburg, held academic posts at the Universities of Bremen and Groningen.
w.sauter@uvt.nl

Christoph U. Schmid has, since 2005, been Professor of European Private, Economic and Constitutional Economic Law, and successor to Christian Joerges and Gert Brueggemeier as Director of the Centre of European Law and Politics (ZERP) at Bremen University. He was post-doctoral research fellow and co-ordinator of the European Private Law Forum at the European University Institute (EUI) in Florence from 1995 to 2005. He holds a doctoral and a habilitation degree from Munich University and a Ph.D. from the EUI.
schmid@zerp.uni-bremen.de
Gunther Teubner is Professor (em.) of Private Law and Legal Sociology at the Goethe University Frankfurt/Main and Centennial Professor at the London School of Economics.
g.teubner@jur.uni-frankfurt.de

Ellen Vos is Professor of European Union Law at the Law Faculty of Maastricht University. She is a member of the Editorial Board of the Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law and of the Editorial Board of the Maastricht Working Papers on Law and the Ius Commune Book Series. She has published extensively in the field of EU Law, institutional law (comitology and agencies), market integration and risk regulation (precautionary principle). She holds a Ph.D degree in Law from the European University Institute in Florence.
e.vos@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Neil Walker has been the Regius Professor of Public Law and the Law of Nature and Nations at Edinburgh University since 2008. Before that, he was Professor of European Law at the European University Institute between 2000–8. His main area of research is the changing role of law in the post-national constellation.
neil.walker@ed.ac.uk
### ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Appellate Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Andean Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFN</td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>European Nuclear Research Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>CFSP</td>
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<td>CM</td>
<td>Community Method</td>
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<tr>
<td>CoE</td>
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<tr>
<td>CSD</td>
<td>UN Commission for Social Development</td>
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<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Constitutional Treaty</td>
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<tr>
<td>DG SANCO</td>
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<tr>
<td>DSB</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHR</td>
<td>European Convention of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECJ</td>
<td>European Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLAC</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Latin America and Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSC</td>
<td>European Coal and Steel Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>European Community Treaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECtHR</td>
<td>European Court of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>European Economic Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>European Economic Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSA</td>
<td>European Food Safety Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFTA</td>
<td>European Free Trade Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELDO</td>
<td>European Launch Development Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBL</td>
<td>European Molecular Biology Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBO</td>
<td>European Molecular Biology Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>European Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA</td>
<td>European Research Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>European Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>European Space Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESO</td>
<td>European Southern Observatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPRIT</td>
<td>European Strategic Programme for Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRF</td>
<td>European Synchrotron Radiation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRO</td>
<td>European Space Research Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETUC</td>
<td>European Trade Union Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI</td>
<td>European University Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURATOM</td>
<td>European Atomic Energy Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUREKA</td>
<td>Europe-wide Network for Industrial R&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROSTAT</td>
<td>Statistical Office of the European Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>German Federal Constitutional Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCEM</td>
<td>Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>Framework Programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSU</td>
<td>Finnish Seamen’s Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTAs</td>
<td>Free Trade Agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATS</td>
<td>General Agreement of Trade in Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATT</td>
<td>General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Genetically-Modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMOs</td>
<td>Genetically-Modified Organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSP</td>
<td>Generalised System of Preferences Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICANN</td>
<td>Internet Corporation of Assigned Names and Numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICJ</td>
<td>International Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDB</td>
<td>Inter-American Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL</td>
<td>Institut Laue-Langevin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO</td>
<td>International Labour Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>The Inclusion of the Other: Studies in Political Theory by J. Habermas, edited by C. Cronin &amp; P. de Greiff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITER</td>
<td>Treaty establishing the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITF</td>
<td>International Transport Workers’ Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITLOS</td>
<td>International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Latin-American Social (Regional) Cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Legitimation crisis by J. Habermas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERCOSUR</td>
<td><em>Mercado Común del Sur</em> – Southern Common Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Member States of EU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFTA</td>
<td>North American Free Trade Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATO</td>
<td>North Atlantic Treaty Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>New Left Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODIHR</td>
<td>OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMC</td>
<td>Open Method of Co-ordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSCE</td>
<td>Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSPAR</td>
<td>Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td><em>The Postnational Constellation: Political Essays by J. Habermas</em>, edited by M. Pensky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph.D</td>
<td>Doctor in Philosophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSE</td>
<td>European Socialist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMV</td>
<td>Qualified-majority voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE</td>
<td>R&amp;D in advanced communications technologies in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>Regulation on Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSC</td>
<td>Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>Surveillance Authority and a Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCF</td>
<td>Scientific Committee for Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>Single European Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGEI</td>
<td>Services of General Economic Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STPS</td>
<td>The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere: An Inquiry into a Category of Bourgeois Society by J. Habermas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>Theory of Communicative Action by J. Habermas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEU</td>
<td>Treaty of European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKG</td>
<td><em>Telekommunikationsgesetz</em> (German Telecommunications Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIPS</td>
<td>Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDHR</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCLOS</td>
<td>UN Convention on the Law of the Sea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USO</td>
<td>Universal Service Obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCLT</td>
<td>Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDI</td>
<td>Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (Association of German Engineers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VG</td>
<td>Verwaltungsgericht (Court of Administrative Affairs – 1st instance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIP</td>
<td>Very Important Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VwVfG</td>
<td>Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz (German Code of Administrative Procedure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPO</td>
<td>World Intellectual Property Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Trade Organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>