

THE RECAST OF THE
EUROPEAN WORKS COUNCIL
DIRECTIVE

Edited by
Filip DORSEMONT
Thomas BLANKE



intersentia

Antwerp – Oxford – Portland

Distribution for the UK:

Hart Publishing Ltd.
16C Worcester Place
Oxford OX1 2JW
UK
Tel.: +44 1865 51 75 30
Email: mail@hartpub.co.uk

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)
Tel.: +1 503 287 3093
Email: info@isbs.com

Distribution for Austria:

Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag
Argentinierstraße 42/6
1040 Wien
Austria
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24
Email: office@nvw.at

Distribution for other countries:

Intersentia Publishers
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50
Email: mail@intersentia.be

The Recast of the European Works Council Directive
Filip Dorsssemont and Thomas Blanke (eds.)

© 2010 Intersentia
Antwerp – Oxford – Portland
www.intersentia.com

ISBN 978-94-000-0075-9
D/2010/7849/61
NUR 825

etui.

The ETUI is financially supported by the European Community. The European Community is not responsible for any use made of the information contained in this publication.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

In Memory to Brian Bercusson

PREFACE

On 16 May 2009, EC Directive 2009/38 (Recast Directive) was published in the Official Journal. This directive is the outcome of a recasting procedure. With effect from 6 June 2011, EC Directive 94/45 on the establishment of a European works council or a procedure in Community-scale undertakings and Community-scale groups of undertakings for the purposes of informing and consulting employees (EWC Directive) will be repealed.

Nearly a decade has gone by between the date which had been scheduled for the review of the EWC Directive and the publication of the Recast Directive. Nearly 15 years lie between the publication of the EWC Directive and that of the Recast Directive. As witnessed by the grandfather clause of Article 13 of the EWC Directive, the emergence of the European works councils has preceded the adoption of the EWC Directive.

The present volume is retrospective and prospective. The book unfolds as a diptych. The first part examines the background and some of the loopholes of the EWC Directive. The background is studied from a conceptual, a historical, a legislative and a case law perspective. KOLLONAY LEHOCZKY analyzes the fundamental right of workers to information and consultation under the European Social Charter. Insofar as the EWC Directive as well as the Recast Directive refers to the improvement of the right to information and to consultation of employees as the purpose of the directive, the contribution is quintessential. It provides a fundamental rights perspective. DØLVIK focuses on the genesis of the EWC Directive, which was the first EC Directive ever to have been adopted under the Maastricht Protocol on Social Policy. His contribution is complemented by JAGODZIŃSKI's reconstruction of the "Quest for an amended EWC Directive". The EWC Directive stands at the beginning of a genuine renaissance of EC Directives in the field of worker involvement after the golden era marked by the adoption of the Collective Redundancies Directive and the Transfer of Undertaking Directive. Contrary to its predecessors, it has remained unaltered. As indicated in the 7th recital of the Recast Directive, a modernization of the EWC Directive in the light of legislative developments was necessary. At present these directives constitute one of the most developed legislative chapters of European Labour Law. DORSSEMONT's comparative contribution focuses on the state of the art of Worker Involvement in secondary EC Law prior to the Recast Directive. A substantial

part of the first panel of the diptych is dedicated to an analysis of the ECJ and domestic case law related to the EWC Directive. This research was operated on the basis of national reports following a questionnaire or format annexed to the book. Both the national reports (Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Slovakia, Sweden and the UK) which have been updated until the end of 2009 as well as a comparative or synthetic report have been integrated into this publication.

The comparative and the national reports have been written as part of a research project of the European Trade Union Institute, which was made possible due to the financial support of the European Community. The background of the project is explained by JAGODZIŃSKI.

The second part does not endeavour a systematic analysis of the Recast Directive. It gathers selected essays which have been written by distinguished scholars as well as by experts who have combined a profound knowledge of law in the books with the experience of law in practice. Some of the essays have a topical character. They focus on specific improvements of the Recast Directive (the scope *ratione temporis*, the nature of the recast procedure, the definitions of information and consultation, the issue of continuity, the articulation between European and local information and consultation procedures). Other authors have tackled the subject matter in a more comprehensive manner, though they have done so from a specific and distinct perspective (e.g. the issue of effectiveness, the issue of actors).

This book has been written “under the shadow” of a remarkable and ever inspiring colleague.

As indicated in the explanatory note of Jagodziński, Brian Bercusson played a crucial role in chairing a kick off meeting to launch the research project on the analysis of the ECJ and domestic case law related to European works councils. The kick off meeting was organized shortly after a previous meeting on 12 March 2008, where Brian presented a Report related to “Information and Consultation Rights in Jurisprudence”. This report written in Brian’s typical way, spreading a wave of acute aphorisms, was published posthumously by the SDA in September 2008. We vividly regret that we were deprived of the human and intellectual pleasure to share the publication of this book with him. Brian’s enthusiasm and social commitment continues to be a source of inspiration. It is with a generous smile full of gratitude that we pay tribute to this rigorous optimist in action. We are grateful to Catherine Bercusson for granting us the privilege to dedicate this book to his memory.

Filip DORSSEMONT
Thomas BLANKE
Romuald JAGODZIŃSKI

CONTENTS

Preface vii
About the contributors xxv

A. BACKGROUND AND LOOPHOLES OF THE EWC DIRECTIVE 94/45

1. The fundamental right of workers to information and consultation under the European Social Charter

CSILLA KOLLONAY LEHOCZKY 3

Introduction 3

I. Precedence and sequence of emerging involvement rights in Europe 6
1. The alleged precursor: Article 6 paragraph 1 6
2. The sequence of emerging involvement rights in Europe 8
II. Common features of Articles 21 and 22 10
1. Legal framework 10
2. Personal scope 11
2.1. Employers obliged to involve workers in issues of
management 11
2.1.1. Restriction of the obligation to ‘undertakings’ 11
2.1.2. Exempting religious communities and spiritual/
ideological undertakings 14
2.1.3. Exempting small employers 14
2.2. The concept of workers’ representatives 16
2.3. The ‘great majority’ rule 18
III. The different attributes of Articles 21 and 22 20
1. Material scope, rules and procedures under Article 21 20
2. Material scope, rules and procedures of Article 22 23
IV. Article 29: scope, content, rules and procedures 26
V. Sanctions 28

2. Worker involvement in secondary EC law prior to the Recast Directive

FILIP DORSSEMONT 31

Introduction 31

I.	A conceptual study	34
1.	Worker involvement	37
2.	Information	38
3.	The right to consultation.....	39
4.	The right to participation	46
5.	The representation and the collective defence of the interests of workers.....	48
II.	Basic principles of the Community right to worker involvement.....	50
III.	The substantive scope of application of the directives in retrospect.....	54
IV.	The spirit of cooperation: re-negotiational, pre-contractual and post-contractual.....	55
V.	Horizontal subsidiarity	58
VI.	To whom does this right to information and consultation belong?	62
VII.	Limitations of the fundamental right to information and consultation .	66
1.	Limitations <i>ratione personae</i> in Treaty articles and human rights instruments	67
2.	The limitation <i>ratione personae</i> in the worker involvement directives.....	68
2.1.	Undertakings, public and/or private sector	68
2.2.	Thresholds and levels	71
2.3.	<i>Tendenzschutz</i>	74
2.4.	Seagoing vessels.....	77
3.	Reservation and confidentiality	78
4.	Bankruptcy and analogous insolvency proceedings	83
VIII.	Sanctions.....	84
IX.	Conclusion: On articulation and codification	87
1.	The issue of definitions	87
2.	Articulation	88
2.1.	Collective Redundancies Directive, Transfer of Undertakings Directive, Framework Directive versus European Works Council Directive	88
2.2.	EWC Directive and the transfer of undertakings: Effects of structural change.....	88
2.3.	SE Directive and EWC Directive.....	89
3.	The first test of the Maastricht Social Protocol: European works councils	
	JON ERIK DØLVIK	91
I.	Background	91
II.	The talks about talks.....	95
III.	Organizational and analytical lessons	99
	References	103

4. The EWC in domestic and ECJ case law	105
I. European works councils related litigation – Rationale for a systematic analysis	
ROMUALD JAGODZIŃSKI	107
Summary	107
1. Existing legal studies on EWCs	107
2. Background of the research project on EWC-related case law	109
3. Research approach	110
4. Conclusion	112
References	113
II. National reports	115
<i>Austria</i>	
DOMENICO RIEF	115
Procedural part	115
1. List of the judgments	115
a. Austrian judgments: <i>Kühne & Nagel AG & Co KG (Germany) v Kühne & Nagel GmbH (Austria)</i>	115
b. Parties	115
c. Stage of the procedure	116
2. Procedure to take legal action	116
a. Standing	116
b. Financial costs of the legal procedure	118
3. Judicial interpretation of Article 6 and Article 13 Agreements	120
Substantial part	120
1. The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 agreements	120
2. Legal issues related to the assessment by workers (‘representatives’) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational establishments and of enterprises	120
a. Decision of the Court of first instance	121
b. Decision by the Court of Appeals	123
c. Decision by the Supreme Court	123
d. Comment	125
3. The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European works council	126
4. Legal issues of Article 6 Agreements	126
5. Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	126

6.	Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure	126
7.	Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	126
8.	External restrictions to information and consultation	126
9.	Subsidiary requirements	126
10.	Other issues	126

Belgium

	FILIP DORSSEMONT	127
	Procedural part	127
1.	List of all the cases	127
2.	Procedure to take legal action	128
3.	Judicial interpretation of Article 6 and Article 13 agreements	130
4.	Judicial and territorial competence	130
	Substantial part	130
1.	The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 agreements	130
	a. Facts	131
	b. Ruling	132
	c. Anticipatory agreements and representativity	132
2.	Legal issues related to the assessment by workers ('representatives) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational undertakings or groups of undertakings	136
3.	"The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European Works Council"	136
4.	Legal issues of Article 6 agreements	136
5.	Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	136
6.	Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure	136
	a. Facts	136
	b. Ruling	137
	c. Assessment	138
	d. Sanctions in case of violation of the information and consultation procedure	138
7.	Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	138
8.	External restrictions to information and consultation	138
9.	Subsidiary requirements	138
10.	Other issues	139

France

RACHID BRIHI	141
Introduction	141
Procedural part	141
1. List of all cases	141
2. Standing of the EWC: the issue of legal personality	142
3. Procedure to take legal action	143
Substantial part	144
1. The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 Agreements	144
2. Legal issues related to the assessment by workers ('representatives) of the community-scale dimension of transnational undertakings or groups of undertakings	144
3. The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European works council	144
4. Legal issues of Article 6 Agreements	144
5. Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	144
6. Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure ...	144
a. On the EWC's right to information	144
b. On the EWC's right to consultation	146
c. What sanctions should there be for the rights of the EWC?	152
7. On the links between the EWC and the national bodies for the representation of workers	154
8. External restrictions to information and consultation	156
9. Subsidiary requirements	156
10. Other issues: towards the affirmation of a true transnational stakeholder?	156

Germany

THOMAS BLANKE	159
List of abbreviations	159
1. Case studies	159
1.1. Schneider Electric: Review of the appointment of national employees' representatives to the EWC – national jurisdiction ..	159
1.2. Forbo: The European works council does not supersede national participation rights	162
1.3. Valora/Stielke: Priority of the request to set up a Special Negotiating Body pursuant to §9 EBRG over a judicial declaration of the invalidity of an agreement in accordance with §41 EBRG (= Article 13 Directive)	164

1.4.	British Airways: Injunctive relief for the European Works Council in the case of a failure to consult in temporary injunction proceedings and inadmissibility of the cautioning of a EWC chairperson because she visited a works council abroad (Vienna) in connection with outsourcing measures	166
1.	Judgment of the Labour Court of Brussels (6.12.2006) in temporary injunction proceedings: injunctive relief for the EWC in the case of a failure to consult.	166
2.	Judgment of the Labour Court of Düsseldorf (19.3.2008): Inadmissibility of the cautioning of the EWC chair on account of a visit to a works council abroad (Austria) with regard to an outsourcing matter	168
1.5.	Junk/Kühnel: Timeliness of the consultation procedure	170
1.6.	Jungheinrich: Free choice of venue for the EWC committee and the scope of the information obligation – no decision on the merits	172
1.7-8-9	Bo-frost, Kühne & Nagel, ADS Anker: The right to information in accordance with Article 11, subsection 2 EWC Directive (§5, subsection 1 and 2 EBRG)	173
1.7.	Bo-frost: Claim for information by the EWC for the purpose of clarifying the scope of application of the EBRG . .	175
1.	History of the proceedings and central legal issues	175
2.	The reception of the ECJ decision in the German literature	178
3.	The decision of the first division of the Federal Labour Court of 30.3.2004: The right to information presupposes a certain real probability if the directive is to be applicable	179
4.	The internal right to information between undertakings .	180
1.8.	Kühne & Nagel: Horizontal request for information by the deemed central management against all group undertakings	181
1.	Facts of the case: Judicial proceedings in connection with preparing an application	181
2.	Judicial proceedings to assert the right to information . . .	183
3.	Enforcement of adjudicated claims.	185
4.	The central works council's own efforts.	186
5.	Alternative courses of action and evaluation: Self-constitution of a EWC?	186
1.9.	ADS Anker: 'Horizontal' right to information also against a foreign parent company	188
1.10.	General summary of cases VII–IX: Need for further proceedings	189
1.11.	Crawford Tar: No information	191

2.	European works councils – A foreign body in German law?	191
----	---------------------------------------------------------------	-----

The Netherlands

	ROBBERT VAN HET KAAR	193
I.	Procedural part	193
	1. List of cases	193
	2. Legal standing	193
	3. Costs	193
	4. Role of trade unions	194
	5. Interpretation of judges	194
	6. Forum	194
II.	Substantial part	194
	1. The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 agreements	194
	2. Legal issues related to the assessment by workers (‘representatives’) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational undertakings or groups of undertakings	194
	3. The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European works council	194
	4. Legal issues of Article 6 agreements	197
	5. Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	197
	6. Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure	197
	7. Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	197
	8. External restrictions to information and consultation	197
	9. Subsidiary requirements	197
	10. Other issues	197
	References	198

Slovak Republic

	MARIA SVORENOVA	199
	Procedural part	199
1.	List of all the cases	199
2.	Procedure to take legal action	200
3.	Financial costs of legal proceedings	200
4.	The role of trade unions	201
5.	Judicial interpretation of Article 6 and 13 Agreements	201
6.	Judicial and territorial competence	201

Substantial part	201
1. The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 agreements	201
2. Legal issues related to the assessment by workers ('representatives) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational undertakings and of groups of undertakings	201
3. The responsibility of central management for the establishing of a European works council	202
a. Legal framework	202
b. Summary	203
c. Commentary	205
4. Legal issues of Article 6 agreements	206
5. Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	206
6. Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure ...	206
7. Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	206
8. External restrictions to information and consultation	206
9. Subsidiary requirements	206
10. Other issues	206
 <i>Sweden</i>	
MAGNUS LUNDBERG	207
Procedural part	207
List of all the cases	207
Substantial part	207
1. The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive): case law related to Article 13 agreements	207
2. Legal issues related to the assessment by workers ('representatives) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational undertakings and of groups of undertakings	207
3. The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European works council	207
a. Background	207
b. The legal issue	208
4. Legal issues of Article 6 agreements	211
5. Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	211
6. Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure ...	211
7. Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	211
8. External restrictions to information and consultation	211

9.	Subsidiary requirements	212
10.	Other issues	212
	References	212

United Kingdom

	PASCAL LORBER	213
--	---------------------	-----

	Procedural part	214
--	-----------------------	-----

1.	List of all cases	214
2.	Procedure to take legal action	216
	a. Standing	216
	b. Financial cost of the legal procedure	217
	c. Role of trade unions	217
3.	Judicial interpretation of Article 6 and 13 agreements	217
4.	Judicial and territorial competence	218

	Substantial part	219
--	------------------------	-----

	Thematic Analysis Structure	219
--	-----------------------------------	-----

1.	The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive)	219
2.	Legal issues related to the assessment by workers ('representatives') of the Community-scale dimension of transnational establishments and of enterprises	220
3.	The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European works council	221
	a. EWC1/2004 Mr. Haines, Ms. Dickson & Mr. Plews and The British Council	221
	b. EWC4/2008 Unite the Union and Easyjet	222
4.	Legal issues of Article 6 agreements	223
5.	Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	223
6.	Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure ...	223
7.	Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	223
8.	External restrictions to information and consultation	223
9.	Subsidiary requirements	223
10.	Other issues	223

**III. The European Works Council Directive and the domestic Courts.
A critical analysis of the *legal* issues at stake**

	FILIP DORSSEMONT	225
--	------------------------	-----

	Procedural and general issues	225
--	-------------------------------------	-----

	Procedural issues	225
--	-------------------------	-----

1.	The EWC and Recast Directive	225
----	------------------------------------	-----

2.	The reported case law: litigation in four stages	226
a.	Legal disputes prior to the start of negotiations	227
b.	Legal disputes which arise at the start or during the negotiations ..	228
c.	Legal disputes at the start and in the course of a statutory or conventional EWC	229
d.	Legal disputes subsequent to the expiry or the termination of the agreements	233
3.	The standing of the European Works Council	233
4.	The domestic Courts and the issue of interpretation	235
5.	Private International Law Issues: the issue of competence and standing	238
	Substantial issues	241
1.	The exemption from the “obligations arising from the EWC Directive”: the validity of existing agreements challenged	241
2.	Legal issues related to the assessment of the Community-scale dimension of transnational establishments and of enterprises	243
3.	The designation of national workers’ representatives	248
4.	Issues related to the internal Functioning of the SNB and the EWC in view of the new Recast Directive	250
5.	Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure ...	253
a.	The competence of the EWC regarding transnational matters	253
b.	The right to information and consultation within the Recast Directive	256
c.	Quality of the information and consultation procedure	260
d.	Time is of the essence	261
e.	Sanctions in the case of violation of the information and consultation procedure	261
6.	Issues related to the termination of an Article 6 agreement	262
7.	External restrictions to information and consultation	263
8.	Articulation or <i>concordantia</i> with other EC instruments related to worker involvement	264
	Conclusions	268
IV.	Format for the national reports related to EWC case law	
	FILIP DORSEMONT	271
	Procedural part	271
	Substantial part	272
	Third part: Collected materials	272
	Thematic analysis structure (cf. second part)	273
1.	The exemption from the EWC Directive based on the grandfather clause (Article 13 EWC Directive)	273

2.	Legal issues related to the assessment by workers (‘representatives) of the Community-scale dimension of transnational undertaking and groups of undertakings	273
3.	The responsibility of central management for the establishment of a European Works Council	273
4.	Functioning of the SNB and the EWC	273
5.	Legal issues related to the information and consultation procedure	274
a.	Content and space	274
b.	Time is of the essence	274
c.	<i>Quality</i> of the information and consultation procedure	274
d.	<i>Sanctions</i> in case of violation of the information and consultation procedure	274
7.	Relation between EWCs and national works councils or national bodies of employee representation	274
8.	External restrictions to information and consultation	275
9.	Subsidiary requirements	275
10.	Other issues	275
B.	THE RECAST OF THE EWC DIRECTIVE (2009/38)	
1.	Straightjacketing, time travelling and unifying: The multiple impact of the recast European Works Council Directive	
	SÉVÉRINE PICARD	279
I.	The recasting technique: the EU legislator in a straightjacket	280
1.	Recast vs revision – definitions and procedural consequences	280
2.	Political consequences of the recast – a limited agenda for reform	282
II.	‘Back to the future’ – the scope <i>ratione temporis</i> of the Recast Directive	283
1.	No obligation to renegotiate existing agreements	284
2.	A tailored application of the new rules to existing agreements	284
III.	Towards a unification of the applicable regime to all company agreements? The key role of the adaptation clause	290
2.	Review, revision or recast? The quest for an amended EWC Directive	
	ROMUALD JAGODZIŃSKI	293
	Introduction	293
I.	The prelude to the EWC Directive of 1994	294
II.	The first missed deadline for revision	294
III.	Position of the European Trade Union Confederation	295
IV.	The employers’ organisations	296
V.	2008: The final phase in the quest for a EWC revision	297

VI.	The ETUC's reviewed position.....	298
VII.	The European Commission's proposal for a revised EWC Directive ...	299
VIII.	Review, revision or recast?	300
IX.	Reactions of the social partners.....	301
X.	The new EWC Directive	303
XI.	Conclusion	308
	References	309

3. Information and consultation in the Recast Directive

CORINNE SACHS-DURAND	313
----------------------------	-----

Introduction.....	313
-------------------	-----

I.	Comparison between different concepts of information-consultation .	315
1.	The notion of information and consultation	315
1.1.	Effectiveness of information and consultation.....	315
a.	Effectiveness for the workers.....	315
b.	Effectiveness for the employers	315
1.2.	The definitions	316
a.	The information	316
b.	The consultation	317
c.	The relation with contractual autonomy.....	318
d.	Information and consultation in the subsidiary requirements	318
2.	Anticipation and action	319
II.	Will the new concept of information and consultation be effective? ...	321
1.	The agreements: a possible evolution?.....	321
2.	The case law: some tracks for the interpretation of the recast directive?.....	323
2.1.	The autonomy of the EWC concerning the content of the information.....	323
2.2.	The necessary quality of the information	324
2.3.	The respect of a time schedule allowing the workers' representatives to play their role	324
2.4.	The powers of the judge (in France) to modify the process, if necessary	325

4. Who is first? The correct timing of information and consultation of European works councils in relation to national rights of worker involvement

THOMAS BLANKE and EDGAR ROSE.....	327
-----------------------------------	-----

I.	The relevance of correct timing of information and consultation	327
II.	The correct time for information and consultation of the EWC.....	329

1.	Concept and transposition of old EWC Directive 94/45	330
1.1.	The concept of Directive 94/45	330
1.2.	Fall-back provisions of national transposition law	333
1.3.	EWC agreements: French and German regulations at group or company level compared	336
1.3.1.	German agreements	337
1.3.2.	French agreements	338
1.3.3.	Comparison	339
1.4.	Court decisions	340
2.	Concept of Recast Directive 2009/38	342
III.	Priority regulations concerning the succession of supranational and national information and consultation procedures	344
1.	Priority regulations in times of EWC Directive 94/45	344
1.1.	Early information and consultation of national bodies in France and Germany	345
1.2.	Priority regulations in selected EWC agreements	347
1.3.	Solutions in court decisions	348
2.	Priority regulations of new EWC Directive 2009/38	350
IV.	Conclusions: The progress made by the EWC Recast Directive 2009/38	352
	References	355
5.	EWC's role recast: A European actor?	
	JOSEE J.M. LAMERS	357
I.	Introduction	357
1.	Two directives, two directions?	357
2.	Setup: the European role to play	358
II.	The principles of the EWC Directives	359
1.	Why we have a framework solution	359
1.1.	Autonomy: a key principle	360
1.2.	Autonomy v mandatory report back	361
1.3.	Autonomy v transnational competence	362
1.4.	Autonomy v negotiating transnational texts	364
2.	Subsidiarity	364
2.1.	Subsidiarity v competence EU	364
2.2.	Hierarchy of powers	365
2.3.	Subsidiarity v nomination employees' representatives	365
2.4.	Subsidiarity v insiders, court ruling	366
2.5.	Subsidiarity v confidentiality	367
2.6.	Subsidiarity v duration national mandates	368
3.	Proportionality	368
4.	Equality	370

4.1. Equality v equal access to top management	370
4.2. Equality v equal representation	371
5. Flexible rule	371
6. Spirit of cooperation	371
7. Effectiveness.....	372
8. Useful effect	372
9. Fundamental rights	373
10. Concluding remarks about the principles	373
III. The dialogue of the EWC with central management	374
1. The effectiveness of the dialogue.....	374
2. Standard setting by courts	375
3. Linking European consultation with local levels	378
4. The representation status of the EWC.....	381
5. Negotiating transnational agreements.....	383
6. The relation with the trade unions	385
IV. EWCs development and European actor?.....	387
V. Training and time off for EWC members	388
VI. Concluding remarks.....	392
References	395

6. Can the Recast Directive bring more and more effective EWCs?

PETER KERCKHOFS.....	399
I. Facilitating new EWCs.....	400
1. The extending geographical scope increased the number of missing EWCs	401
2. Preparing for the written demand to start the setting up of a EWC	402
3. Legal incentives to start the process of setting up EWCs.....	404
4. Help for SNBs to establish missing EWCs	405
II. Upgrading existing EWCs.....	408
1. Legal upgrading of existing EWCs.....	408
1.1. What kind of legal upgrading can existing EWCs benefit from?	408
1.2. Different timing of the legal upgrading of existing EWCs	409
2. The shadow of the Subsidiary Requirements of the Recast Directive	410
2.1. The impact of the subsidiary requirements on EWC negotiations	411
2.2. Changes in the subsidiary requirements of the Recast Directive	412
III. Effect on actors providing support for EWCs	413
1. Different social partner recommendations in the transition period	414

2. More consensus-oriented approaches may prevail 415

IV. Conclusions 416

References 417

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Thomas BLANKE (born 1944) is Professor of law. Since 1972 member of the editorial board of *Kritische Justiz*. 1975 to March 2009 Professor of labour law at the Carl v. Ossietzky University in Oldenburg (Germany). 1991/1992 Vicepresident of the University. Until 2006 member of the advisory board of the *Hanse-Wissenschaftskolleg* an Institute for advanced studies and foundation belonging to the Länder of Lower Saxony and Bemen. Former president of the cooperation committee of German Trade Unions and the University of Oldenburg. Member of the ETUI Transnational Trade Union Rights Expert Group.

Rachid BRIHI is a *avocat* at the Barreau of Paris. He has been practicing law since 1988 as a labour law specialist, in particular as a legal council of various European Works Councils. In that capacity he contributed to the development of the case law on European Works Councils confronted with restructuring (e.g. during the closure of the Renault Vilvoorde plant in 1997, acting as a council for the Comité Européen Renault).

He participated to numerous conferences, as a specialist of European Works Councils (in particular those organised by the ETUC). He is a lecturer at the *Université Paris Ouest* (seminaires de pratique du droit social communautaire).

Jon Erik DØLVIK is a sociologist, dr. philos, and Head of Research at Fafo Institute for Labour and Social Research in Oslo. He has been engaged in a range of comparative studies of labour market governance and employment relations in Europe. Besides his work at Fafo since 1983, DØLVIK has been visiting scholar at Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin (1985/86), ETUI in Brussels (1992/93), ARENA at University of Oslo (1995/96), Berkeley (1999/2000), and Center for European Studies at Harvard University (2007/8). He is member of the Editorial Panel of European Journal of Industrial Relations and Transfer European Review of Labour and Research.

Filip DORSSEMONT graduated in Law in 1993 (Antwerp University) and in Philosophy in 1994 (Leuven University). He became doctor in Law in 2001 (Antwerp University) on a doctoral dissertation in the field of comparative labour law (The legal status of representative trade unions and trade union action). He was an academic assistant at Antwerp University (1993–2002) and Lecturer at Antwerp University (2002–2004) and Utrecht University (2002–2008). In 2008 he was able

to return to his home country due to a Mandat d'Impulsion Scientifique (Ulysse) which was granted by the Belgian Fonds national de la Recherche Scientifique (2008) of the Communauté française. He is hosted as Professor of Labour Law at the Université Catholique de Louvain (Louvain-La-Neuve) (2008). He was invited as Guest Professor at the Université Robert Schumann de Strasbourg (2001); Cassino University (2002) and Università statale di Milano (2008–2009–2010) to teach Community labour law.

Romuald JAGODZIŃSKI, Graduated in International Relations (European Studies) in 2004 (University of Poznan, Poland); scholarship in international relations at the University of Bamberg (Germany) in 2001–2002 and European University Viadrina in Frankfurt/Oder in 2003–2004. Continued education on in post-graduate studies (European Law) and obtained Master of European Studies from the College of Europe in Hamburg (Germany) in 2005. Has been working at the European Trade Union Institute since January 2006 as researcher (earlier as a freelance guest researcher in 2002 and 2004). At ETUI responsible for research in the area of worker representation and European Works Councils, including the ETUI European Works Councils database (www.ewcdb.eu).

Peter KERCKHOFS graduated as Social Worker in 1991 (KHK Geel) and in International Political Science in 1996 (UCL Louvain-la-Neuve) with a dissertation on the 1994 EWC Directive, that was published in French in *Courrier Hebdomadaire* of CRISP and in Japanese in the *Journal of the Ohara Institute of Social Research*. From 1997 to 2005, he worked as a researcher for the European Trade Union Institute, mainly on the EWC database identifying the multinationals covered by the EWC directive and analyzing EWC agreements. Results of this research were published in the *European Trade Union Yearbook* and in *EWC facts and figures*. As seconded expert he contributed in 2002 to a policy report of CEDEFOP on Lifelong Learning. Since 2005, he works as political secretary for EMCEF, the European Mine, Chemical and Energy workers' Federation. In 2007, Peter KERCKHOFS became doctor of Philosophy (University of Manchester) with a doctoral dissertation on EWC developments.

Csilla KOLLONAY LEHOCZKY is a Professor of Law and Chair of the Labour and Social Law Department at the Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest and also is a Professor of Law at the Central European University, an international post-graduate university in Budapest, Hungary. Since 2001 is a member of the European Committee of Social Rights, the independent expert body of the Council of Europe supervising the implementation of the European Social Charter. She is a member of the network of European gender equality law experts, working for the Commission of the European Union and worked as a member of the expert committee on flexicurity appointed also by the Commission of the European Union. She is a member of the international academic advisory board

of the ETUI-REHS the research and training institute of the European Trade Union Confederation. She has been a visiting professor at Stanford Law School, the University of Illinois College of Business Administration, and the Johann Wolfgang Goethe University of Frankfurt of Main, Faculty of Law. Her field of teaching and publications cover various areas of labour and employment law, social protection, and equal opportunities law.

Josee LAMERS graduated in Law in 1995 at the University of Amsterdam. She got a position as a researcher at the Sinzheimer Institute of the University of Amsterdam and published on “The added value of European Works Councils”. She became coordinator of the post graduate courses on European Labour Relations and on Modernizing Collective Labour Agreements. In 1998 she became Secretary International Affairs at the General Employers Association and set up the international activities for the departments. During a restructuring and merger process in 2007 which had an impact on international activities, she left the employers’ organisation. Since 2008 she is director/owner of Lamers ICM Consultancy (www.lamers-icmconsultancy.com), advising management and also workers’ representatives about international and European social issues. She writes for various (legal) magazines about European social affairs.

Pascale LORBER is a lecturer at the School of Law of the University of Leicester. She graduated from the University of Strasbourg (Maitrise droit social) and the University of Leicester (LLM). She teaches UK employment and European social policy. Her research interests are in collective aspects of employment law and atypical workers.

Magnus LUNDBERG graduated in Law in 2003 (Uppsala University). He has worked as teacher in European law and labour law at Uppsala University (2003–2004), and as researcher at the National Institute for Working Life in Sweden (2004–2006). Between 2007 and 2008 he upheld the position as policy officer at UNI-Europa Finance in Brussels. He is currently deputy general secretary for the Nordic Financial Unions (NFU), located in Stockholm.

Séverine PICARD graduated in law in 2000 (Université La Sorbonne, Paris) and completed a Masters in European Law and Policy in 2002 (University of Manchester). She has previously worked as a Research Assistant on European issues in UK based Research institutes (2002-2004) and as a Parliamentary Assistant in the European Parliament (2004-2007). In 2007, she became a Legal Adviser at the European Trade Union Confederation where she has been closely involved in the negotiation and adoption of the Recast European Works Council Directive.

Domenico RIEF graduated in law after his studies at the University of Innsbruck and the University of Alcalá de Henares and became doctor in law in the year 2000 (University of Innsbruck). In 2004 he finished his post-graduate studies at the University of Applied Sciences Schloss Hofen (Vorarlberg) with a master in European law. After a traineeship at the Court of Innsbruck and at the department of European relations of the Tyrolean government he was solicitor for labour law at the Chamber of Labour of Tyrol (2001–2005). Since 2005 he is head of the European desk at the Chamber of Labour and since 2008 lecturer of international labour and social law at the University Management Center Innsbruck. Dr. RIEF published several articles in Austrian and German labour and social law journals (e.g. *Das Recht der Arbeit – DRdA*, *Zeitschrift für europäisches Sozial- und Arbeitsrecht – ZESAR*) and was co-author of the publication of the European Commission “Termination of employment relationships” (2006).

Edgar ROSE graduated in Law in 1989 (Bremen University). After various research activities in politics and the law of the welfare state he became a doctor in law in 2005 (Oldenburg University). The topic of the doctoral dissertation concerned challenges to the law of collective wage formation. He works now as a researcher and lecturer at Oldenburg University focusing on consumer protection law, health and safety at work regulation and European labour law.

Corinne SACHS-DURAND became a doctor in law in 1982 (Université Robert Schuman, Strasbourg) on the theme of the thresholds in labour law. After being an academic assistant and a lecturer she became a full professor in Labour law in the University of Strasbourg in 2001 (internal French agregation). She is teaching in the Institut du travail of Strasbourg, dedicated to the training of trade unioners in labour law. She also teaches internal Labour law and Community labour law in the master 2 in social law of the University of Strasbourg. She has responsibilities in a French national revue in labour law and belongs to an international european network EWL, (European Working Group in Labour Law). This group is organising yearly international student seminars, symposiums and issues regular publications.

Maria SVORENOVA graduated in Law in 1984 on Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia. She has been postgraduated in Law in 1988 on Faculty of Law, Safarik University, Kosice, Slovakia and in 1999 in European Substantive Law, Comparative Social Policy and Public Policy Administration on Brunel University, London, United Kingdom. Since 1992 she works as the social policy and legal adviser at the Trade Unions Confederation of the Slovak Republic. She is member of several working groups of the European Trade Union Confederation like NETLEX (the Network of the Trade Unions Legal Experts) and the Social Policy and Legislation Working Group as well as the Slovak Trade Unions. She is co-author of the study “Social Protection Expenditure and Performance Review”

published by the ILO-CEET in Budapest in 2005 and published many expert articles.

Dr. Robbert H. VAN HET KAAR (1957) studied Sociology and received his Degree in Economics in 1998 from the University of Amsterdam. In 1993 he published his dissertation in the field of Law and Industrial Relations. As of 1994 he has been affiliated to several interdisciplinary research groups on Labour Law, Industrial Relations and Company Law, both at the Faculty of Law and the Faculty of Economics and Econometrics of the University of Amsterdam. Currently he is working as a Senior Researcher at the Hugo Sinzheimer Institute for Socio-legal research on Labour and Social Security.

Since 1997 he is the Dutch correspondent for the European Observatory for Industrial Relations (EIRO) and since 2003 a member of SEEurope, a research network on employee participation at company level.