

CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE OF FAMILY LAW IN EUROPE

EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW SERIES

Published by the Organising Committee of the
Commission on European Family Law

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki (Utrecht)

Prof. Frédérique Ferrand (Lyon)

Prof. Cristina González Beilfuss (Barcelona)

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg (Uppsala)

Prof. Nigel Lowe (Cardiff)

Prof. Dieter Martiny (Frankfurt/Oder)

Prof. Walter Pintens (Leuven)

CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE OF FAMILY LAW IN EUROPE

MASHA ANTOKOLSKAIA
Editor

Distribution for the UK:
Hart Publishing Ltd.
16C Worcester Place
Oxford OX1 2JW
UK
Tel: + 44 1865 51 75 30
Fax: + 44 1865 51 07 10

*Distribution for Switzerland and
Germany:*
Stämpfli Verlag AG
Wölflistrasse 1
CH-3001 Bern
Switzerland
Tel: + 41 (0)31 300 63 18
Fax: + 41 (0)31 300 66 88

Distribution for North America:
Gaunt Inc.
Gaunt Building
3011 Gulf Drive
Holmes Beach
Florida 34217-2199
USA
Tel: + 1 941 778 5211
Fax: + 1 941 778 5252

Distribution for other countries:
Intersentia Publishers
Groenstraat 31
BE-2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel: + 32 3 680 15 50
Fax: + 32 3 658 71 21

Convergence and Divergence of Family Law in Europe
Masha Antokolskaia (ed.)

© 2007 Intersentia and Masha Antokolskaia
Antwerpen – Oxford / Amsterdam
<http://www.intersentia.com>

ISBN 978-90-5095-690-1
D/2007/7849/28
NUR 822

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

LIST OF AUTHORS

Prof. Masha Antokolskaia

Professor of Civil and Family Law at the VU University Amsterdam

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki

Professor of Private International, Comparative and Family Law at the University of Utrecht; Chair of the Commission on European Family Law

Dr. Bente Braat

Lecturer-researcher at the University of Utrecht

Prof. Miquel Martin-Casals

Professor of Civil Law and director of the Observatory of European and Comparative Private Law University of Girona

Prof. Caroline Forder

Professor of Family Property Law at the University of Antwerp

Dr. Olga Khazova

Associate Professor at the Institute of State and Law within the Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Ingrid Lund-Andersen

Associate Professor of Family Law at the University of Aarhus

Prof. Marie-Thérèse Meulders-Klein

Professor Emeritus of Family law at the University of Louvain-la-Neuve; Past President of the International Society of Family Law; Titular Member of the International Academy of Comparative Law; D.H. of the University of Uppsala (Sweden)

Prof. Esin Örüçü

Professorial Research Fellow and Professor Emeritus of Comparative Law at the University of Glasgow; Professor Emeritus of Comparative law at the Erasmus University Rotterdam

Mr. Kees Saarloos

Junior Researcher at the University of Maastricht

Prof. Ingeborg Schwenzer

Professor of Private Law at the University of Basel

Prof. Frederik Swennen

Professor of Family Law at the University of Antwerp

Dr. Velina Todorova

Associate Professor of Family Law at University of Plovdiv

Prof. Bea Verschraegen

Professor of Law at the Law Faculty of the University of Vienna; Head of the Department of Comparative Law, Harmonised Law and Private International Law

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF AUTHORS	v
-----------------------	---

INTRODUCTION

MASHA ANTOKOLSKAIA	1
--------------------------	---

PART I. THE CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE DEBATE: A NEVERENDING STORY?

HARMONISATION OF FAMILY LAW IN EUROPE: A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

MASHA ANTOKOLSKAIA	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Tendency Towards Convergence and Tendency Towards Modernisation .	11
3. Have Family Laws Been Converging in the Past?	15
3.1. Selection of Period is Crucial	15
3.2. Has There Been Convergence of the Laws on Marriage?	17
3.3. Has There Been Convergence of the Laws on Divorce?	18
3.4. Has There Been Convergence of the Laws on Extramarital Cohabitation?	18
3.5. Has There Been Convergence of the Laws Governing Position of Extramarital Children?	19
3.6. Has There Been Convergence of the Laws Governing Matrimonial Property?	19
3.7. Conclusion	21
4. Are Family Laws Converging at Present?	21
4.1. Would the Rearguard Ever Reach the Vanguard?	21
4.2. The End of History of Family Law?	22

CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE: THEORETICAL ISSUES	
ESİN ÖRÜCÜ	25
1. Introduction	25
2. Theories of Convergence and Divergence from the Vantage Point of a Comparatist	28
2.1. Introduction	28
2.2. Contamination	31
2.3. Diffusion	32
2.4. Derivation	33
2.5. Transposition	33
2.6. Appropriation of Common Laws	34
2.7. ‘Family Tree’ Model and ‘Wave Theory’	35
3. Original and Ongoing Convergence	37
4. Paths of Convergence	43
5. An Example Straddling Two Worlds	45
6. Concluding Remarks	46

PART II. FROM DIVERSITY TO SIMILARITY: REGIONAL TENDENCIES

APPROXIMATION OF NORDIC FAMILY LAW WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF NORDIC COOPERATION	
INGRID LUND-ANDERSEN	51
1. Introduction	51
2. Demographics	51
3. Nordic Legal Cooperation – A Short History	52
4. Examples	56
5. Conclusion	60

FAMILY LAW OF SPANISH AUTONOMIES: FOLLOWING A SIMILAR PATTERN?	
MIQUEL MARTÍN-CASALS	63
1. Family Law Within the Context of Non-Unified Civil Law in Spain	63
2. The Introduction of Family Mediation and of a Scheme for Opposite- and Same-Sex Couples by the Autonomous Communities	65
2.1. Initial Idleness of the Spanish Legislature and Catalan Activism	65

2.2. Family Mediation Acts	67
2.2.1. Main Characteristics of the Acts of the Autonomous Communities	67
2.2.2. The State's Proposal on Mediation	69
2.2.3. Practical Results	70
2.3. Opposite-Sex and Same-Sex Partnerships Acts	71
2.3.1. Main Characteristics of the Acts of the Autonomous Communities	71
2.3.2. Practical Results	74
2.3.3. The State's Silence and New Catalan Activism: What's Coming Next?	75

THE ACCESSION OF BULGARIA TO THE EUROPEAN UNION: A PATH TO THE HARMONISATION OF FAMILY LAW?

VELINA TODOROVA	79
1. Introduction	79
2. The Policy of 'Conditionality' As a Means to the 'Top-Down' Harmonisation of Bulgarian Family Law	81
2.1. The 'Acquis' Conditionality	82
2.2. Democratic Conditionality	86
3. The 'Bottom Up' Pressures for Convergence of Family Law: The New 'Old' Family in Bulgaria	88
4. Political Factors 'for' or 'Against' Convergence of Family Law in Bulgaria	89
5. The Child Care Reform and the New Family Law	92
6. Conclusion	94

FAMILY LAW IN THE FORMER SOVIET UNION: MORE DIFFERENCES OR MORE IN COMMON?

OLGA A. KHAZOVA	97
1. The Background	97
2. New Laws – Post-Soviet Reforms – General Overview	99
3. Peculiarities of Some of the Laws	103
3.1. Marriage	103
3.2. Divorce and Separation	106
3.3. Property Issues and Spousal Maintenance	110

4. Cohabitation	115
5. Concluding Remarks	116

***PART III. CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE IN SPECIFIC
FIELDS OF FAMILY LAW***

**O TEMPORA, O MORES! THE EVOLVING MARRIAGE CONCEPT
AND THE IMPEDIMENTS TO MARRIAGE**

FREDERIK SWENNEN	121
1. Previously in Family Law	121
2. Convergence with Intervals: The Impediments to Marriage on the Ground of Kinship and Affinity (by Adoption)	125
2.1. Analysis	125
2.2. Overview	131
3. Convergence in Disguise: A Short Intermezzo on Polygamy	135
4. Temporary Divergence: Same-Gender Marriage	137
5. The Weakest Link	143

**CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE IN THE LAW ON SAME-SEX
PARTNERSHIPS**

INGEBORG SCHWENZER	145
1. Introduction	145
2. Legal Parentage and Medically-Assisted Procreation	148
3. Adoption	150
4. Parental Responsibility	154
5. Contact	156
6. Summary	157

**MOVING TO THE SAME DESTINATION? RECENT TRENDS
IN THE LAW OF DIVORCE**

BEA VERSCHRAEGEN	159
1. Introduction	159
2. Rapid Divorce, Judicial Supervision and Clean-Break Versus Continuing Responsibility	159

3. Assessment	161
4. Policy Matters	163
5. Summary	166

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF PARENTHOOD: A STORY OF SUCCESSFUL CONVERGENCE?

CAROLINE FORDER and KEES SAARLOOS	169
---	-----

Introduction	169
1. National Laws on Legal Affiliation: How Do They Differ?	170
1.1. A Model for the Comparison of National Laws on Legal Affiliation	170
1.2. Grounds for Legal Affiliation	171
1.2.1. Grounds for Legal Maternity	172
1.2.1.1. Childbirth	172
1.2.1.2. Apparent Status or Possession d'État	174
1.2.1.3. The Relationship Between Genetic Affiliation and Apparent Status Under French Law	175
1.2.2. Grounds for Legal Paternity	176
1.2.2.1. Genetic Affiliation	177
1.2.2.2. Intention	177
a. Definition of the Field of Application of Intention As a Ground for Legal Affiliation	179
b. Differences Between National Provisions on the Consequences of Assisted Reproduction ...	182
1.2.3. Grounds for Legal Parenthood – Overview	185
1.3. Establishment and Annulment of Legal Parenthood	185
1.3.1. Establishment of Parenthood – Four Methods	186
1.3.1.1. Ex Lege Existence of Parenthood	186
1.3.1.2. Establishment of Parenthood by Means of Administrative Action	191
1.3.1.3. Judicial Establishment of Parenthood	194
a. Exceptions to the Grounds of Legal Affiliation .	194
b. Locus Standi	196
c. Statutory Periods of Limitation	197
1.3.1.4. Annulment of Parenthood	198
a. Judicial Annulment of Legal Parenthood	199
b. Restrictions on Judicial Annulment of Paternity Under Dutch Law	200
c. Judicial Annulment of Legal Parenthood and Apparent Status	201

d.	Locus Standi	202
e.	Statutory Periods of Limitation	205
f.	Administrative Annulment of Parenthood	207
1.4.	Evidence and Legal Parenthood	209
1.4.1.	Evidence and Ex Lege Existence of Parenthood	209
1.4.2.	DNA Evidence in Court Proceedings on (Legal) Affiliation .	212
2.	The European Court of Human Rights and National Laws of Parenthood	218
2.1.	Parenthood: Natural Reproduction and Assisted Reproduction ...	218
2.2.	Natural Reproduction and Legal Parenthood	219
2.2.1.	The Child-Mother Relationship	220
2.2.2.	The Child-Father Relationship	221
2.2.2.1.	The Presence or Absence of a Biological Link Between the Man and the Child	222
2.2.2.2.	The Social Relationship Between the Mother and Father or Between the Father and the Child ...	222
2.2.2.3.	Presence or Absence of a Conflict of Interest Regarding the Establishment of Parenthood	224
2.2.3.	Legal Recognition of Family Life: The Criteria	226
2.2.4.	Private Life and Legal Parenthood	227
2.2.5.	The Significance of the European Court's Attention for the Presence or Absence of a Conflict of Interest	231
2.3.	Assisted Reproduction	231
2.4.	Assisted Reproduction: The Criteria	233
3.	Convergence or Divergence?	233

MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY LAW: DIVERSITY OF FORMS, EQUIVALENCE IN SUBSTANCE?

	BENTE BRAAT	237
1.	Introduction	237
2.	Diversity of Forms Regulating the Property of Spouses	239
2.1.	Matrimonial Property or no Matrimonial Property Regime? That is the Question	239
2.2.	Matrimonial Property Law in Europe: A Colourful Patchwork? ...	240
2.2.1.	Community or no Community During the Marriage	240
2.2.2.	Management of Property	240
2.2.3.	Creditor's Rights	241
2.2.4.	Distribution of Property upon Dissolution	242
3.	Equivalence in Substance	243

3.1. The Gap Between England and the Rest of the EU Isn't That Big After All	243
3.2. The Colours of the Patchwork Aren't That Different After All	244
3.2.1. Community or no Community During Marriage	244
3.2.2. Management of Property	244
3.2.3. Creditor's Rights	245
3.2.4. Distribution of Property upon Dissolution	245
4. An Optional Matrimonial Property Regime for Europe	245
4.1. The Basis: Common Core and Better Law Regarding Matrimonial Property Law	247
4.1.1. First Step: Looking for the Common Core of European Matrimonial Property Law.	247
4.1.2. Second Step: Common Core is Not Enough, European Couples Need a Better Law	247
4.1.2.1. Use of the Dutch Guidelines for a Default Regime .	247
4.1.2.2. Europeanisation of the Dutch Guidelines	248
4.2. Starting Point for a European Optional Matrimonial Property Regime	249

PART IV: CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE: PRACTICAL AND POLITICAL ASPECTS

BUILDING ON CONVERGENCE AND COPING WITH DIVERGENCE IN THE CEFL PRINCIPLES OF EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW

KATHARINA BOELE-WOELKI	253
1. Introduction	253
2. Remarks on the Terminology Used	254
2.1. Convergence and Divergence and Similarities and Differences	254
2.2. Common Core and Better Law	256
2.3. Unification and Harmonisation	258
3. International and Regional Organisations and the CEFL: A Brief Comparison of Their Working Methods	259
4. CEFL's Six Steps and Five Approaches	261
4.1. Coping with Divergence	263
4.2. Building on Convergence	265
5. Final Remarks	267

**TOWARDS A UNIFORM EUROPEAN FAMILY LAW?
A POLITICAL APPROACH. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

MARIE-THÉRÈSE MEULDERS-KLEIN	271
1. Introduction	271
2. Specificity of Family Law	272
3. Europeanisation of Family Laws	275
4. The Council of Europe	275
5. The European Union	278
6. The Common Core or the Better Law?	279
7. Conclusion	280