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PREFACE

A colloquium on “The Harmonization of Criminal Law in Europe” was held at the Faculty of Law, University of Bergen on 20-21 February 2004. The colloquium brought together 39 experts of criminal law from eight countries (Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Finland, Germany, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the Netherlands). The harmonization process was highlighted by eight different speakers, who discussed developments and tendencies in both substantive criminal law, criminal procedural law and international criminal law. Although the rapid progress in European Union Law in these areas took a central place, the situation in European states outside the EU was discussed as well.

The articles presented in this book are based on the lectures given at the colloquium. The colloquium was sponsored by the E. ON Ruhrgas programme and the Meltzer Foundation at the University of Bergen.

Bergen, February 2005
Erling Johannes Husabø & Asbjørn Strandbakken
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