

THE CHILD'S RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN FAMILY LAW PROCEEDINGS

European Family Law Series

Published by the Organising Committee of the
Commission on European Family Law

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki (Utrecht)

Prof. Frédérique Ferrand (Lyon)

Prof. Cristina González Beilfuss (Barcelona)

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg (Uppsala)

Prof. Nigel Lowe (Cardiff)

Prof. Dieter Martiny (Frankfurt/Oder)

Prof. Velina Todorova (Plovdiv)

THE CHILD'S RIGHT TO
PARTICIPATE IN
FAMILY LAW PROCEEDINGS

Represented, Heard or Silenced?

Charlotte Mol



INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

The Child's Right to Participate in Family Law Proceedings. Represented, Heard
or Silenced?
© Charlotte Mol 2022

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be
identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or
by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or
under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning
reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the
address above.

ISBN 978-1-83970-255-6
D/2022/7849/57
NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from
the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This volume is a revised version of my doctoral dissertation completed in September 2021 and publicly defended at Utrecht University. There are many people who have made the completion of my PhD research and this volume possible and I would like to thank them all.

In particular I would like to thank my supervisors, prof. Wendy Schrama and dr. Merel Jonker, for their exceptional guidance, encouragement, support and feedback throughout the process. I am indebted to the members of the doctoral examination committee – prof. dr. dr. h.c. mult. Katharina Boele-Woelki, prof. dr. Ursulla Kilkelly, prof. dr. Charlotte Declerck, prof. dr. Mariëlle Bruning and prof. dr. Janneke Gerards – for their valuable comments on my dissertation and critical questions at my defence. A note of thanks also to the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research for funding my PhD research through a Research Talent Grant.

I am very grateful to Intersentia Ltd and Ahmed Hegazi, publisher, and Ella Brice-Jeffreys, editor, in particular. I would also like to thank the Organising Committee of the Commission on European Family Law (CEFL) for accepting my manuscript as a volume in the European Family Law Series.

A final and special thank you to my friends, parents, sister and partner for their endless support and encouragement over these past years.

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Tables and Figures</i>	xvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxi
<i>List of International and European Instruments and Sources</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Cases</i>	xxvii

Chapter 1. Introduction

1. Introduction	1
2. Central Concepts	10
2.1. Child(ren)	10
2.2. Child Participation	11
2.3. Family Law Proceedings	12
2.4. International and European Human Rights Instruments	13
3. Structure of the Book	13

PART I. THE CHILD'S RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS

Chapter 2. The Child's Right to Participate in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

1. Introduction	19
2. The Nature and Scope of the UNCRC	20
3. The Right to Participate: Article 12 UNCRC	24
3.1. Analysis of the Text of Article 12 UNCRC	24
3.1.1. Article 12(1) UNCRC	25
3.1.1.1. 'Shall Assure'	25
3.1.1.2. 'Capable of Forming His or Her Own Views'	26
3.1.1.3. Right to Express Views Freely	30
3.1.1.4. 'In All Matters Affecting the Child'	31
3.1.1.5. Views of the Child Given Due Weight	32
3.1.1.6. Due Weight in Accordance with Age and Maturity of the Child	36

3.1.2.	Article 12(2) UNCRC	38
3.1.2.1.	The Opportunity to be Heard	39
3.1.2.2.	Any Judicial and Administrative Proceedings Affecting the Child	39
3.1.2.3.	Directly, or through a Representative or an Appropriate Body	40
3.1.2.4.	In a Manner Consistent with the Procedural Rules of National Law	44
3.2.	Implementation of Article 12 UNCRC	44
3.2.1.	Before Participation	45
3.2.2.	During Participation	47
3.2.2.1.	The Hearing	47
3.2.2.2.	The Assessment of Capacity of the Child	48
3.2.3.	After Participation	52
4.	The Relationship between Article 12 and Other UNCRC Articles	53
4.1.	Articles 2 and 12 UNCRC	54
4.2.	Articles 3 and 12 UNCRC	55
4.2.1.	Expression of Views: Articles 3 and 12 UNCRC	56
4.2.2.	Due Weight: Articles 3 and 12 UNCRC	57
4.3.	Articles 4 and 12 UNCRC	61
4.4.	Articles 5 and 12 UNCRC	63
4.4.1.	The Relationship between Article 5 and Article 12	64
4.4.2.	'Evolving Capacities' and Article 12 UNCRC	65
4.5.	Articles 9 and 12 UNCRC	69
4.6.	Articles 12, 13 and 17 UNCRC	70
4.7.	Articles 12 and 21 UNCRC	71
5.	Individual Communications to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child	73
5.1.	Search and Selection	73
5.2.	Article 12 in Individual Communications	74
5.2.1.	Individual Communications on Family Law Proceedings	74
5.2.2.	Individual Communications on Migration or Asylum Proceedings	78
6.	Conclusion	81
Chapter 3. The Child's Right to Participate in European Human Rights Instruments		87
1.	Introduction	87
2.	The ECECR	90
2.1.	The Nature and Scope of the ECECR	90
2.2.	The Content of the ECECR	92

2.2.1.	Mandatory and Optional Rights of Participation	92
2.2.2.	Modes of Participation	93
2.3.	Conclusion	95
3.	The GCFJ	95
3.1.	The Nature and Scope of the GCFJ	96
3.2.	The Content of the GCFJ	97
3.2.1.	Fundamental Principles	98
3.2.2.	Information and Feedback	100
3.2.3.	Access to Court	101
3.2.4.	The Right to be Heard	102
3.2.5.	Representation	104
3.2.6.	The Organization of Proceedings	105
3.2.7.	Alternative Dispute Resolution	105
3.2.8.	Training Professionals	106
3.3.	Conclusion	106
4.	Recommendation on the Participation of Children	106
4.1.	The Nature and Scope of the Recommendation	107
4.2.	The Content of the Recommendation	107
4.3.	Conclusion	109
5.	The CFREU	109
5.1.	The Nature and Scope of the CFREU	110
5.2.	The Content of the CFREU	111
5.2.1.	Article 24 CFREU	111
5.2.2.	Article 7 CFREU	114
5.3.	The Case Law of the CJEU	114
5.4.	Conclusion	117
6.	Conclusion	117

PART II. THE CHILD’S RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CASE LAW OF THE ECtHR

Chapter 4. Introduction to the ECHR, the ECtHR and the Case Law Analysis 121

1.	Introduction	121
2.	The Nature and Scope of the ECHR and the ECtHR	123
3.	Introducing Articles 6 and 8 ECHR	126
4.	Methodology of the Case Law Analysis	130
4.1.	Search and Selection of Case Law	132
4.2.	Qualitative Content Analysis	133
5.	Structure of the Case Law Analysis	137

6.	Applicants	139
6.1.	Standing of Applicants.....	139
6.2.	Applicants in the Case Law Analysis.....	142
7.	Types of Proceedings	145
8.	Modes of Participation.....	148
Chapter 5. (In)Sufficient Participation		151
1.	Introduction	151
2.	Complaints Brought by Applicants	152
2.1.	Lack of Participation	152
2.2.	Insufficient or Inadequate Mode of Participation	153
2.2.1.	Direct Hearing Instead of Another Participation Mode.....	154
2.2.2.	Additional Direct Hearing.....	155
2.2.3.	Failure to Appoint or Delay in Appointing a Representative for the Child.....	156
2.2.4.	Ineffective Representative	158
2.2.5.	Incompetent Expert	158
2.2.6.	Other Complaints Concerning Insufficient or Inadequate Participation	159
3.	Governments' Arguments.....	160
3.1.	Insufficient Age or Maturity to Participate.....	160
3.2.	(Additional) Participation not in the Child's Best Interests.....	161
3.3.	Additional Participation not Necessary	162
3.4.	Other Mode of Participation not Necessary.....	163
3.5.	Arguments Regarding the Use of Experts.....	165
4.	The ECtHR.....	166
4.1.	General Principles	166
4.2.	(In)Sufficient Participation was not Justified	169
4.2.1.	Lack of Directly Hearing the Child	169
4.2.1.1.	Age and Maturity of the Child.....	170
4.2.1.2.	The Role of Time	171
4.2.1.3.	Lack of Reasoning	172
4.2.2.	Insufficient Representation	173
4.3.	(In)Sufficient Participation was Justified.....	174
4.3.1.	The Lack of Directly Hearing the Child was Justified	175
4.3.2.	No Additional Participation was Required	176
4.3.2.1.	An Additional Direct Hearing was not Necessary	177
4.3.2.2.	No Need for a Representative.....	178

4.3.3.	Sufficient Representation of the Child	179
4.3.4.	Use of a Competent Expert	180
4.4.	Dissenting Opinions	180
4.5.	Conclusions on the ECtHR's Reasoning	182
5.	Concluding Analysis	183
5.1.	Understanding 'Participation'	184
5.2.	Conclusion in Numbers	187
5.3.	Determining (In)Sufficient Participation	188
5.3.1.	Modes of Participation	188
5.3.2.	The Role of Time	189
5.3.3.	Age and Maturity	190
5.3.4.	Reasoning and Justification	191
5.4.	(In)Sufficient Participation and the Types of Proceedings	192
5.5.	No Participation Discussion by the ECtHR	192
6.	Conclusion: Standards	198
 Chapter 6. Weight Accorded to the Child's Views		201
1.	Introduction	201
2.	Complaints Brought by Applicants	202
2.1.	Too Much Weight Accorded to the Child's Views	202
2.1.1.	Too Much Weight	203
2.1.2.	Exclusive Weight	204
2.2.	Too Little Weight Accorded to the Child's Views	205
3.	Governments' Arguments	206
3.1.	The Child's Views Accorded Due Weight	207
3.1.1.	Balancing Views and Interests	208
3.1.2.	Legal Obligation to Provide Due Weight	209
3.1.3.	Child-Specific Factors	209
3.1.4.	The Role of Experts	210
3.1.5.	Other Reasoning	211
3.2.	Views not Given Exclusive Weight	211
3.3.	Neutral Remark on Weight Accorded	211
4.	The ECtHR	212
4.1.	General Principles	213
4.2.	Exclusive Weight	214
4.3.	Negative Response to the Amount of Weight Accorded to Views	216
4.4.	Positive Response to Weight Accorded to Views	218
4.4.1.	Explicit Positive Response to the Weight Accorded to the Child's Views	219

4.4.2.	The Weight Accorded to Child’s Views as Part of the Evidentiary Basis	221
4.4.3.	Weight Granted as Part of the ‘Relevant and Sufficient Reasons’	222
4.4.4.	Positive Response to No Weight Granted	224
4.5.	Neutral Response to Weight Accorded to Views	225
4.6.	Conclusions on the ECtHR’s Reasoning	227
5.	Concluding Analysis	228
5.1.	Conclusion in Numbers	228
5.2.	Determining Due Weight	230
5.2.1.	Child-Specific Factors Impacting Due Weight	233
5.2.2.	Circumstance-Specific Factors Impacting Due Weight	234
5.2.3.	Types of Proceedings and Due Weight	234
5.3.	Due Weight and Participation	238
5.4.	No Due Weight Discussion by the ECtHR	240
6.	Conclusion: Standards	247
 Chapter 7. Maturity of the Child		249
1.	Introduction	249
2.	Complaints Brought by Applicants	250
3.	Governments’ Arguments	252
3.1.	Insufficient Maturity	252
3.2.	Sufficient Maturity	253
3.2.1.	Sufficient Maturity to Participate	254
3.2.2.	Sufficient Maturity to Give Weight to Views	254
4.	The ECtHR	256
4.1.	General Principles on Maturity	256
4.2.	Insufficient Maturity	257
4.2.1.	Insufficient Maturity to Participate	258
4.2.2.	Insufficient Maturity to Attach Weight to Views	260
4.3.	Sufficient Maturity	261
4.3.1.	Sufficient Maturity to Participate	261
4.3.2.	Sufficient Maturity to Attach Weight to Views	263
4.4.	Other Issues Concerning Maturity	267
4.5.	Conclusions on the ECtHR’s Reasoning	268
5.	Concluding Analysis	271
5.1.	Conclusion in Numbers	272
5.2.	Determining Maturity	273
5.3.	Maturity and the Modes of Participation	275
5.4.	Maturity and the Types of Proceedings	277
6.	Conclusion: Standards	282

Chapter 8. ‘Influence’ on the Views of the Child	285
1. Introduction	285
2. Complaints Brought by Applicants	286
2.1. Influenced Views	286
2.2. Unjustified Reliance on Influence	291
3. Governments’ Arguments	291
3.1. Influenced Views	291
3.2. Independent Views	292
3.3. Other Remarks	294
4. The ECtHR.	294
4.1. Influence and Participation.	294
4.2. Influence and Due Weight	297
4.3. Other Reasoning on Influence	300
4.4. Conclusions on the ECtHR’s Reasoning	302
5. Concluding Analysis	303
5.1. Conclusion in Numbers	303
5.2. Determining and Dealing with Influence on Views	305
5.2.1. Consistency and Strength of Views	305
5.2.2. The Role of Time	306
5.2.3. The Use of Experts	307
5.2.4. Parental Alienation Syndrome	308
5.3. Influence and the Types of Proceedings and Applicants	309
6. Conclusion: Standards	313
 Chapter 9. Conclusions of the Case Law Analysis.	 315
1. Introduction	315
2. Overarching Conclusion in Numbers	316
3. Child Participation as a Topic: The Relationships between Themes and Factors.	319
4. Child Participation as a Right under the ECHR.	322
4.1. Child’s or Parent’s Right and the Right to Respect for Family Life or Private Life	324
4.2. Procedural or Substantive Rights.	326
4.3. Positive or Negative Obligations	327
4.4. The Aim of Child Participation	328
5. Core Factors and Variables in the Case Law Analysis	328
5.1. Child-Specific Factors	329
5.2. Circumstance-Specific Factors	332
5.3. Types of Proceedings	333
5.4. Modes of Participation	335
5.5. Applicants	337

6. International Standards and the ECtHR	338
7. Key Conclusions for States	342
8. Procedural and Practical Suggestions for the ECtHR and HUDOC.	344
8.1. Suggestions for the ECtHR	344
8.2. Suggestions for HUDOC	346
9. Conclusion.	348

PART III. COMPARATIVE REMARKS AND CONCLUSIONS

Chapter 10. Comparison of the International and European Standards 357

1. Introduction	357
2. Participation: The Right to Express Views	359
2.1. Modes of Participation	360
2.1.1. Direct Hearing.	360
2.1.2. Representation.	361
2.1.3. Indirect Hearing	364
2.1.4. Litigation or Party Status	365
2.2. Conditions for Participation.	366
2.3. Types of Proceedings	368
3. Due Weight Accorded to a Child’s Views	368
3.1. Views or Wishes	369
3.2. What is ‘Due Weight’?	370
3.3. Factors for According Due Weight	370
3.4. Due Weight and the Child’s Best Interests	373
4. Maturity of the Child	374
4.1. Maturity and Participation	374
4.2. Maturity and Due Weight	379
5. ‘Influence’ on the Child’s Views	380
5.1. Determining Influence	381
5.2. Influence and Participation.	382
5.3. Influence and Due Weight	383
6. Information and Practical Affairs.	384
7. Conclusion.	386

Chapter 11. Conclusions 391

1. Introduction	391
2. Final Reflections: Child Participation in Family Law Proceedings	393
2.1. Participation	393
2.1.1. Conditions for Participation	393
2.1.2. Modes of Participation	394

2.2. Due Weight	399
2.3. Maturity for Participation and Due Weight	401
2.4. Influence	404
2.5. Information and Practical Affairs	405
3. Aligning and Strengthening the International and European Instruments	406
4. Methodological Conclusions	411
5. Visions for the Future of Child Participation	415
Appendix: Categorization of Cases Per Type of Proceeding	417
<i>Bibliography</i>	421
<i>Index</i>	433

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

Table 3.1.	Relevant aspects and related guidelines.	98
Table 3.2.	CJEU case law search results specified	115
Table 5.1.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the complete lack of participation	152
Table 5.2.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the lack of direct participation	154
Table 5.3.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the need for an additional direct hearing of the child	155
Table 5.4.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the failure (or delay) to appoint a special representative	156
Table 5.5.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the ineffectiveness of the special representative appointed for the child	158
Table 5.6.	Attributes of cases with a complaint on the incompetence of the expert.	159
Table 5.7.	Attributes of cases with other complaints on insufficient or ineffective participation	159
Table 5.8.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that the child had insufficient age or maturity to participate.	160
Table 5.9.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that (additional) participation was not in the child's best interests	161
Table 5.10.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that additional participation was not necessary.	162
Table 5.11.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that another mode of participation was not necessary	163
Table 5.12.	Attributes of cases in which the government presented an argument regarding the use of experts.	165
Table 5.13.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered 'insufficient' participation not to be justified due to a lack of directly hearing the child.	170
Table 5.14.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered 'insufficient' participation not to be justified due to insufficient representation	173

Table 5.15.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered that ‘insufficient’ participation was sufficiently justified for the lack of directly hearing the child	175
Table 5.16.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered that ‘insufficient’ participation was sufficiently justified as no additional participation was required	176
Table 5.17.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered ‘insufficient’ participation was sufficiently justified due to sufficient representation of the child.	179
Table 5.18.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered ‘insufficient’ participation was sufficiently justified due to the competence of the expert	180
Table 5.19.	Number of cases in which the topic of (in)sufficient participation was discussed.	187
Table 5.20.	Combined overview for (in)sufficient participation	194
Table 6.1.	Attributes of cases in which the applicant complained of unreasonable weight being accorded to the child’s views.	203
Table 6.2.	Attributes of cases in which the applicant complained that the child’s views were accorded too little weight	205
Table 6.3.	Attributes of cases in which the government in question argued that the views of children were accorded due weight.	207
Table 6.4.	Attributes of cases in which the government provided a neutral remark on weight accorded	212
Table 6.5.	Attributes of cases with ECtHR reasoning on decisive weight.	214
Table 6.6.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR responds negatively to weight accorded to views	217
Table 6.7.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR responds positively to weight accorded to views.	218
Table 6.8.	Attributes of cases with the ECtHR being neutral in response to the weight accorded to the child’s views.	225
Table 6.9.	Number of cases in which the topic of due weight is discussed	229
Table 6.10.	Combined overview for weight accorded to the child’s views.	242
Table 7.1.	Attributes of cases in which the applicant complained regarding the maturity of the child	250
Table 7.2.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that the child had insufficient age or maturity	252
Table 7.3.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that the child had sufficient age or maturity.	253
Table 7.4.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered children to have insufficient maturity to participate	258

Table 7.5.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered children to have insufficient maturity for the weight attributed to their views.	260
Table 7.6.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered children to be sufficiently mature to participate	262
Table 7.7.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR considered children to be sufficiently mature to attach weight to their views.	263
Table 7.8.	Amount of cases in which the topic of age and maturity was discussed	272
Table 7.9.	Combined overview for maturity of the child	279
Table 8.1.	Attributes of cases in which the applicant complained of influenced views of the child	287
Table 8.2.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that the views of children were influenced	292
Table 8.3.	Attributes of cases in which the government argued that the views of children were independent	293
Table 8.4.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR provided reasoning on influence and participation	295
Table 8.5.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR provided reasoning on influence and due weight.	298
Table 8.6.	Attributes of cases in which the ECtHR provides reasoning on other issues of influence.	300
Table 8.7.	Number of cases in which the topic of influence is discussed . . .	304
Table 8.8.	Combined overview for the 'influence' on the views of the child.	310
Table 9.1.	Number of cases discussed per chapter.	317
Table 9.2.	Number of cases discussed according to the combination of topics	317
Table 9.3.	Number of cases discussed in each chapter according to the combination of actors.	319
Table 9.4.	Overview of all cases with sections in which they were discussed.	349

FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Map of Europe with instruments to which states are party	8
Figure 2.1.	Autonomy of children in legal proceedings	84
Figure 4.1.	Overview of the child participation process and four themes addressed in case law analysis.	138, 320
Figure 4.2.	Applicants bringing complaints to the ECtHR.	143
Figure 4.3.	Applicants with standing before the ECtHR.	143

Figure 4.4.	Overview of case law according to the category of proceedings	147
Figure 7.1.	The ECtHR's age ranges for child maturity	268
Figure 9.1.	Overview of the child participation process and themes and factors addressed in the case law analysis	320
Figure 9.2.	The relevance of consistent and strong views within the framework of participation	331
Figure 9.3.	The role of time within the framework of participation	332
Figure 9.4.	Overview of all standards on child participation.	343

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACWRC	African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
CA	Content Analysis
CAQDAS	Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software
CoE	Council of Europe
CFREU	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CRC Committee	United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child
ECECR	European Convention on the Exercise of Children's Rights
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
GCFJ	Guidelines on Child-Friendly Justice
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
NGO	Non-governmental organization
QCA	Qualitative Content Analysis
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNCRC	United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child
UNHCHR	United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund

LIST OF INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN INSTRUMENTS AND SOURCES

COUNCIL OF EUROPE

- Action Plan Third Summit of Heads of State and Government of the Council of Europe (Warsaw, 16–17 May 2005).
- Brussels Declaration, adopted at the High-Level Conference on the future of the European Court of Human Rights, 27 March 2015.
- CoE Parliamentary Assembly, *Recommendation 874 (1979) European Charter on the Rights of the Child*.
- *Recommendation 1121 (1990) Rights of Children*.
- *Recommendation 1864 (2009) Promoting the participation by children in decisions affecting them*.
- CoE Resolution (72)29 on the lowering of the age of full capacity, adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 19 September 1972.
- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, Rome, 4 November 1950, ETS No. 005.
- Council of Europe, *Building a Europe for and with children 2009–2011: the Stockholm strategy*, 27 November 2008.
- Council of Europe, *Strategy for the Rights of the Child (2012–2015)*, 15 February 2012.
- *Strategy for the Rights of the Child (2012–2015): Implementation report*, 2016.
- *Strategy for the Rights of the Child (2016–2021)*, March 2016.
- Directorate of the Jurisconsult, *Guide on Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Right*, Strasbourg: Council of Europe/European Court of Human Rights 2018.
- *Practical Guide on Admissibility Criteria*, Strasbourg: Council of Europe/European Court of Human Rights, 2019.
- European Convention on the Exercise of Children's Rights, Strasbourg, 25 January 1996, ETS No. 160.
- European Council, *Conclusions of the Presidency*, 7–10 December 2000, Nice, available at: www.europarl.europa.eu/summits/nice1_en.htm.
- European Court of Human Rights, *Guide on Article 8 of the Convention – Right to respect for private and family life*, Council of Europe/European Court of Human Rights 2020 (available at: www.echr.coe.int/documents/guide_art_8_eng.pdf).
- European Ministers of Justice, *Resolution No. 2 on child-friendly justice*, 28th Conference of the European Ministers of Justice, 2007, MJU–28 (2007) Resol. 2E.
- Explanatory Memorandum to the Guidelines on child-friendly justice, Council of Europe 2011.
- Explanatory Report to the European Convention on the Exercise of Children's Rights, 25 January 1996, ETS No. 160.

- Group of Specialists on Child-Friendly Justice, *Report of the 1st Meeting of the Group of Specialists on Child-Friendly Justice*, CJ-S-CH (2009) 3.
- *Draft Report of the 2nd Meeting of the Group of Specialists on Child-Friendly Justice*, CJ-S-CH (2009) 15.
- *Report of the 3rd Meeting of the Group of Specialists on Child-Friendly Justice*, CJ-S-CH (2009) 18 rev. 1.
- *Report of the 4th Meeting of the Group of Specialists on Child-Friendly Justice*, CJ-S-CH (2010) 8 E.
- Guidelines of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on Child-Friendly Justice, 17 November 2010.
- Public Relations Unit, *The European Court of Human Rights in Facts & Figures 2019*, European Court of Human Rights 2020, available at: https://echr.coe.int/Documents/Facts_Figures_2019_ENG.pdf.
- Recommendation CM/Rec(2012)2 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on the participation of children and young people under the age of 18 (Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 28 March 2012 at the 1138th meeting of the Ministers' Deputies), no. 1.
- Registry of the European Court of Human Rights, 'Access of children to justice – Specific focus on the access of children to the European Court of Human Rights as well as its case law related to children's access to national jurisdictions', in: Council of Europe, *Compilation of Texts Related to Child-Friendly Justice*, Directorate General of Human Rights and Legal Affairs, 2009.
- Report by the Secretary General on the Review of Council of Europe Conventions, SG/Inf(2012)12.

EUROPEAN UNION

- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 2000/C 364/01.
- Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 of 27 November 2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility, repealing Regulation (EC) No 1347/2000.
- Council Regulation (EU) 2019/1111 of 25 June 2019 on jurisdiction, the recognition and enforcement of decisions in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility, and on international child abduction (recast).
- European Commission, 'An EU Agenda for the Rights of the Child', Brussels, 15 February 2011, COM(2011) 60 final.
- Explanations relating to the Charter of Fundamental Rights, 2007/C 303/02.
- Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community (OJ C 306), 13 December 2007.

UNITED NATIONS

- Declaration on the Rights of the Child, Records of the Fifth Assembly, Supplement No. 23, League of Nations Official Journal 1924.

- Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on a communications procedure, adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution A/RES/66/138 of 19 December 2011.
- UN Commission on Human Rights, *Report of the 34th Session 6 February – 10 March 1978*, E/1978/34 or E/CN.4/1292.
- UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, *General Comment No. 5 (2003): General measures of implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child*, 27 November 2003, CRC/GC/2003/5.
- *General Comment No. 7 (2005): Implementing child rights in early childhood*, 20 September 2006, CRC/C/GC/7/Rev.1.
- *General Comment No. 12 (2009): The right of the child to be heard*, 1 July 2009, CRC/C/GC/12.
- *General Comment No. 14 (2013) on the right of the child to have his or her best interests taken as a primary consideration (art. 3, para. 1)*, 29 May 2013, CRC/C/GC/14.
- *General Comment No. 19 (2016) on public budgeting for the realization of children's rights (art. 4)*, 20 July 2016, CRC/C/GC/19.
- *General Comment No. 20 (2016) on the implementation of the rights of the child during adolescence*, 6 December 2016, CRC/C/GC/20.
- *General Comment No. 25 (2021) on children's rights in relation to the digital environment*, 2 March 2021, CRC/C/GC/25.
- *Recommendations: Day of General Discussion on Children without Parental Care*, 17 March 2006, CRC/C/153.
- *Recommendations: Day of General Discussion on the Right of the Child to Be Heard*, 29 September 2006.
- UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, 20 November 1989, United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1577, p. 3.
- UN Economic and Social Council Commission on Human Rights, *Question of a Convention on the Rights of the Child: Note Verbale Dated 5 October 1979 Addressed to the Division of Human Rights by the Permanent Representation of the Polish People's Republic to the United Nations in Geneva*, 17 January 1980, E/CN.4/1349*.
- *Report of the Working Group on a Draft Convention on the Rights of the Child*, 17 February 1981, E/CN.4/L.1575.
- UN General Assembly, *Declaration on the Rights of the Child*, 20 November 1959, A/RES/1386(XIV).
- UN General Assembly 31/169 on an International Year of the Child adopted on 21 December 1976.
- UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Access to justice for children*, A/HRC/25/35, 16 December 2013.
- UN Human Rights Council, *Report of the open-ended working group to explore the possibility of elaborating an optional protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child to provide a communications procedure*, A/HRC/13/43, 21 January 2010.
- UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Legislative History of the Convention on the Rights of the Child* (vol. I), 2007.

LIST OF CASES

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Judgment of 22 December 2010, <i>Aguirre Zarraga</i> , C-491/10 PPU, EU:C:2010:828	115
---	-----

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>A. V. v. Slovenia</i> (Appl. No. 878/13), 9 April 2019	121
<i>Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 35763/97), 21 November 2001	387
<i>Aune v. Norway</i> (Appl. No. 52502/07), 28 October 2010	236
<i>B. v. United Kingdom</i> (Appl. No. 9840/82), 8 July 1987	126
<i>Blaga v. Romania</i> (Appl. No. 54443/10), 1 July 2014	204, 212, 215–216, 237, 251, 266
<i>Brede v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 35198/05), 3 February 2009	236
<i>Brillet and Brillet v. Belgium</i> (dec.) (Appl. Nos. 14942/11 and 17942/11), 16 December 2014	344
<i>Buchleither v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 20106/13), 28 April 2016	208, 222–223
<i>C. v. Croatia</i> (Appl. No. 80117/17), 8 October 2020	367
<i>C. v. Finland</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 18249/02), 5 April 2005	130
<i>C. v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 18249/02), 9 May 2006	289–290, 293, 300, 305
<i>C. v. United Kingdom</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 14858/03), 14 December 2004	158, 193
<i>Centre for Legal Resources on Behalf of Valentin Câmpeanu v. Romania</i> (Appl. No. 47848/08), 17 July 2014	142
<i>Colombo and others v. Italy and Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 73708/10), 4 October 2016	176, 226
<i>Cristescu v. Romania</i> (Appl. No. 13589/07), 10 January 2012	221, 288, 294, 301, 305
<i>Diamante and Pelliccioni v. San Marino</i> (Appl. No. 32250/08), 27 September 2011	141
<i>Döring v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 50216/09), 21 February 2012	166, 177, 199, 223–225, 235, 260–261, 271, 276
<i>E.S. v. Romania and Bulgaria</i> (Appl. No. 60281/11), 19 July 2016	167, 184, 192, 198
<i>Eberhard and M. v. Slovenia</i> (Appl. Nos. 8673/05 and 9733/05), 1 December 2009	141
<i>Elsholz v. Germany</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 25735/94), 13 July 2000	163, 203, 212, 224, 238, 289, 295
<i>Eski v. Austria</i> (Appl. No. 21949/03), 25 January 2007	162, 222, 236

<i>Eskinazi and Chelouche v. Turkey</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 14600/05), 6 December 2005	153, 161, 166–167, 175, 251–252, 259, 270, 273, 275, 277, 339
<i>Fiala v. Czech Republic</i> (Appl. No. 26141/03), 18 July 2006	288, 294, 299, 307
<i>G.B. v. Lithuania</i> (Appl. No. 36137/13), 19 January 2016	204, 208, 220, 223, 231, 289, 301, 307
<i>G.H.B. v. United Kingdom</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 42455/98), 4 May 2000	210, 219–220, 223, 232, 236, 264, 265
<i>Gajtani v. Switzerland</i> (Appl. No. 43730/07), 9 September 2014	153, 166, 176, 178, 190, 206, 216, 253, 259, 270, 272–273, 275, 277, 291–292, 297, 307, 323
<i>Gettliffe and Grant v. France</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 23547/06), 24 October 2006	149, 155, 166, 168, 179, 199–200, 323, 339
<i>Gineitienė v. Lithuania</i> (Appl. No. 20739/05), 27 July 2010	223–224, 235, 339
<i>Glesmann v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 25706/03), 10 January 2008	156–157, 164, 179, 182, 210–211, 226, 233, 236, 264, 271
<i>Gnahoré v. France</i> (Appl. No. 40031/98), 19 September 2000	222–223, 236
<i>Golder v. United Kingdom</i> (Appl. No. 4451/70), 21 February 1975	127
<i>Haase v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 11057/02), 8 April 2004	172, 185, 191, 211, 241
<i>Hansen v. Turkey</i> (Appl. No. 36141/97), 23 September 2003	209, 241, 254, 273
<i>Havelka and others v. Czech Republic</i> (Appl. No. 23499/06), 21 June 2007	149, 158, 165, 170, 173, 185, 189, 200, 212, 239, 262, 272, 275, 339, 344
<i>Hokkanen v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 19823/92), 23 September 1994	130
<i>Hromadka and Hromadkova v. Russia</i> (Appl. No. 22909/10), 11 December 2014	141, 346
<i>Iglesias Casarrubios and Cantalapiedra Iglesias v. Spain</i> (Appl. No. 23298/12), 11 October 2016	144, 155, 164, 168, 171–172, 182, 185, 191, 199, 208, 239, 262, 272, 275, 323, 339, 342
<i>Ireland v. United Kingdom</i> (Appl. No. 5310/71), 18 January 1978	126
<i>Johansen v. Norway</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 12750/02), 10 October 2002	223, 236, 265
<i>Jucius and Juciuvienė v. Lithuania</i> (Appl. No. 14414/03), 25 November 2008	172, 205–206, 211, 240, 342
<i>K. and T. v. Finland</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 25702/94), 8 June 1999	153, 193
<i>K.A. v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 27751/95), 14 January 2003	255
<i>K.B. and others v. Croatia</i> (Appl. No. 36216/13), 14 March 2017	121, 141, 144, 201, 213–214, 235, 294, 298
<i>Kajari v. Finland</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 65040/01), 14 February 2006	164
<i>Kajari v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 65040/01), 23 October 2007	155, 164, 177, 190
<i>Karner v. Austria</i> (Appl. No. 40016/98), 24 July 2003	126
<i>Khusnutdinov and X v. Russia</i> (Appl. No. 76598/12), 18 December 2018	144, 205, 209, 213, 221, 224, 233, 249, 256, 265, 271–272, 290–291, 297, 346
<i>Kijowski v. Poland</i> (Appl. No. 33829/07), 5 April 2011	182, 227, 235, 265

<i>Kosmopoulou v. Greece</i> (Appl. No. 60457/00), 5 February 2004	204, 210, 240, 288, 294, 305
<i>Kuhlen-Rafsandjani v. Germany</i> (Appl. Nos. 21980/06, 26944/07 and 36948/08), 20 January 2011.....	155, 193
<i>L. v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 25651/94), 27 April 2000	165, 210, 241, 254
<i>L.R. v. North Macedonia</i> (Appl. No. 38067/15), 23 January 2020	142
<i>M. and M. v. Croatia</i> (Appl. No. 10161/13), 3 September 2015.....	10, 129, 151, 155, 157, 165, 167, 171, 182, 184, 191–192, 198, 217, 231, 235, 238, 251, 257, 262, 264, 271, 273, 275, 339, 342, 344, 411
<i>M.K. v. Greece</i> (Appl. No. 51312/16), 1 February 2018.....	167, 182, 184, 198, 208, 220–221, 233, 257, 265, 301–302, 307, 339, 357
<i>Marckx v. Belgium</i> (Appl. No. 6833/74), 13 June 1979	327
<i>Maumousseau and Washington v. France</i> (Appl. No. 39388/05), 6 December 2007	166–167, 178, 259, 270, 275, 277, 339
<i>McIlwrath v. Russia</i> (Appl. No. 60393/13), 18 July 2017.....	156, 163, 193, 339
<i>Miffek v. Czech Republic</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 55069/00), 27 January 2003	223, 235
<i>Mihailova v. Bulgaria</i> (Appl. No. 35978/02), 12 January 2006	224, 235
<i>Milovanović v. Serbia</i> (Appl. No. 56065/10), 8 October 2019.....	210, 241, 255
<i>Moog v. Germany</i> (Appl. Nos. 23280/08 and 2334/10), 6 October 2016	141, 144, 150, 157, 163, 166, 168, 171–172, 174, 190, 199–200, 290
<i>Mustafa and Armağan Akın v. Turkey</i> (Appl. No. 4694/03), 6 April 2010.....	168, 173, 344
<i>N.Ts. and others v. Georgia</i> (Appl. No. 71776/12), 2 February 2016	90, 150, 155, 164, 167, 172, 174, 184, 189, 191–192, 198, 200, 206, 217–218, 231, 299, 306, 325, 339, 341, 363
<i>Nanning v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 39741/02), 12 July 2007	173–174, 185, 210, 233, 239, 255
<i>Ónodi v. Hungary</i> (Appl. No. 38647/09), 30 May 2017	255, 273, 288
<i>Paulsen-Medalen and Svensson v. Sweden</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 47411/99), 30 September 2003.....	150, 153, 179, 219, 223, 233, 265–266, 276
<i>Paradis and others v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 4783/03), 15 May 2003	150, 157, 178, 216, 260, 277, 299
<i>Paulsen-Medalen and Svensson v. Sweden</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 47411/99), 30 September 2003.....	150, 153, 179, 219, 223, 233, 265–266, 276
<i>Petersen v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. Nos. 38282/97 and 68891/01), 12 January 2006	223, 254, 290
<i>Petrov and X v. Russia</i> (Appl. No. 23608/16), 23 October 2018.....	161, 213, 249, 253, 256, 268, 274, 315, 342
<i>Pini and others v. Romania</i> (Appl. Nos. 78028/01 and 78030/01), 22 June 2004	267
<i>Pisică v. Republic of Moldova</i> (Appl. No. 23641/17), 29 October 2019.....	121, 213
<i>Plaza v. Poland</i> (Appl. No. 18830/07), 25 January 2011	146, 204, 208, 213, 220, 223, 231–232, 236, 249, 255–256, 264, 271–274, 339
<i>R. v. Finland</i> (Appl. No. 34141/96), 30 May 2006	159, 164, 193, 204, 240, 254
<i>R.L. and others v. Denmark</i> (Appl. No. 52629/11), 7 March 2017.....	182

<i>Rantsev v. Cyprus and Russia</i> (Appl. No. 25965/04), 7 January 2010	126
<i>Raw and others v. France</i> (Appl. No. 10131/11), 7 March 2013	121, 144, 215, 339
<i>Rouiller v. Switzerland</i> (Appl. No. 3592/08), 22 July 2014.	156, 162–163, 178, 190, 206, 211, 216, 292, 339
<i>S.C. v. United Kingdom</i> (Appl. No. 60958/00), 15 June 2004	127
<i>Sahin v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 30943/96), 11 October 2001.	170, 180–181, 185, 191
<i>Sahin v. Germany</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 30943/96), 8 July 2003	129, 130, 149, 155, 159, 162, 164–168, 180, 199, 256, 258, 272, 275, 411
<i>Scozzari and Giunta v. Italy</i> [GC] (Appl. Nos. 39221/98 and 41963/98), 13 July 2000.	141, 288, 300
<i>Siebert v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 59008/00), 9 June 2005	141, 153, 176, 178, 259, 272, 275
<i>Sommerfeld v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 31871/96), 11 October 2001	296
<i>Sommerfeld v. Germany</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 31871/96), 8 July 2003	166–168, 191, 199, 224, 239, 255–256, 267, 288, 293, 305, 411
<i>Stankūnaitė v. Lithuania</i> (Appl. No. 67068/11), 29 October 2019.	226, 339, 341
<i>Süss v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 40324/98), 20 September 2001	162, 208, 241
<i>Süss v. Germany</i> (Appl. No. 40324/98), 10 November 2005.	160, 163, 177, 190, 204, 211, 226, 238, 289, 299, 306
<i>T. v. United Kingdom</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 24724/94), 16 December 1999.	127
<i>T.S. and J.J. v. Norway</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 15633/15), 11 October 2016.	219, 223, 236, 264–265, 276, 339
<i>Tiemann v. France and Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. Nos. 47457/99 and 47458/99), 27 April 2000.	206, 223
<i>Tyrer v. United Kingdom</i> (Appl. No. 5856/72), 25 April 1978.	124
<i>V. v. United Kingdom</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 24888/94), 16 December 1999.	127
<i>V.S. v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 4261/02), 22 May 2007	165
<i>Vámosi v. Hungary</i> (Appl. No. 71657/01), 23 March 2004	288, 292, 306
<i>W.F. v. Italy</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 34803/97), 5 April 2001.	203, 220, 233, 266, 272, 289
<i>Wildgruber v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. No. 32817/02), 16 October 2006	141, 144, 157–158, 166, 168–169, 177–178, 190, 199
<i>Wildgruber v. Germany</i> (dec.) (Appl. Nos. 42402/05 and 42423/05), 29 January 2008	149, 156–157, 166, 168–169, 177–178, 190, 199, 226, 239, 290, 297
<i>X. v. Latvia</i> (Appl. No. 27853/09), 13 December 2011	258, 275, 277
<i>X. v. Latvia</i> [GC] (Appl. No. 27853/09), 26 November 2013.	258, 275, 277, 339
<i>Z.J. v. Lithuania</i> (Appl. No. 60092/12), 29 April 2014.	206, 241, 339, 341
<i>Zdravković v. Serbia</i> (Appl. No. 28181/11), 20 September 2016	288
<i>Zelikha Magomadova v. Russia</i> (Appl. No. 58724/14), 8 October 2019.	121, 153, 161, 168, 171, 184, 191, 213, 249, 251, 253, 256, 262, 268, 274–275, 298, 342

UN COMMITTEE ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

<i>A.D. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/80/D/14/2017), 1 February 2019.....	74
<i>A.D. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/83/D/21/2017), 4 February 2020.....	78–79
<i>A.L. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/81/D/16/2017), 31 May 2019.....	78–79
<i>B.G. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/85/D/38/2017), 28 September 2020.....	74, 79
<i>C.E. v. Belgium</i> (CRC/C/79/D/12/2017), 27 September 2018.....	79–80
<i>D.C. v. Germany</i> (CRC/C/83/D/60/2018), 4 February 2020.....	74
<i>D.K.N. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/80/D/15/2017), 1 February 2019.....	78–79
<i>E.A. and U.A. v. Switzerland</i> (CRC/C/85/D/56/2018), 28 September 2020.....	79–80
<i>E.H.R.S., A.I.R.S. and A.H.R.S. v. Argentina</i> (CRC/C/85/D/90/2019), 4 November 2020.....	74
<i>J.A. and E.A. v. Switzerland</i> (CRC/C/85/D/53/2018), 28 September 2020.....	74
<i>J.A.B. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/81/D/22/2017), 31 May 2019.....	78–79
<i>J.G. v. Switzerland</i> (CRC/C/81/D/47/2018), 28 June 2019.....	74
<i>J.J., O.L., A.J. and A.S. v. Finland</i> (CRC/C/85/D/87/2019), 11 November 2020.....	74
<i>L.D. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/85/D/37/2017), 28 September 2020.....	74
<i>L.H.L. and A.H.L. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/81/D/13/2017), 15 May 2019.....	75–77
<i>M.A.B. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/83/D/24/2017), 7 February 2020.....	79
<i>M.B. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/78/D/39/2017), 12 June 2018.....	74
<i>M.B. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/85/D/28/2017), 28 September 2020.....	79
<i>M.B.S. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/85/D/26/2017), 28 September 2020.....	79
<i>M.I.M. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/82/D/54/2018), 13 November 2019.....	74
<i>M.T. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/82/D/17/2017), 18 September 2019.....	78–79
<i>N.B.F. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/79/D/11/2017), 27 September 2018.....	78–79
<i>R.K. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/82/D/27/2017), 18 September 2019.....	78–79
<i>S.M.A. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/85/D/40/2017), 28 September 2020.....	79
<i>Y. and Z. v. Finland</i> (CRC/C/81/D/6/2016), 15 May 2019.....	75–78
<i>Y.M. v. Spain</i> (CRC/C/78/D/8/2016), 31 May 2018.....	74

