

## PLATFORM WORK IN EUROPE



# PLATFORM WORK IN EUROPE

Towards Harmonisation?

*Edited by*

Maria Teresa CARINCI

Filip DORSSEMONT

 INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd  
8 Wellington Mews  
Wellington Street | Cambridge  
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 1223 736 170  
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and  
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*  
NBN International  
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley  
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD  
United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for Europe*  
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV  
Hoogstraat 139/6  
1000 Brussels  
Belgium  
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

*Distribution for the USA and Canada*  
Independent Publishers Group  
Order Department  
814 North Franklin Street  
Chicago, IL 60610  
USA  
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985  
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

## Platform Work in Europe. Towards Harmonisation?

© The editors and contributors severally 2021

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: © Natalia Goncharova, Cyclist, 1913. ABAM/UPRAVIS, 2021

ISBN 978-1-83970-164-1

D/2021/7849/111

NUR 823

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

*The Authors would like to thank Giulia Cassano, Silvia Magagnoli and Tommaso Maserati for the collaboration in editing the book.*



# CONTENTS

<i>List of Cases</i> .....	xiii
<i>List of Authors</i> .....	xix

## Introduction

Maria Teresa CARINCI and Filip DORSEMONT .....	1
--	---

## PART I. NATIONAL PERSPECTIVES

### Platform Work: The Belgian Case

Fabienne KÉFER .....	7
1. Introduction .....	7
2. Importance of the Phenomenon of Platform Workers in Belgium .....	8
3. Classification of the Relationship by Case Law .....	9
4. Classification of the Relationship by Statutory Law ‘À Droit Constant’ .....	10
4.1. Provisions Related to the Classification of the Working Relationship .....	11
4.2. The Effects of a Reclassification of the Working Relationship .....	17
4.3. Minimum Protection for Self-Employed Individuals .....	18
4.4. Platform Workers’ Specific Regulation .....	20
5. Legislative Proposals .....	22
6. The Organisation of the Defence of Platform Workers’ Interests .....	22
7. Conclusion .....	26

### ‘App-Based’ Work: The German Case

Rüdiger KRAUSE .....	29
1. Introduction .....	29
2. Empirical Data on Platform Work .....	32
3. Legal Status of Platform Workers .....	35
3.1. The Triangular Relationship of Platform Worker, Platform and Client .....	36

3.2. Platform Workers as Employees. . . . .	37
3.3. Platform Workers as Employee-Like Persons. . . . .	41
3.4. Platform Workers as Homeworkers. . . . .	43
3.5. Review of General Terms and Conditions . . . . .	44
3.6. Jurisdiction and Applicable Law . . . . .	45
4. Activities at the Political Level . . . . .	45
5. Activities of Labour Market Actors . . . . .	47
5.1. Code of Conduct of Platform Operators . . . . .	47
5.2. Initiatives of Established Trade Unions. . . . .	48
5.3. Self-Empowerment of Platform Workers . . . . .	52
6. Conclusion . . . . .	54

**Case Law Approaches and Regulatory Choices on Platform Work:**

**The Italian Case**

Maria Teresa CARINCI . . . . .	57
1. The Importance of the Phenomenon: Quantitative and Qualitative Data . . . . .	57
2. The Legal Framework for Workers in the Gig Economy: Responses of the Courts . . . . .	60
3. Differences, According to Case Law, Among the Forms of Work in the Italian System . . . . .	66
4. The Legislative Regulation of Platform Work Carried Out by Self-Employed Riders. . . . .	70
5. Collective Bargaining Agreements for Employed or Self-Employed Riders . . . . .	73
6. Conclusion . . . . .	78

**The Battle between the Legislator and Judges Over Platform Worker**

**Accountability: The French Case**

Barbara GOMES and Tatiana SACHS . . . . .	83
1. Introduction . . . . .	83
2. Chronology of a Duel . . . . .	85
2.1. The <i>Loi Travail</i> (2016) . . . . .	85
2.2. Judges' Resistance: The Take Eat Easy (2018) and Uber (2020) Judgments . . . . .	86
2.3. The <i>Loi d'Orientation des Mobilités</i> (2019) . . . . .	88
3. Lessons from the Battle. . . . .	91
4. Perspectives . . . . .	93



<b>The ‘App-Based’ Employment Relationship: The Spanish Case</b>	
Fernando FITA ORTEGA . . . . .	97
1. Importance of the Phenomenon . . . . .	97
2. The Qualification of the Activity Carried Out by Platform Workers . . . . .	99
2.1. Different Legal Status for Platform Workers in Spain . . . . .	99
2.2. The Clue System and its Suitability for Platform Work . . . . .	103
2.3. Criteria of Spanish Labour Courts: Employment Status of Platform Workers . . . . .	105
3. Collective Rights of Platform Workers . . . . .	113
3.1. Right to Strike . . . . .	113
3.2. Right to Collective Bargaining . . . . .	118
3.3. Right to Unionism and Representation . . . . .	120
4. Conclusion . . . . .	123
<b>Platform Work: The Dutch Case</b>	
Antoine JACOBS . . . . .	127
1. Introduction . . . . .	127
2. Mapping the Mini-Job Economy and Platform Work in the Netherlands . . . . .	128
3. Various Types of Work Platforms . . . . .	130
4. What is the Legal Position of Platform Work in the Netherlands? . . . . .	134
5. What are the Challenges of Platform Work for the Organisation of the Labour Market? . . . . .	139
6. The Opposition Proposals . . . . .	142
7. The Wider Context of the Fragmentation of the Labour Market . . . . .	143
8. The Opinions of the Social Partners and Other Stakeholders . . . . .	146
9. The Borstlap Commission on Platform Work . . . . .	148
10. Recent Developments . . . . .	150
11. Conclusion . . . . .	151
<b>Regulatory and Jurisprudential Perspectives on Platform Work:</b>	
<b>The UK Case</b>	
Nicola COUNTOURIS . . . . .	153
1. Introduction. The Relevance of Platform Work in the UK . . . . .	153
2. The Legal Construction of Platform Work . . . . .	155

3. Regulating App-Based Work Relations . . . . .	157
4. There's a Gap in Your App! Protective Loopholes in the Regulation of the Gig Economy . . . . .	160
5. Platform Work and Conflicts of Law . . . . .	163
6. Platform Work and Collective Bargaining . . . . .	164
7. Platform Work as a Paradigm for the Future of Work in the UK: Reform Proposals. . . . .	165
8. Conclusions . . . . .	169

PART II. EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVES

**Crowdwork and Work On-Demand in the European Legal Framework: Promises and Expectations**

Luca RATTI. . . . .	173
---------------------	-----

1. Introduction . . . . .	173
2. The Role of EU Law between <i>Sein</i> and <i>Sollen</i> . . . . .	175
3. A Contextualisation of Legal Tests in the Case Law of the CJEU . . . . .	181
4. Role and Ambition of EU Legislative Initiatives . . . . .	189
4.1. Regulation 2019/1150: Anything Other than Transparency Rules? . . . . .	191
4.2. The European Pillar of Social Rights as a Steppingstone . . . . .	194
4.3. Social Protection: For All?. . . . .	196
4.4. The 2019/1152 Directive on Transparent and Predictable Working Conditions: Another Broken Promise?. . . . .	199
5. Toward a Coherent EU Strategy on Platform Work. . . . .	203

**Collective Workers' Rights for Workers in the Gig Economy**

Filip DORSSEMONT . . . . .	209
----------------------------	-----

1. Introduction . . . . .	209
2. Freedom of Association . . . . .	211
3. Right to Collective Bargaining. . . . .	215
4. Right to Collective Action . . . . .	218
5. Right to Information and Consultation . . . . .	221
6. Conclusion . . . . .	224

## Platform Work in Europe: A Comparative Analysis

Maria Teresa CARINCI and Filip DORSEMONT .....	227
1. The Extent of 'Platform Work' .....	227
2. Contractual Relations and Applicable Protections. ....	228
2.1. Subject Matter of the Research: 'Work via Platform' .....	228
2.2. The Classification of 'Work via Platform' by Judges: Tentential Acknowledgement of the Subordinate Nature of the Employment Relationship .....	230
2.3. National Laws Governing the Employment Relationship of 'Workers via Platform': Do they Add or Remove Protection? .....	234
3. Platform Work as a Challenge for Industrial Relations .....	237
3.1. The Nature of the <i>Challenge</i> for Traditional and Alternative Trade Unions. ....	237
3.2. Collective Bargaining. ....	240
3.3. Collective Action .....	241
3.4. Worker Involvement .....	243
<i>Bibliography</i> .....	245
<i>Annex: The Questionnaire</i> .....	259
<i>Index</i> .....	261



# LIST OF CASES

## SUPRANATIONAL

### COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

<i>Agegate</i> , C-3/87, EU:C:1989:650 . . . . .	187
<i>Albany International BV v. Stichting Bedrijfspensioenfonds Textielindustrie</i> , Case C-67/96, ECLI:EU:C:1999:430 . . . . .	178
<i>Allonby</i> , C-256/01, EU:C:2004:18 . . . . .	113, 187
<i>Asociación Profesional Élite Taxi v Uber Systems Spain, SL</i> , C-434/15, ECLI:EU:C:2017:981 . . . . .	113, 132, 181–182, 185
<i>B v Yodel Delivery Network Ltd</i> , C-692/19, ECLI:EU:C:2020:288 . . . . .	112, 163, 187
<i>Becu and Others</i> , C-22/98, EU:C:1999:419 . . . . .	187
<i>Betriebsrat der Ruhrlandklinik gGmbH v Ruhrlandklinik gGmbH</i> , Case C-216/15, ECLI:EU:C:2016:883 . . . . .	207
<i>Fenoll</i> , Case C-316/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:200 . . . . .	188
<i>FNV Kunsten Informatie en Media v. Staat der Nederlanden</i> , C-413/13, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2215 . . . . .	49, 147, 185–187
<i>Grupo Itevelesa SL and a. v. Oca Inspección Técnica de Vehículos SA and Generalidad de Cataluña</i> , Case C-168/14, ECLI: EU:C:2015:685 . . . . .	183
<i>Lawrie Blum</i> , Case C-66/85, ECLI:EU:C:1986:284 . . . . .	105
<i>Pavel Pavlov and Others v. Stichting Pensioenfonds Medische Specialisten</i> , Case C-180/98, ECLI:EU:C:2000:428 . . . . .	178, 185
<i>Rush Portuguesa Lda v. Office national d'immigration</i> , Case C-113/89, ECLI:EU:C:1990:142 . . . . .	178
<i>Uber France</i> , Case C-320/16, ECLI:EU:C:2018:221 . . . . .	183
<i>Werner Mangold v. Rüdiger Helm</i> , Case C-144/04, ECLI:EU:C:2005:709 . . . . .	180

### EUROPEAN COURT ON HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Demir and Baykara v. Turkey</i> , no. 34503/97, 12 November 2008 . . . . .	216
<i>Hrvatski liječnički sindikat v. Croatia</i> , no. 36701/09, 27 November 2014 . . . . .	202
<i>Manole and the Direct Farmers of Romania v. Romania</i> , no. 46551/06, 16 June 2015 . . . . .	215
<i>Sigurdur Sigurjonsson v. Iceland</i> , no. 16130/90, 30 June 1993 . . . . .	212
<i>Sindicatul Pastoral Cel Bun v. Romania</i> , no. 2330/09, 9 July 2013 . . . . .	212
<i>Swedish Transport Workers' Union v. Sweden</i> , no. 53507/99, 18 July 2006 . . . . .	218

## NATIONAL

## BELGIUM

Constitutional Court, 23 April 2020, n° 53/2020. ....	21
Cass., 9 April 1979, Pasicrisie, 1979, p. 945 .....	16
Cass., 6 December 2010, Pasicrisie, 2010, p. 3097 .....	14
Cass., 10 October 2016, Pasicrisie, 2016, p. 1932. ....	14
Cass., 18 October 2010, Pasicrisie, 2010, p. 2662, n° 610 .....	12
Cass., 26 March 2013, Pasicrisie, 2013, p. 793 .....	14
Tribunal du travail francophone de Brussels, 7 e chambre, 3 July 2019, R.G. 18/2076/A, unpublished. ....	10
Commission administrative de règlement de la relation de travail, 23 February 2018, <i>J.L.M.B.</i> , 2018, p. 863 .....	10
Commission administrative de règlement de la relation de travail, 26 October 2020. ....	10

## FRANCE

Cons. const. 11 Apr. 2014, No. 2014-388 QPC, consid. 5. ....	90
Cons. const. 20 Dec. 2019, No. 2019-794 DC, para. 29. ....	90
Cons. const. 4 Sep. 2018, No. 2018-769. ....	88, 91
Cour de cassation, chambre sociale, 4 March 2020, n° 19-13.316. ....	87

## GERMANY

BAG 02.10.1990-4 AZR 106/90, NZA 1991, 239. ....	42
BAG 16.04.2003-7 AZR 187/02, NZA 2004, 40. ....	38
BAG 12.11.2008-7 ABR 73/07, AP BetrVG 1972 §9 Nr. 13. ....	38
BAG 15.02.2012-10 AZR 111/11, NZA 2012, 733 .....	38
BAG 14.06.2016-9 AZR 305/15, NZA 2016, 1453 .....	38, 43
BAG 01.12.2020-9 AZR 102/20, NZA 2021, 552. ....	39
BAG 30.08.2000-5 AZB 12/00, NZA 2000, 1359, 1360 .....	42
BAG 31.07.2002-7 AZR 181/01, AP TzBfG §12 Nr. 1. ....	42
BAG 17.01.2006-9 AZR 61/05, NJOZ 2006, 3821. ....	42
BAG 21.02.2007-5 AZB 52/06, NZA 2007, 699. BAG 21.12.2010-10 AZB 14/10, NZA 2011, 309 .....	42
BAG 16.05.2012-5 AZR 268/11, NZA 2012, 974. ....	38
BAG 21.07.2015-9 AZR 484/14, NZA-RR 2016, 344 .....	38
BAG 21.05.2019-9 AZR 295/18, NZA 2019, 1411 .....	38
LG Berlin 09.03.2018-102 O 72/17 AktG, ZIP 2018, 1692 .....	53

LAG Berlin-Brandenburg 16.02.2010–19 SaGa 2480/09, BeckRS 2010, 68201.....	53
LAG Munich 04.12.2019–8 Sa 146/19, NZA 2020, 314 .....	40
LAG Hessen 12.03.2021-14 Sa 306/20, Juris, paras. 5-6, 24.....	40

## ITALY

Constitutional Court, 25 March 1993 n. 121 .....	71
Constitutional Court, 23 March 1994, n. 115.....	71
Constitutional Court, 12 February 1996, n. 30 .....	65, 67, 233
Constitutional Court, 15 April 2015, n. 76.....	71
Court of Cassation, 24 January 2020, n. 1663 .....	63, 231
Court of Appeal of Turin, 4 February 2019 .....	62–63, 231
Court of Turin, 7 May 2018, n. 778 .....	61
Court of Milan, 10 September 2018, n. 1853 .....	62, 231
Court of Rome, 6 May 2019, n. 4243.....	70
Court of Milan, 27 May 2020 .....	62, 64–65, 70, 231
Court of Palermo, 24 November 2020, n. 3570 .....	64–65, 70, 231, 233
Court of Florence, 9 February 2021.....	243
Court of Milano, 28 March 2021 .....	64, 231
Court of Bologna, 30 June 2021.....	76, 237

## NETHERLANDS

Court of Appeal, Amsterdam, 16 February 2021, ECLI:NL:GHAMS:2021:392.....	138
Tribunal of Midden-Nederland, 20 July 2015, ECLI:NL:RBMNE:2015:5373 ....	148
Tribunal of Amsterdam, 23 July 2018, ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2018:5183.....	137
Tribunal of Amsterdam, 15 January 2019, ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2019:198.....	137
Tribunal of Amsterdam, 15 January 2019, ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2019:210.....	137
Tribunal Amsterdam, 1 July 2019, ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2019:4546 .....	135
Tribunal of Amsterdam, 26 August 2019, ECLI:NL:RBAMS:2019:6292 .....	137

## SPAIN

Constitutional Court 11/1981, of 8 April 1981 .....	113, 115
STSJ Madrid nº 715/2019 .....	107
STSJ Asturias nº. 1818 of 25 July 2019.....	108
Appeal Judgment of Tribunal Superior de Justicia of Madrid nº 715/2019, of 19 September 2019, confirming in the first instance Judgment nº 284/2018 of <i>Juzgado de lo Social</i> nº 39 of Madrid (recognising a real TRADE).....	107

281/2005 of the Spanish Constitutional Court, of 13 December 2005 . . . . .	121
n° 284/2018 of <i>Juzgado de lo Social</i> n° 39 of Madrid. . . . .	109
n° 53/2019 of <i>Juzgado de lo Social</i> n° 33 of Madrid of 11 February 2019 (sentence n°. 53/2019). . . . .	116
n° 53/2019, of <i>Juzgado de lo Social</i> of Madrid of 11 February 2019 . . . . .	117
n° 193/2019 of <i>Juzgado de lo Social</i> n° 31 Barcelona, of 11 June 2019 . . . . .	103, 108–109, 117
n°. 805, 25 September 2020. . . . .	112
Supreme Court n° 263 of 26 February 1986 or 22 December 1992, appeal n° 2654/1991. . . . .	108
<i>Juzgado Mercantil</i> No 3 de Barcelona (Spain) lodged on 7.08.2015 . . . . .	181
La Repubblica 2 June 2018 and <i>ILSole24ore</i> 2 June 2018 in the previously cited research . . . . .	59
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Asturias) n°. 1818/2019, of 25 July 2019. . . . .	105–106
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Madrid) n° 1155 of 27 November 2019 . . . . .	108
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Madrid) n° 1155/2019 27 November, n°. 40/2020 of 17 January, n°. 68/2020 of 3 February, n° 1223/2019 of 18 December . . . . .	106
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Madrid), n°. 40/2020 of 17 January. . . . .	106
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Castilla y León/ Valladolid) n°. 291/2020 of 17 February . . . . .	106
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Cataluña) n°, 1034/2020 of 21 February, n° 1432/2020 of 7 May, n° 1449/2020 of 12 May, n°. 2557/2020 of 16 June, n°. 4021/2020 of 22 September . . . . .	106
Tribunal Superior de Justicia (Galicia) appeal n° 1188/2020 of 18 November . . .	106
Spanish Supreme Court ( <i>Tribunal Supremo</i> ) of 25 September 2020 (Judgment n° 805/2020), which invalidated Judgment n°. 715/2019 of <i>Tribunal Superior de Justicia</i> Madrid of 19 September 2019 . . . . .	106
Deliveroo riders (Auto Tribunal Supremo of 15 May 2021, appeal n° 1618/2020). . . . .	112

## UNITED KINGDOM

<i>Autoclenz Ltd v Belcher</i> [2011] UKSC 41 . . . . .	158
<i>Jivraj v Hashwani</i> [2011] UKSC 40 . . . . .	156
<i>Clyde &amp; Co LLP &amp; Anor v Bates van Winkelhof</i> [2014] UKSC 32 . . . . .	156
<i>Uber BV v Aslam</i> [2021] UKSC 5. . . . .	157–158
<i>Uber BV v Aslam</i> [2018] EWCA Civ 2748 . . . . .	157
<i>Uber v Aslam</i> [2018] EWCA Civ 2748. . . . .	158, 164
<i>IWGB v Deliveroo</i> [2021] EWCA Civ 952. . . . .	162–163
<i>IWGB v CAC/Deliveroo</i> , [2018] EWHC 3342 (Admin) . . . . .	162
<i>R (Independent Workers' Union of Great Britain) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions</i> [2020] EWHC 3050 (Admin). . . . .	170



<i>Dewhurst v CitySprint UK Ltd</i> (ET2202512/2016, 22 November 2016) . . . . .	108
<i>Dewhurst v CitySprint UK Ltd</i> (ET 2202512/2016, 5 Jan 2017) . . . . .	157
<i>Mr A Boxer v Excel Group Services Ltd</i> (ET 3200365/2016, 22 March 2017) . . . . .	157
<i>Lange v Addison Lee Ltd</i> (ET 2208029/2016, 25 September 2017) . . . . .	157
<i>Leyland and others v Hermes</i> (ET 1800575/2017, 22 June 2018) . . . . .	165
<i>Byrne Bros (Formwork) Ltd v Baird</i> [2002] ICR 667 . . . . .	161
<i>Aslam v Uber BV</i> [2016] EW Misc B68 (ET) (28 October 2016) . . . . .	157
<i>IWGB v RooFoods Ltd T/A Deliveroo</i> , Case Number: TUR1/985(2016) . . . . .	162



## LIST OF AUTHORS

*Maria Teresa Carinci*

Full Professor, University of Milan, Italy

*Nicola Countouris*

Director of the Research Department at the European Trade Union Institute (ETUI), Belgium; Professor of Labour Law and European Law, University College London (UCL), United Kingdom

*Filip Dorssemont*

Full Professor, Catholic University of Louvain (UCLouvain), and Visiting Professor, Free University of Brussels (VUB), Belgium

*Fernando Fita Ortega*

Associate Professor of Labour and Social Security Law, University of Valencia, Spain

*Barbara Gomes*

Assistant Professor in Labour Law, Polytechnic University of Hauts-de-France, France

*Antoine Jacobs*

Emeritus Professor of Labour Law, Social Security Law and Social Policy, Tilburg University, the Netherlands

*Fabienne Kéfer*

Full Professor of Labour Law, University of Liège, Belgium

*Rüdiger Krause*

Full Professor of Civil Law and Labour Law, University of Göttingen, Germany

*Luca Ratti*

Associate Professor of European and Comparative Labour Law, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

*Tatiana Sachs*

Assistant Professor in Labour Law, Paris Nanterre University, France

