

## RIGHTS OF FAMILIES OF DISAPPEARED PERSONS

## SERIES ON TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

The Series on *Transitional Justice* offers a platform for high-quality research within the rapidly growing field of transitional justice. The research is, of necessity inter-disciplinary in nature, drawing from disciplines such as law, political science, history, sociology, criminology, anthropology and psychology, as well as from various specialised fields of study such as human rights, victimology and peace studies. Furthermore, the research is international in outlook, drawing on the knowledge and experience of academics and other specialists in many different regions of the world.

The series is aimed at a variety of audiences who are either working or interested in fields such as crime and justice; human rights; humanitarian law and human security; conflict resolution and peace building. These audiences include academics, researchers, students, policy makers, practitioners, non-governmental organisations and the media.

All books published within the series are subject to a double-blind peer review by recognised authorities in the field.

### The General Editors of the Series are:

- Prof. Stephan Parmentier (Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium)
- Prof. Jeremy Sarkin (NOVA University of Lisbon, Portugal)
- Dr. Mina Rauschenbach (Université de Lausanne and University of Leuven)

The general editors receive support from an Advisory Board, consisting of internationally renowned academics and practitioners.

### Published titles within this Series:

11. Ilse Derluyn, Cindy Mels, Stephan Parmentier and Wouter Vandenhoele (eds.), *Re-Member. Rehabilitation, Reintegration and Reconciliation of War-Affected Children* (2012), 97894-000-0027-4
12. Anne-Marie de Brouwer, Charlotte Ku, Renée Römkens and Larissa van den Herik (eds.), *Sexual Violence as an International Crime: Interdisciplinary Approaches* (2012), 978-1-78068-002-6
13. Estelle Zinsstag and Martha Albertson Fineman (eds.), *Feminist Perspectives on Transitional Justice: From International and Criminal to Alternative Forms of Justice* (2013), 978-1-78068-142-9
14. Josep M. Tamarit Sumalla, *Historical Memory and Criminal Justice in Spain. A Case of Late Transitional Justice* (2013), 978-1-78068-143-6
15. Catherine Jenkins (ed.), Max du Plessis (ed.), *Law, Nation building and Transformation The South African experience in perspective* (2014), 978-1-78068-184-9
16. Susanne Buckley-Zistel and Stefanie Schäfer (eds.), *Memorials in Times of Transition* (2014), 978-1-78068-211-2
17. Nico Wouters (ed.), *Transitional Justice and Memory in Europe (1945–2013)*, 978-1-78068-214-3
18. Agata Fijalkowski and Raluca Grosescu (eds.), *Transitional Criminal Justice in Post-Dictatorial and Post-Conflict Societies*, 978-1-78068-260-0
19. S. Elizabeth Bird and Fraser M. Ottanelli (eds.), *The Performance of Memory as Transitional Justice* 978-1-78068-262-4
20. Bo Viktor Nylund, *Child Soldiers and Transitional Justice*, 978-1-78068-394-2
21. Peter Malcontent (ed.), *Facing the Past: Amending Historical Injustices through Instruments of Transitional Justice*, 978-1-78068-403-1
22. Francesca Capone, *Reparations for Child Victims of Armed Conflict: State of the Field and Current Challenges*, 978-1-78068-438-3
23. Cheryl S. White, *Bridging Divides in Transitional Justice: The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia*, 978-1-78068-440-6
24. Jeremy Sarkin (ed.), *The Global Impact and Legacy of Truth Commissions*, 978-1-78068-794-0
25. Camila de Gamboa Tapias and Bert van Roermund (eds.), *Just Memories: Remembrance and Restoration in the Aftermath of Political Violence*, 978-1-78068-908-1

For previous volumes in the series, please visit <http://tj.intersentia.com>

RIGHTS OF FAMILIES  
OF DISAPPEARED PERSONS

How International Bodies Address  
the Needs of Families of Disappeared  
Persons in Europe

Grażyna BARANOWSKA



INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd  
8 Wellington Mews  
Wellington Street | Cambridge  
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 1223 736 170  
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and  
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*  
NBN International  
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley  
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD  
United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for Europe*  
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV  
Hoogstraat 139/6  
1000 Brussels  
Belgium  
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

*Distribution for the USA and Canada*  
Independent Publishers Group  
Order Department  
814 North Franklin Street  
Chicago, IL 60610  
USA  
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985  
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

**Rights of Families of Disappeared Persons. How International Bodies Address  
the Needs of Families of Disappeared Persons in Europe**

© Grażyna Baranowska 2021

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: Author Jan Jordaan, title 'Hurt', medium Linocut, 1999. From the Universal Declaration of Human Rights – International Print Portfolio, Article 5, published by Art for Humanity, South Africa, 1999.

ISBN 978-1-83970-137-5  
D/2021/7849/46  
NUR 828



British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS\*

This book would not have been possible without the support that I was very fortunate to receive. It reflects many years of academic interest in enforced disappearances, including during the work on my doctoral thesis. I had the incredible privilege to begin my journey by talking with and interviewing persons affected by enforced disappearances in Turkey (Istanbul and Diyarbakir) and Cyprus in 2012. I continue to be inspired by their stories, experience, and hospitality, as well as remain in admiration of the brave and wise lawyers, who brought their cases to domestic and international courts.

I want to begin by expressing my gratitude to the supervisor of my doctoral research, Prof. Roman Wieruszewski, who always encouraged me to explore and develop my ideas. Moreover, I am indebted to my thesis co-supervisor, Dr Katarzyna Sękowska-Kozłowska, for her helpful comments and feedback. I am also very grateful for the efforts of the reviewers, Prof. Michał Balcerzak and Prof. Barbara Mikołajczyk.

I received the necessary financial support for the research conducted through projects from the Institute of Law Studies of the Polish Academy of Sciences,<sup>1</sup> the National Science Centre in Poland,<sup>2</sup> and also from the ‘Memory Laws in European and Comparative Perspective’ project.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, I am most indebted to the Foundation for Polish Science and the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education for including me in their grant programs for young scholars.

I was fortunate to receive feedback from many individuals, whose input, criticism, and support proved invaluable. I would like to especially thank Prof. Ireneusz Kamiński, who was instrumental in my development of the chapter on the European Court of Human Rights. I greatly benefited from his insights as the lawyer in the *Janowiec vs Russia* case in Strasbourg (2013), and in

---

\* This book is based on: *Wymuszone Zaginięcia w Europie. Kształtowanie się międzynarodowych standardów zapobiegania i egzekwowania odpowiedzialności państw*, C.H. Beck, Warsaw, 2017; translation: James Hartzell.

<sup>1</sup> *Enforced disappearances in Europe* is a research project awarded by Institute of Law Studies of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 2016–2018.

<sup>2</sup> NCN, Preludium, 2013/11/N/HS5/04044, *Enforced disappearance in Europe: Development of legal standards* 2014-2016; NCN, Sonata, 2019/35/D/HS5/03700 *State obligations with regard to missing and disappeared persons under international law*, 2020–2023.

<sup>3</sup> ‘Memory Laws in European and Comparative Perspective,’ or MELA for short ([www.melaproject.org](http://www.melaproject.org)), is a research consortium supported with HERA (Humanities in European Research Area) grant no. 15.094

the subsequent communication brought to the UN Human Rights Committee. Moreover, I am indebted to Prof. Manfred Nowak, who shared his experience of dealing with enforced disappearances in Europe, in particular as the UN Expert in charge of the Special Process on Missing Persons or Enforced Disappearances and judge at the Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina. Thanks to Prof. Ariel Dulitzky, I could observe the meetings of the UN Working Group on Enforced Disappearances in 2014, during his time as Chair-Rapporteur. His knowledge about enforced disappearances in the Inter-American system continues to be invaluable, much like his support. Prof. Jeremy Sarkin has been extremely helpful both as an academic advisor and through sharing insights from his experiences. His support and belief in this book project were among the key factors that made it possible. I would also like to thank Prof. Gabriella Citroni, whose work keeps inspiring me, for her excellent comments that often challenge my assumptions. She remains a role model for her outstanding academic work and involvement in the various issues regarding victims of enforced disappearances.

Furthermore, the ideas and research on enforced disappearances and missing persons of numerous colleagues inspired my work. In particular, I would like to mention Prof. Olivier de Frouville (University of Paris 2, Panthéon-Assas), Prof. Bernard Duhaime (University of Quebec in Montreal), Prof. Gloria Gaggioli (Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights), Prof. Sévane Garibian (University of Geneva), Lene Guercke (KU Lueven), Dr Nasia Hadjigeorgiou (UCLan Cyprus), Dr Rainer Huhle (Nürnbeger Menschenrechtszentrum), Mariat Imaeva (Dublin City University), Dr Iosif Kovras (University of Cyprus), Dr Alessandra La Vaccara (International Commission on Missing Persons), and thank them for taking interest in my work.

Moreover, my research benefitted from feedback during the annual conferences of the European Union Studies Association Biennial Conference (Miami 2017), the Association of Human Rights Institutes (Brussel 2017, Potsdam 2019), the Memory Studies Association (Madrid 2019), the International Society of Public Law (Santiago 2019), but also conferences and workshops organised at the Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights, the NIOD Institute for War, Holocaust and Genocide Studies, the University of California, Berkeley. Some findings presented in this book were published earlier in several articles, including ‘Advances and progress in the obligation to return the remains of missing and forcibly disappeared persons’ (2018) 99 *International Review of the Red Cross*, ‘Families of disappeared persons in the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights’ (2018) 5 *European Human Rights Law Review*, and ‘Why Enforced Disappearances are Perpetrated against Groups as State Policy: Overlaps and Interconnections between Disappearances and Genocide’ (2018) 3 *Catolica Law Review* (with Jeremy Sarkin).

I greatly benefited from an encouraging and intellectually stimulating environment while working as a Postdoctoral Researcher in an international

research consortium ‘Memory Laws in European and Comparative Perspective MELA’, established with the Humanities in European Research Area HERA grant no. 15.094, involving the Queen Mary University of London, the University of Bologna, T.M.C. Asser Institute at the University of Amsterdam, and the Polish Academy of Sciences. The scholarship and insight of Prof. Eric Heinze and Prof. Emmanuela Fronza inspired me to take new paths and explore how states deal with the past. It was a privilege to work with Dr Uladzislau Belavusau, whose experienced guidance helped me throughout the project and ever since. I would also like to thank fellow MELA colleagues: Anna Wójcik, Dr León Castellanos-Jankiewicz, Dr Paolo Caroli, Dr Nanor Kebranian, and Dr Marina Bán, with whom I have had many fascinating discussions. I cannot thank Dr Aleksandra Gliszczynska-Grabias enough, under whose supervision I had the honour and pleasure to work, who has been a mentor for many years, and who has offered me her unconditional support at all steps throughout my career.

During the last phase of work on this book project, I was fortunate to work as a policy advisor for Barbara Lochbihler in her role as member of the UN Committee for Enforced Disappearances, which gave me further insight into the work of this treaty body. I enjoyed the supportive atmosphere at the German Institute for Human Rights and wish to thank Dr Silke Voß-Kyeck for the great cooperation.

My research greatly benefited from fruitful discussions during seminars at the Poznań Human Rights Centre of the Institute of Law Studies of the Polish Academy of Sciences, which has been my academic home for the last ten years. Working with Prof. Roman Wieruszewski, Dr Lena Kondratiewa-Bryzik, Dr Katarzyna Sękowska-Kozłowska, Dr Aleksandra Gliszczynska-Grabias, Dr Anna Hernandez-Pończyńska, Dr Łukasz Szoszkiewicz, and Joanna Grygiel has been a great pleasure. I am now looking forward to continuing my academic work on disappeared and missing persons at the Centre for Fundamental Rights of the Hertie School, where I am starting a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Action project on missing migrants, under the supervision of Prof. Cathryn Costello.

Furthermore, I am grateful to the editorial board of the Intersentia Transitional Justice series, Prof. Stephan Parmentier, Prof. Jeremy Sarkin, and Dr Mina Rauschenbach for their support, but also the two anonymous reviewers for their comments. The editorial team has been amazing, and I would like to thank Ahmed Hegazi for the great cooperation and his understanding for my work on the book during the pandemic, which narrowed childcare possibilities.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my family and friends, who supported me when it mattered the most. Special thanks to Tomek, Kostek, and Maks, who keep bringing joy into my life, and my parents, who always encourage my passions and believe in me.





# CONTENTS

|                               |      |
|-------------------------------|------|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> ..... | v    |
| <i>List of Cases</i> .....    | xiii |

## **Chapter 1. Introduction to the Rights and Needs of Families of Disappeared Persons** ..... 1 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Short Guide to Terms .....  | 4  |
| 2. The Needs of Families of Disappeared Persons .....  | 7  |
| 3. Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation .....                           | 13 |
| 4. Enforced Disappearances as a Pan-European Phenomenon .....  | 15 |
| 5. Legal Developments Concerning the Obligations of States in the Context of Enforced Disappearances ..... | 27 |
| 5.1. Definitions .....   | 28 |
| 5.2. State Obligations in a Comparative Perspective .....  | 33 |

## **Chapter 2. The European Court of Human Rights and Disappeared Persons: Broadening in Substantiating Claims and Narrowing Down Through Application of Temporal Competences** ..... 37 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Preliminary Remarks Concerning the Analysis of ECtHR Judgments .....  | 37 |
| 1.1. The Conceptual Framework of the Analysis .....  | 37 |
| 1.2. The ECtHR's Use of the Term 'Enforced Disappearance' .....  | 39 |
| 1.3. Admissibility of Individual Applications Concerning Enforced Disappearances .....   | 40 |
| 2. Challenges to Admissibility Due to Temporal Issues .....  | 42 |
| 2.1. Enforced Disappearances as a 'Continuing Violation': Development of the ECtHR's Jurisprudence .....                                 | 42 |
| 2.2. Application of the <i>Šilih v. Slovenia</i> Criteria to Applications Concerning Enforced Disappearances .....                       | 47 |
| 2.3. Application of the Temporal Admissibility Criteria in <i>Janowiec and Others v. Russia</i> .....                                    | 51 |
| 3. Challenges in Substantiating Claims that an Enforced Disappearance Occurred .....   | 55 |
| 3.1. The Relevance of the Concept of Positive Obligations in the Context of Article 2 of the ECHR for Enforced Disappearance Cases ..... | 56 |

|        |  |    |
|--------|--|----|
| 3.1.1. | The Evolution of Procedural Obligations with Regard to Enforced Disappearance Cases . . . . .  | 56 |
| 3.1.2. | The Obligation to Protect Life in Enforced Disappearance Cases . . . . .   | 61 |
| 3.1.3. | States' Obligations Arising from Finding the Remains of a Disappeared Person . . . . .   | 63 |
| 3.1.4. | Exceptional Circumstances and Post-Conflict Situations. . . . .  | 64 |
| 3.1.5. | State's Obligations with Regard to Disappearances for which the State Authorities were not Found to be Responsible . . . . .                                 | 66 |
| 3.2.   | Evidentiary Proceedings before the ECtHR in Cases of Enforced Disappearances in the Context of the Right to Life. . . . .                                    | 67 |
| 3.2.1. | Establishment of the Facts in Cases of Enforced Disappearances in the Context of the Right to Life. . . . .  | 67 |
| 3.2.2. | The Standard of Proof 'Beyond Reasonable Doubt' and Circumstantial Evidence in Cases of Enforced Disappearances in the Context of the Right to Life. . . . . | 69 |
| 3.2.3. | Reversal of the Burden of Proof in Cases of Enforced Disappearances in the Context of the Right to Life. . . . .   | 73 |
| 3.2.4. | The Relevance of Establishing a 'Practice of Disappearances' for Proving an Enforced Disappearance. . . . .  | 76 |
| 3.3.   | Violation of the Obligations Contained in Article 38 ECHR in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances . . . . .  | 78 |
| 4.     | Recognising Families of Disappeared Persons as Victims of a Human Rights Violation . . . . .   | 80 |
| 4.1.   | Families of Victims of Serious Human Rights Violations as Victims under Article 3 . . . . .  | 80 |
| 4.2.   | The ECtHR Criteria Recognising Family Members of Disappeared Persons as Victims of an Article 3 Violation (The <i>Çakıcı v. Turkey</i> Criteria). . . . .    | 82 |
| 4.2.1. | Proximity of the Family Tie: The Parent-Child Bond . . . . .   | 84 |
| 4.2.2. | The Involvement of Applicants in the Attempts to Obtain Information about the Disappeared Person . . . . .   | 86 |
| 4.2.3. | The Attitude and Reaction of State Authorities . . . . .   | 89 |
| 4.3.   | Duration of the Disappearance . . . . .  | 91 |
| 4.4.   | Recognition of the Family Members as Victims of a Violation of Article 3 and the Attribution of the Disappearance to the State . . . . .                     | 93 |
| 4.5.   | The Right to Truth and the Procedural Violation of Article 3 in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances . . . . .   | 96 |
| 4.6.   | Violations of Article 8 ECHR with Respect to the Family Members of Disappeared Persons . . . . .   | 97 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.7. Recognising Family Members as Victims of a Violation of Article 3 and the <i>Janowiec and Others v. Russia</i> Judgments . . . . . | 99  |
| 5. Measures of Reparation in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances . . . . .   | 101 |
| 5.1. Just Satisfaction and Other Special Court-Ordered Measures . . . . .   | 101 |
| 5.2. Execution of Judgments . . . . .   | 106 |
| 6. Conclusions . . . . .  | 109 |

### **Chapter 3. The UN Human Rights Committee and Disappeared Persons: Approaches to the Right to Life . . . . . 111**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1. The HRC's Use of the Term 'Enforced Disappearance' . . . . .   | 114 |
| 2. Challenges to Admissibility Due to Temporal Issues. . . . .  | 117 |
| 3. Challenges in Substantiating Claims that an Enforced Disappearance Occurred . . . . .                                  | 121 |
| 3.1. Procedural Obligations in the Context of the Right to Life . . . . .   | 121 |
| 3.2. Evidentiary Proceedings before the HRC in Enforced Disappearance Cases in the Context of the Right to Life . . . . . | 124 |
| 3.3. Exceptional Circumstances and Post-Conflict Situations . . . . .   | 126 |
| 4. Recognising Families of Disappeared Persons as Victims of a Human Rights Violation. . . . .                            | 127 |
| 5. Measures of Reparation in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances . . . . .   | 130 |
| 6. Conclusions . . . . .  | 131 |

### **Chapter 4. International Judicial Bodies Established in Response to Conflicts in the Former Yugoslavia: Addressing Mass Disappearances . . . . 133**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1. Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina . . . . .  | 134 |
| 1.1. The HRCBiH's Use of the Term 'Enforced Disappearance' . . . . .                                  | 137 |
| 1.2. The HRCBiH's Decision Concerning the Events in Srebrenica . . . . .                              | 137 |
| 1.3. Challenges to Admissibility Due to Temporal Issues . . . . .                                     | 138 |
| 1.4. Challenges in Substantiating the Claims that an Enforced Disappearance Occurred . . . . .        | 140 |
| 1.5. Recognising the Families of Disappeared Persons as Victims of a Human Rights Violation . . . . . | 143 |
| 1.6. Measures of Reparation in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances. . . . .                      | 147 |
| 2. The Human Rights Advisory Panel in Kosovo . . . . .  | 150 |
| 2.1. UNMIK and Enforced Disappearances . . . . .  | 152 |
| 2.2. The HRAP's Use of the Term 'Enforced Disappearances' . . . . .                                   | 154 |
| 2.3. Challenges to Admissibility Due to Temporal Issues . . . . .                                     | 154 |

|  |   |            |
|--|---|------------|
| 2.4.   | Challenges in Substantiating the Claims that an Enforced Disappearance Occurred . . . . .                                     | 155        |
| 2.4.1.   | Procedural Obligations in the Context of the Right to Life. . . . .   | 156        |
| 2.4.2.   | Evidentiary Proceedings in Cases Involving Enforced Disappearances. . . . .   | 158        |
| 2.4.3.   | Exceptional Circumstances and Post-Conflict Situations. . . . .   | 159        |
| 2.5.   | Recognising Families of Disappeared Persons as Victims of a Human Rights Violation . . . . .                                  | 161        |
| 2.6.   | Measures of Reparation in Cases Concerning Enforced Disappearances. . . . .   | 164        |
| 3.   | The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. . . . .  | 167        |
| 4.   | Conclusions . . . . .   | 170        |
| <b>Chapter 5. Searching For and Exhuming Disappeared Persons: International Non-Judicial Mechanisms. . . . .</b> |   | <b>173</b> |
| 1.   | Committee on Enforced Disappearances . . . . .  | 173        |
| 1.1.   | Examining State Reports (Article 29) . . . . .  | 175        |
| 1.2.   | The ‘Urgent Action’ Procedure (Article 30) . . . . .  | 177        |
| 1.3.   | Individual Communications (Article 31) . . . . .  | 180        |
| 1.4.   | Consideration of Interstate Communications (Article 32) . . . . .   | 184        |
| 1.5.   | Country Visits (Article 33) . . . . .   | 185        |
| 1.6.   | Notifying the UNGA of Systematic or Widespread Enforced Disappearances (Article 34) . . . . .                                 | 186        |
| 2.   | Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances . . . . .   | 186        |
| 2.1.   | Examining Individual Reports. . . . .   | 187        |
| 2.2.   | Monitoring States’ Compliance with their Obligations Deriving from the Declaration and Existing International Rules . . . . . | 191        |
| 3.   | Committee on Missing Persons in Cyprus . . . . .  | 195        |
| 4.   | Special Process on Missing Persons in the Territory of Former Yugoslavia . . . . .  | 199        |
| 5.   | International Commission on Missing Persons . . . . .   | 205        |
| 6.   | Conclusions . . . . .   | 208        |
| <b>Chapter 6. Conclusion: Addressing Rights and Needs of Families of Disappeared Person in Europe. . . . .</b>   |   | <b>211</b> |
| <i>Index. . . . .</i>  |   | <i>217</i> |

# LIST OF CASES

## EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

### ADMISSIBILITY DECISIONS

|  |  |
|--|--|
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 22 October 2002, App. no. 76575/01,<br><i>Karabardak and others v. Cyprus</i> . . . . .  | 44   |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 22 October 2002, App. no. 77116/01,<br><i>Baybora and others v. Cyprus</i> . . . . .   | 44   |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 1 December 2009, App. No. 43422/04, 4568/05, 4577/05,<br>4613/05, 4617/05, 4630/05, 4636/05, 4638/05, 4687/05, 4711/05, 4821/05, 4829/05,<br>4834/05, 4844/05, 4847/05, 4888/05, 4891/05, 4896/05, 4901/05, 4920/05, 4927/05,<br>4931/05, 4936/05, 4947/05, 4983/05, 5030/05, 5039/05, 5044/05, 5077/05, 6631/05,<br>26541/05, 26557/05, 26562/05, 26566/05, 26569/05, 26610/05, 26612/05, 26634/05,<br>26666/05, 26670/05, 38948/05, 45653/06, 11457/07, 30881/08, 37368/08, 46369/08,<br>54060/08, 521/09 and 43094/09, <i>Orphanou and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . . | 46, 50                                     |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 1 December 2009, App. No. 45503/99,<br><i>Karefyllides and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .  | 46, 50                                     |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 27 March 2012, App. no. 30141/09,<br><i>Antonio Gutierrez Dorado and Carmen Dorado Ortiz v. Spain</i> . . . . .  | 17, 50                                     |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 3 April 2012, App. no. 46744/07, <i>Charalambous<br/>and others and 28 other cases v. Turkey</i> . . . . .   | 10, 46, 50, 56, 61–64, 70, 81, 83, 92, 198 |
| ECtHR inadmissibility decision of 3 April 2012, App. No. 59623/08,<br><i>Emin and others as well as six other applications against Cyprus</i> . . . . .  | 64, 198                                    |
| ECtHR inadmissibility decision of 11 March 2014, App. no. 60441/13, 68206/13 and<br>68667/13 <i>Gürtekin and others, Akay and others, and Eray and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .  | 61   |
| ECtHR inadmissibility decision of 3 June 2014, App. no. 47063/08,<br><i>Munira Mujkanović and others v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 55, 65                                     |
| ECtHR inadmissibility decision of 3 June 2014, App. no. 66758/09,<br><i>Lejla Fazlić and others v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 55, 65                                     |
| ECtHR admissibility decision of 26 April 2016, App. no. 39496/14 and 39727/14,<br><i>N. v. Russia and M. v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 42   |

### JUDGMENTS

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 June 1958, App. no. 214/56, <i>De Becker v. Belgium</i> . . . . .                               | 43     |
| ECtHR Judgment of 27 September 1995, App. no. 18984/91 <i>McCann and<br/>others v. the United Kingdom</i> . . . . . | 57, 66 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 16 September 1996, App. no. 21893/93 <i>Akdivar and<br/>others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .            | 41     |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| ECtHR Judgment of 25 May 1998, App. no. 24276/94, <i>Kurt v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                        | 12, 23, 39, 57–58,<br>60, 68, 70, 74, 76, 82, 114, 136, 140 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 28 October 1998, App. no. 23452/94,<br><i>Osman v. the United Kingdom</i> . . . . .    | 62, 66  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 8 July 1999, App. No. 23657/94 <i>Çakıcı v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                       | 41, 58, 61–62,<br>70, 81–83, 87, 102, 145, 162              |
| ECtHR Judgment of 28 July 1999, App. no. 33440/96, <i>Ferrari v. Italy</i> . . . . .                     | 43  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 28 March 2000, App. no. 22535/93,<br><i>Mahmut Kaya v. Turkey</i> . . . . .            | 56, 61–64, 70, 81, 83, 92                                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 May 2000 in Case 20764/92<br><i>Ertak v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                        | 40, 70, 76–77, 83, 102                                      |
| ECtHR Judgment of 13 June 2000, App. no. 23531/94,<br><i>Timurtaş v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                | 12, 68, 71–74, 79, 83–84                                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 14 November 2000, App. no. 24396/94, <i>Taş v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                    | 72, 141   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 10 April 2001, App. No. 26129/95, <i>Tanlı v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                     | 92  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 10 May 2001, App. no. 25781/94,<br><i>Cyprus v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                   | 43–44, 46, 68, 85, 95, 98, 155, 197, 199                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 22 May 2001, App. No. 24490/94, <i>Şarlı v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                       | 68  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 May 2001, App. No. 23954/94, <i>Akdeniz and others v. Turke</i> . . . . .           | 77  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 18 June 2002, App. no. 25656/94,<br><i>Orhan v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                   | 51, 59, 69–70, 72–74, 77, 84, 87, 98                        |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 May 2003, App. No. 27244/95, <i>Tepe v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                         | 63, 79  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 15 January 2004, App. No. 27699/95, <i>Tekdağ v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                  | 66, 70, 79, 94  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 17 February 2004, App. no. 25760/94, <i>İpek v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                   | 59, 64, 72, 87  |
| ECtHR Grand Chamber Judgment of 8 April 2004,<br>App. no. 26307/95 <i>Tahsin Acar v. Turke</i> . . . . . | 57, 66–67, 69, 74, 79–80, 98                                |
| ECtHR Judgment of 2 November 2004, App. no. 33384/96, <i>Seyhan v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                  | 107   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 25 May 2005, App. No. 25660/94, <i>Süheyla Aydın v. Turkey</i> . . . . .               | 5   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 May 2005, App. No. 27305/95<br><i>Koku v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                      | 39, 61, 63, 69, 79, 88–89                                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 May 2005, App. no. 27601/95,<br><i>Toğcu v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                    | 39, 72, 74, 79  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 May 2005, App. No. 30949/96, <i>Yasin Ateş v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                  | 92  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 2 August 2005, App. no. 65899/01 <i>Taniş and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .           | 73, 79  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 6 October 2005, App. No. 28299/95, <i>Nesibe Haran v. Turkey</i> . . . . .             | 72, 87  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 8 November 2005, App. No. 34056/02,<br><i>Gongadze v. Ukraine</i> . . . . .            | 63, 92, 106   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 21 February 2006, App. No. 52390/99<br><i>Şeker v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                | 72, 79, 90, 97–98   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 11 April 2006, App. No. 52392/99, <i>Uçar v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                      | 98  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 27 July 2006, App. no. 69481/01,<br><i>Bazorkina v. Russia</i> . . . . .               | 51, 59, 64, 69, 72, 75, 98                                  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 November 2006, App. No. 7615/02<br><i>Imakayeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .              | 15, 69, 72, 76, 79, 98, 102                                 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 November 2006, App. No. 69480/01,<br><i>Luluyev and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 73, 88, 92, 97–98   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 15 April 2007, App. no. 74237/01, <i>Baysayeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .                 | 12, 64,<br>68–69, 77, 79, 90                                |
| ECtHR Judgment of 5 July 2007, App. No. 68007/01, <i>Alikhadzhiyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .              | 90  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 15 November 2007, App. No. 29361/02,<br><i>Kukayev v. Russia</i> . . . . .             | 15, 92, 102   |

|   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| ECtHR Judgment of 8 January 2008, App. no. 54169/00, <i>Enzile Özdemir v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                        | 72                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 24 January 2008, App. No. 48804/99<br><i>Osmanoğlu v. Turkey</i> . . . . .                          | 26, 39, 63, 67–68, 97–98 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 29 May 2008, App. No. 1755/04,<br><i>Gekhayeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .                   | 89–90                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 29 May 2008, App. No. 1839/04,<br><i>Sangariyeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .                 | 69, 85                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 29 May 2008, App. No. 34561/03,<br><i>Ibragimov and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .                  | 97–98                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 29 May 2008, App. no. 37315/03,<br><i>Betayev and Betayeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .                  | 75, 98                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 3 July 2008, App. no. 12703/02, <i>Musayeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .                                 | 72, 75                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 3 July 2008, App. no. 12712/02<br><i>Ruslan Umarov v. Russia</i> . . . . .                          | 75, 79, 97–98            |
| ECtHR Judgment of 18 September 2008, App. no. 23286/04,<br><i>Takhayeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .            | 41, 73                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 2 October 2008, App. No. 12713/02 and 28440/03,<br><i>Lyanova and Aliyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 97–98                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 6 November 2008, App. no. 10796/04,<br><i>Shaipova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .               | 61, 94                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 6 November 2008, App. No. 3013/04,<br><i>Khadzhialiyev and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .           | 69, 75, 92, 101          |
| ECtHR Judgment of 4 December 2008, App. no. 20580/04,<br><i>Tagirova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .               | 61, 94                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 4 December 2008, App. No. 27243/03,<br><i>Musikhanova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .            | 85                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 8 January 2009, App. No. 27180/03, <i>Abdukadyrova and<br/>others v. Russia</i> . . . . .           | 98                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 8 January 2009, App. No. 27251/03 <i>Shakhgiriyeve and<br/>others v. Russia</i> . . . . .           | 79, 94                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 January 2009, App. No. 20583/04, <i>Zakriyeva and<br/>others v. Russia</i> . . . . .              | 94                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 15 January 2009, App. no. 25385/04, <i>Medova v. Russia</i> . . . . .                               | 63, 67, 79               |
| ECtHR Judgment of 22 January 2009, App. No. 27183/03, <i>Zaurbekova and<br/>Zaurbekova v. Russia</i> . . . . .        | 98                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 February 2009, App. No. 27248/03, <i>Meshayeva and<br/>others v. Russia</i> . . . . .            | 84                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 March 2009, App. No. 27238/03 and 35078/04,<br><i>Dzhambekova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . . | 85, 98                   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 2 April 2009, App. No. 41498/04, <i>Saydaliyeva and<br/>others v. Russia</i> . . . . .              | 84, 89                   |
| ECtHR Grand Chamber Judgment of 9 April 2009, App. No. 71463/01,<br><i>Šilih v. Slovenia</i> . . . . .                | 48–49, 59, 139           |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 April 2009, App. No. 16629/05, <i>Dokayev and others v.<br/>Russia</i> . . . . .                  | 85–86, 98                |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 April 2009, App. No. 27244/03, <i>Malsagova and others v.<br/>Russia</i> . . . . .                | 89                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 23 April 2009, App. No. 36156/04, <i>Bitiyeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .                    | 91                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 14 May 2009, App. no. 12417/05, <i>Turluyeva and<br/>Khamidova v. Russia</i> . . . . .              | 58                       |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| ECtHR Judgment of 28 May 2009, App. no. 13862/05 <i>Khumaydov and Khumaydov v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 39, 61, 72, 94                                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 29 May 2009, App. No. 13737/03, <i>Nenkayev and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 87   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 16 July 2009, App. no. 29851/05, <i>Karimov and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 82   |
| ECtHR Grand Chamber Judgment of 18 September 2009, App. Nos. 16064/90, 16065/90, 16066/90, 16068/90, 16069/90, 16070/90, 16071/90, 16072/90 and 16073/90, <i>Varnava and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .  | 7, 38, 59, 63, 73, 75, 82, 154, 197, 199             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 17 June 2010, App. No. 26974/06, <i>Tovsultanova v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 94   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 16 December 2010, App. no. 11528/07, <i>Taymuskhanov v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 86, 100  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 15 January 2011, App. no. 4704/04, <i>Palić v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 21, 49, 65, 122, 155                                 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 20 January 2011, App. no. 16212/08, <i>Skendžić and Krznarić v. Croatia</i> . . . . .  | 81   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 1 February 2011, App. No. 7050/05, <i>Açış v. Turkey</i> . . . . .   | 95   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 17 February 2011, App. No. 45081/06 and 7820/07, <i>Khakiyeva, Temergeriyeva, and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 72, 75   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 24 May 2011, App. No. 33810/07, <i>The Association '21 December 1989' and others v. Romania</i> . . . . .  | 96   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 21 June 2011, App. no. 26595/08, <i>Makharbiyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 86   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 25 October 2011, App. no. 33251/04, <i>Tashukhadzhiyev v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 59   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 16 April 2012, App. no. 55508/07 and 29520/09), <i>Janowiec and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 18, 51, 79, 88, 99–100                               |
| ECtHR Judgment of 17 April 2012, App. No. 27365/07, <i>Estamirova v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 94   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 3 May 2012, App. No. 49379/09, <i>Shafiyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 94   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 June 2012, App. No. 2546/08 <i>Umarov v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 72   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 July 2012, App. no. 23016/04 <i>Er and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .   | 54, 72, 77, 89                                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 31 July 2012, App. No. 25654/08, <i>Umarova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 90   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 27 August 2012, App. No. 12850/87, <i>Tomasi v. France</i> . . . . .   | 74   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 October 2012, App. No. 13178/03 <i>Mubilanzila Mayeka and Kaniki Mitunga v. Belgium</i> . . . . .   | 80   |
| ECtHR Grand Chamber Judgments of 13 December 2012, App. no. 39630/09, <i>El-Masri v. 'Former Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia</i> . . . . .   | 26, 40, 96, 110                                      |
| ECtHR Judgment of 18 December 2012, App. no. 2944/06, 8300/07, 50184/07, 332/08 and 42509/10, <i>Aslakhanova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 23, 40, 43, 45, 51–52, 61, 77, 96, 104–105, 108, 110 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 26 March 2013, App. No. 21794/08, <i>Zorica Jovanović v. Serbia</i> . . . . .  | 8  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 16 April 2013, App. no. 3598/03 <i>Meryem Çelik and others v. Turkey</i> . . . . .   | 72, 84, 89, 98                                       |
| ECtHR Judgment of 18 April 2013, App. No. 54765/09 <i>Askhabova v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 72, 90   |
| ECtHR Judgment of 20 June 2013, App. no. 63638/09, <i>Turluyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 60–61, 63, 84, 89                                    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 18 July 2013, App. No. 2312/08 and 34179/08, <i>Maktouf and Damjanović v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 193–194  |
| ECtHR Grand Chamber Judgment of 21 October 2013, App. no. 55508/07 and 29520/09, <i>Janowiec and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 18, 50–51, 59, 79–80, 82, 92–93, 97, 99, 101, 161    |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 January 2014, App. no. 53036/08, 61785/08, 8594/09, 24708/09, 30327/09, 36965/09, 61258/09, 63608/09, 67322/09, 4334/10, 4345/10, 11873/10, 25515/10, 30592/10, 32797/10, 33944/10, 36141/10, 52446/10, 62244/10 and 66420/10, <i>Pitsayeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . . | 77, 84–85, 88–89                                     |



|   |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ECtHR Judgment concerning just satisfaction of 12 May 2014, App. No. 25781/94,<br><i>Cyprus v. Turkey</i> . . . . .   | 102                            |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 June 2014, App. no. 57856/11,<br><i>Jelić v. Croatia</i> . . . . .   | 21, 59, 65, 92, 106            |
| ECtHR Judgment of 24 July 2014, App. no. 28761/11,<br><i>Al-Nashiri v. Poland</i> . . . . .   | 26, 56, 96, 110                |
| ECtHR Judgment of 24 July 2014, App. no. 7511/13,<br><i>Abu Zubaydah v. Poland</i> . . . . .  | 26, 56, 68, 96, 110            |
| ECtHR Judgment of 22 September 2014, App. No. 41437/10,<br><i>Abdurakhmanova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 72                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 October 2014, App. No. 42575/07, 53679/07, 311/08, 424/08,<br>3375/08, 4560/08, 35569/08, 62220/10, 3222/11, 22257/11, 24744/11 and<br>36897/11, <i>Sultygov and others v. Russia</i> . . . . . | 72, 84, 89                     |
| ECtHR Judgment of 27 January 2015, App. No. 37715/11, <i>Saygi v. Turkey</i> . . . . .  | 64                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 23 April 2015, App. No. 30237/10,<br><i>Khava Aziyeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 72                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 23 April 2015, App. No. 36367/09 <i>Kagirov v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 94, 161                        |
| ECtHR Judgment of the Grand Chamber of the ECtHR of 5 June 2015,<br>App. no. 46043/14, <i>Lambert and others v. France</i> . . . . .  | 42                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 February 2016, App. No. 34576/08 <i>Khachukayevy v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 85                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 9 February 2016, App. No. 21126/09, 63620/09, 64811/09, 32965/10<br>and 64270/11, <i>Nazyrova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 72                             |
| ECtHR judgment of 23 February 2016, App. No. 44883/09,<br><i>Nasr and Ghali v. Italy</i> . . . . .  | 9, 26, 56, 60, 78, 96, 99, 102 |
| ECtHR Judgment of 21 June 2016 in Case 33539/12<br><i>Mutayeva and Ismailova v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 72                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 21 June 2016, App. No. 18011/12 <i>Ibragim Tsechoyev v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 73                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 12 July 2016, App. No. 11059/12<br><i>Aliyev and Gadzhiyeva v. Russia</i> . . . . .   | 72                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 22 November 2016, App. No. 3340/08 and 24689/10<br><i>Ortsuyeva and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 68, 84–85, 89–91               |
| ECtHR Judgment of 21 February 2017, App. No. 60806/08,<br><i>Kushtova and others v. Russia</i> . . . . .  | 73, 84, 89–90                  |
| ECtHR Judgment of 6 July 2017, App. no. 12986/13, <i>Trivkanović v. Croatia</i> . . . . .   | 61                             |
| ECtHR Judgment of 6 July 2017, App. No. 80960/12, <i>Zdjelar and others v. Croatia</i> . . . . .  | 92                             |

## HUMAN RIGHTS ADVISORY PANEL

### ADMISSIBILITY DECISIONS

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| HRAP admissibility decision in Case 46/08 of 17 April 2009,<br><i>Snežana Zdravković v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .              | 151, 157 |
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 9 September 2010,<br>in Case 46/08 S.C. v. UNMIK. . . . .                  | 157      |
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 11 August 2011, in Case 99/09,<br><i>Mladenović v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .     | 162      |
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 11 August 2011 in Case 87/09,<br><i>Nenad Stojković v. UNMIK</i> . . . . . | 155      |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 6 April 2012,<br>in Case 246/09, <i>Stefan Simović v. UNMIK</i> . . . . . | 155 |
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 10 May 2012 on Case 324/09,<br><i>Véska Majmarević v. UNMIK</i> . . . . . | 156 |
| HRAP decision concerning the admissibility of 1 October 2012, on Case 46/08,<br><i>Barać v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .         | 156 |

## OPINIONS

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| HRAP opinion of 6 December 2012 in Case 02/09,<br><i>S.C. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 152, 154, 156, 158, 163–164 |
| HRAP opinion of 1 February 2013 in Case 52/09, <i>B.A. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 154, 159–160                |
| HRAP opinion of 25 February 2013 in Case 46/08,<br><i>Snežana Zdravković v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 154, 158                    |
| HRAP opinion of 23 April 2013 in Case 34/09, <i>Svetlana Jočić v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 154, 161–162                |
| HRAP opinion of 25 April 2013 in Case 45/09, <i>C.S. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 156                         |
| HRAP opinion of 6 June 2013 in Cases 06/09 and 55/09, <i>S.P. and V.Đ. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 159                         |
| HRAP opinion of 31 July 2013 in Cases 221/09, 273/09 and 336/09,<br><i>Slobodanka Spasić, Jagodinka Đokić and Cveta Nedeljković</i> . . . . .     | 163                         |
| HRAP opinion of 12 September 2013 in Case 145/09, <i>Ž.I. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 160                         |
| HRAP opinion of 12 September 2013, in Case 100/09, <i>Vesna Antić v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 155                         |
| HRAP opinion of 31 October 2013 in Case 81/09, <i>Todorovski v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 159                         |
| HRAP opinion of 31 October 2013, in Case 48/09, <i>P.S. v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 156, 160, 163               |
| HRAP opinion of 23 January 2014 in Case 118/09 <i>Vujačić v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 162                         |
| HRAP opinion of 23 January 2014 in Case 77/09, <i>Anđleković v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 155                         |
| HRAP opinion of 23 January 2014 in Cases 139/09, 218/09 and 325/09,<br><i>Tatjana Vitošević, Veska Majmarević and Nataša Majmarević</i> . . . . . | 163                         |
| HRAP opinion of 26 June 2014 in Case 99/09, <i>Mladenović v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 158                         |
| HRAP opinion of 16 October 2014 in Case 249/09, <i>Janković v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .  | 160                         |
| HRAP opinion of 13 November 2014 in Cases 144/09, 158/09, 209/09,<br>210/09, 258/09 and 276/09, <i>Mitrović and others v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .     | 157                         |
| HRAP opinion of 14 December 2014 in Case 289/09, <i>Stevanović v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 160, 163–164                |
| HRAP opinion 07 of 27 February 2015 in Case 04/07, <i>Balaj and others v. UNMIK</i> . . . . .   | 152                         |

## HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE

### ADMISSIBILITY DECISIONS

|   |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| HRC Decision of 5 November 2019 in Case 2912/2016<br><i>K.K. and others v. Russia</i> . . . . . | 19, 93, 120, 127, 129 |
|---|-----------------------|

### VIEWS

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| HRC Views of 29 March 1982 in Case 30/1978, <i>Bleier v. Uruguay</i> . . . . .   | 114, 117, 126 |
| HRC Views of 21 July 1983 in Case 107/1981 <i>Quinteros v. Uruguay</i> . . . . . | 114, 125–127  |

|  |                             |
|--|-----------------------------|
| HRC Views of 1 November 1985 in Case 147/1983, <i>Arzuaga Gilboa v. Uruguay</i> . . . . .  | 113                         |
| HRC Views of 3 November 1989 in Case 181/1984 <i>Elcida Arévalo Perez and others v. Colombia</i> . . . . .   | 114, 128                    |
| HRC Views of 3 November 1989 in Case 268/1987 on <i>H.G.B. and S.P. v. Trinidad and Tobago</i> . . . . .   | 121                         |
| HRC Views of 26 March 1990 in Case 275/1988 <i>S.E. v. Argentina</i> . . . . .   | 118, 121–122, 125           |
| HRC Views of 23 March 1994 in Case 550/1990 <i>El-Megreisi v. Libya</i> . . . . .  | 5, 114                      |
| HRC Views of 15 July 1994 in Case 449/1991<br><i>Mojica v. the Dominican Republic</i> . . . . .  | 114, 122, 125, 128          |
| HRC Views of 3 April 1995 in Case 400/1990 <i>Monaco v. Argentina</i> . . . . .  | 127                         |
| HRC Views of 19 July 1995 in Case 516/1992 <i>Simunek and others v. the Czech Republic</i> . . . . .   | 117                         |
| HRC Views of 27 October 1995 in Case 563/1993 <i>Bautista v. Colombia</i> . . . . .  | 128                         |
| HRC Views of 25 March 1996 in Case 540/1993<br><i>Celis Laureano v. Peru</i> . . . . .   | 113, 123, 125–126, 128, 181 |
| HRC Views of 16 July 2003 in Case 950/2000<br><i>Sarma v. Sri Lanka</i> . . . . .  | 115, 117–118, 123, 128, 130 |
| HRC Views of 24 October 2005 in Case 1422/2005,<br><i>El Hassy v. Libya</i> . . . . .  | 115, 123, 126, 130          |
| HRC Views of 2 November 2005 in Case 1078/2002 <i>Yurich v. Chile</i> . . . . .  | 115, 118–119                |
| HRC Views of 15 March 2006 in Case 1085/2002,<br><i>Bousroual v. Algeria</i> . . . . .   | 115, 122–123, 126, 128      |
| HRC Views of 28 March 2006 in Case 1159/2003 <i>Sankara et al. v. Burkina Faso</i> . . . . .   | 120                         |
| HRC Views of 30 March 2006 in Case 1196/2003 <i>Boucherf v. Algeria</i> . . . . .  | 127                         |
| HRC Views of 10 July 2007 in Case 1327/2004 <i>Grioua v. Algeria</i> . . . . .   | 115–126, 128                |
| HRC Views of 11 July 2007 in Case 1295/2004 <i>El Alwani v. Libya</i> . . . . .  | 5, 114–115, 128             |
| HRC Views of 8 July 2008 in Case 1436/2005 <i>Sathasivam v. Sri Lanka</i> . . . . .  | 121                         |
| HRC Views of 28 October 2008 in Case 1469/2006 <i>Sharma v. Nepal</i> . . . . .  | 115, 123, 126               |
| HRC Views of 28 July 2009 in Case 1536/2006 <i>Cifuentes Elgueta v. Chile</i> . . . . .  | 115, 119                    |
| HRC Views of 10 May 2010 in Case 1619/2007 <i>Pestaño v. Philippines</i> . . . . .   | 121                         |
| HRC Views of 26 July 2010 in 1588/2007 <i>Benaziza v. Algeria</i> . . . . .  | 113, 115, 123, 126–128      |
| HRC Views of 20 October 2010 in Case 1776/2008<br><i>Bashasha v. Libya</i> . . . . .   | 114, 123, 126, 128, 130     |
| HRC Views of 25 October 2010 in Case 1751/2008, <i>Aboussedra v. Libya</i> . . . . .   | 9, 128                      |
| HRC Views of 22 March 2011 in Case 1780/2008 <i>Aouabdia v. Algeria</i> ; . . . . .  | 115                         |
| HRC Views of 22 March 2011 in Case 1780/2008 <i>Zarzi v. Algeria</i> . . . . .   | 128, 130                    |
| HRC Views of 26 March 2012 in Case 1820/2008,<br><i>Krasovskaya v. Belarus</i> . . . . .   | 24, 113, 115, 122, 183      |
| HRC Views of 19 June 2012 in Case 1782/2008<br><i>Tahar Mohamed Aboufaied v. Libya</i> . . . . .   | 6, 114–115                  |
| HRC Views of 19 July 2012 in Case 1863/2009 <i>Dev Bahadur Maharjan v. Nepal</i> . . . . .   | 115                         |
| HRC Views of 28 March 2013 in Case 1917/2009, 1918/2009, 1925/2009 and<br>1953/2010 <i>Fatima Prutina and others v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . . | 120, 122, 129–130           |
| HRC Views of 18 October 2013 in Case 1874/2009 <i>Mihoubi v. Algeria</i> . . . . .   | 130                         |
| HRC Views of 21 March 2014 in Case 1997/2010 <i>Rizvanovic v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 129–130                     |
| HRC Views of 16 July 2014 in Case 1956/2010 <i>Durić v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 21, 115–116                 |
| HRC Views of 17 July 2014 in Case 2003/2010 <i>Selimović and others v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 115, 129                    |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| HRC Views of 30 March 2015 in Case 2028/2011 <i>Ičić and Ičić v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> .....         | 120      |
| HRC Views of 3 July 2015 in Case 2038/2011 <i>Tharu et. al v. Nepal</i> .....                             | 124      |
| HRC Views of 22 July 2015 in Case 2143/2012 <i>Dovadzija and Dovdzija v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> ..... | 116, 127 |
| HRC Views of 5 November 2015 in Case 2064/2011 <i>Mandić v. Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> .....              | 115, 120 |
| HRC Views of 5 November 2015 in Case 2214/2012 <i>Tshidika v. Democratic Republic of the Congo</i> .....  | 116      |
| HRC Views of 10 March 2017 in Case 2184/2012 <i>Nakarmi v. Nepal</i> .....                                | 115      |
| HRC Views of 17 March 2017 in Case 2185/2012 <i>Dhalak et al. v. Nepal</i> .....                          | 115      |
| HRC Views of 17 March 2017 in Case 2586/2015 <i>Zakharenko and Zakharenko v. Belarus</i> .....            | 124      |
| HRC Views of 17 March 2017 on Case 2259/2013 <i>Boathi v. Algeria</i> .....                               | 124      |
| HRC Views of 21 July 2017 in Case 2170/2012 <i>Neupane and Neupane v. Nepal</i> . . .                     | 124, 131 |
| HRC Views of 28 July 2017 on Case 2267/2013 <i>Khelifati v. Algeria</i> .....                             | 124      |

## COMMITTEE ON ENFORCED DISAPPEARANCES

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| CED Views of 11 March 2016 in Case 1/2013 <i>Yrusta v. Argentina</i> ..... | 6, 182, 215 |
|--|-------------|

## HUMAN RIGHTS CHAMBER FOR BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

### ADMISSIBILITY DECISIONS

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| HRCBiH decision concerning admissibility of 13 September 1996 in Case CH/96/1, <i>Matanović v. Republika Srpska</i> .....  | 135     |
| HRCBiH decision concerning the admissibility of 10 September 1998 in Case CH/97/74, <i>Džemal Balić</i> .....  | 139     |
| HRCBiH decision concerning the admissibility of 5 July 2000 in Case CH/00/4033 <i>Ilija Simaković v. Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> .....   | 97, 146 |
| HRCBiH decision concerning the admissibility of 12 October 2000 in Case CH/00/4820, <i>Mile Čajević v. Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> ..... | 97, 146 |

### DECISIONS

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| HRCBiH decision of 11 July 1997 in Case CH/96/1, <i>Matanović v. Republika Srpska</i> .....   | 136–137, 139–141, 143, 148         |
| HRCBiH decision of 5 August 1997 in Case CH/96/15, <i>Grgić v. Republika Srpska</i> .....     | 136, 139                           |
| HRCBiH decision of 11 January 2001 in Case CH/99/3196, <i>Palić v. Republika Srpska</i> ..... | 34, 49, 131, 137, 141–144, 146–149 |

|   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| HRCBiH decision of 9 November 2001 in Case CH/99/2150,<br><i>Unković v. the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 145                             |
| HRCBiH decision concerning the revision of the decision of 10 May 2002 in Case<br>CH/99/2150, <i>Unković v. Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 139, 142, 144, 146              |
| HRCBiH decision of 7 March 2003 in Cases CH/01/8365, CH/01/8397, CH/01/8398,<br>CH/01/8399, CH/01/8410, CH/01/8411, CH/01/8412, CH/01/8414, CH/01/8428,<br>CH/01/8484, CH/01/8487, CH/01/8521, CH/02/8842, CH/02/8927, CH/02/9357,<br>CH/02/9375, CH/02/9385, CH/02/9390, CH/02/9403, CH/02/9427, CH/02/9431,<br>CH/02/9433, CH/02/9470, CH/02/9484, CH/02/9485, CH/02/9486, CH/02/9487,<br>CH/02/9505, CH/02/9506, CH/02/9507, CH/02/9508, CH/02/9513, CH/02/9514,<br>CH/02/9515, CH/02/9528, CH/02/9529, CH/02/9530, CH/02/9532, CH/02/9542,<br>CH/02/9546, CH/02/9547, CH/02/9548, CH/02/9549, CH/02/9550, CH/02/9552,<br>CH/02/9553, CH/02/9594, CH/02/9595 and CH/02/9596, <i>Selimović and others v.</i><br><i>Republika Srpska</i> . . . . . | 135, 138–139, 145–146, 148, 170 |
| HRCBiH decision of 4 July 2003 in Case CH/98/668, <i>Čebić v. Bosnia and Herzegovina and<br/>the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 142, 146–147, 149               |
| HRCBiH decision of 7 November 2003 in Case CH/02/10074, <i>Popović v. the Federation of<br/>Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 144, 147                        |
| HRCBiH decision of 7 November 2003 in Cases CH/01/8569, CH/02/9613, CH/02/11195<br>and CH/02/11391, <i>Pašović and others v. the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 147                             |
| HRCBiH decision of 5 December 2003 in Case CH/02/9180, <i>Jovanović v. Federation of<br/>Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .   | 141, 149                        |
| HRCBiH decision of 5 December 2003 in Cases CH/02/8879, CH/02/8880, CH/02/8881,<br>CH/02/8882, CH/02/8883, CH/02/9384 and CH/02/9386, <i>Smajić and others v.</i><br><i>Republika Srpska</i> . . . . .  | 148, 207                        |
| HRCBiH decision of 22 December 2003 in Cases CH/02/10235, CH/02/11582,<br>CH/02/11608, CH/02/12070, CH/02/12565, CH/02/12655, CH/02/12749,<br>CH/03/13152 and CH/03/13204, <i>Mujić and others v. Republika Srpska</i> . . . . .  | 148, 207                        |
| HRCBiH decision of 22 December 2003 in Cases CH/02/12551, CH/02/12552,<br>CH/02/12553, CH/02/12554, CH/02/12555, CH/02/12556, CH/02/12557, CH/02/12558,<br>CH/02/12559, CH/02/12560, CH/02/12561, CH/02/12562 and CH/02/12563,<br><i>Husković and others v. the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> . . . . .  | 148, 207                        |
| HRCBiH decision of 22 December 2003 in Cases CH/02/9358, CH/02/10431,<br>CH/02/10432, CH/02/10433, CH/02/11210, CH/02/11442, CH/02/11566 and<br>CH/02/12094, <i>Malkić and others v. Republika of Srpska</i> . . . . .  | 147                             |
| HRCBiH decision of 22 December 2003 in Cases CH/02/9851, CH/02/10458,<br>CH/02/10459, CH/02/10460, CH/02/10461, CH/02/10462, CH/02/10463,<br>CH/02/10464, CH/02/11032 and CH/02/11246, <i>M.Č.<br/>and others v. Republika Srpska</i> . . . . .   | 148, 207                        |

## INTER-AMERICAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| IACtHR Judgment (Reparations and Costs) of 19 September 1996 in Case<br><i>Neira Alegria et al. v. Peru</i> . . . . . | 34, 131, 149, 171 |
| IACtHR Judgment of 24 January 1998 in <i>Blake v. Guatemala</i> , Ser. C, No. 48 . . . . .                            | 88                |
| IACtHR Judgment of 29 July 1998 in <i>Velásquez Rodríguez v. Honduras</i> . . . . .                                   | 76, 81            |
| IACtHR Judgment of 7 August 2004 in <i>Tibi v. Ecuador</i> Ser. C, No. 114. . . . .                                   | 172               |
| IACtHR Judgment of 31 August 2011 in <i>Contreras and others v. El Salvador</i> ,<br>Ser. C, No. 232 . . . . .        | 86                |

## INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

|  |    |
|--|----|
| ICC decision in the 2010 Kenya case (ICC-1/09-19-Corr) ..... | 30 |
|--|----|

## INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| ICTY judgment of 7 May 1997 in Case IT-94-1-T .....   | 168      |
| ICTY judgment of 14 January 2000 in Case IT-95-16-T .....   | 168      |
| ICTY judgment of 2 November 2001 in Case IT-98-30/1-T .....                                       | 168      |
| ICTY Judgment of 29 November 2002 in Case IT-98-32-T .....  | 169      |
| ICTY judgment of 19 April 2004 on IT-98-33-A, <i>Prosecutor against Krstić</i> .....              | 138      |
| ICTY Appeals Judgment of 17 December 2004 in Case IT-95-14/2-A .....                              | 170      |
| ICTY Judgment of 30 November 2005 in Case IT-03-66-T .....  | 157, 169 |
| ICTY Common revised second amended indictment,<br>dated 24 March 2006 in Case IT-97-25/1-PT ..... | 168      |
| ICTY judgment of 3 April 2008 in Case IT-04-84-T .....  | 169      |
| ICTY judgment of 15 April 2011 in Case IT-06-90-T .....   | 168      |
| ICTY Judgment of 12 December 2012 in Case IT-05-88/2-T .....                                      | 170      |

## EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| ECCC Judgment of 7 August 2014 in Case 002/01 ..... | 2, 196 |
|---|--------|