STEREOTYPES AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW
STEREOTYPES
AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

Edited by
Eva Brems
Alexandra Timmer

intersentia
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland
Stereotypes and Human Rights Law
© The editors and contributors severally 2016

The authors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.


D/2016/7849/48
NUR 828

CONTENTS

Introduction
Eva Brems and Alexandra Timmer ........................................... 1

1. Stereotyping as a Human Rights Issue: Crosscutting Themes ........ 2
2. Overview of the Volume .................................................. 5

Building Momentum Towards Change. How the UN’s Response to Stereotyping is Evolving
Simone Cusack ................................................................. 11

1. Introduction ................................................................. 11
2. Key Developments in UN Responses to Stereotyping. .................. 13
   2.1. There is Greater Visibility of Stereotyping within the UN .......... 14
      2.1.1. Gender Stereotyping ........................................ 14
      2.1.2. Disability Stereotyping ..................................... 20
      2.1.3. Other Types of Stereotyping ............................... 24
   2.2. Analysis of Gender Stereotyping is More Sophisticated .......... 26
      2.2.1. The Language Used to Engage with Gender Stereotyping is More Nuanced ........................................... 27
      2.2.2. Analysis of the Impact of Stereotyping is More In-Depth ... 28
   2.3. The OHCHR is Playing a Leadership Role on Stereotyping ....... 30
3. Strategies for Strengthening UN Responses to Stereotyping .......... 31
   3.1. Build Capacity to Understand and Identify Stereotypes Accurately ........................................................................... 32
      3.1.1. Failure to Identify Stereotypes Accurately ................... 32
      3.1.2. Build Capacity of UN Mechanisms ............................ 33
   3.2. Elaborate State Obligations Related to Stereotyping .............. 34
      3.2.1. Limited Guidance on State Obligations Related to Stereotyping ................................................................. 34
      3.2.2. Elaborate State Obligations Related to Stereotyping ...... 34
   3.3. Highlight Good Practice Examples of Challenging Stereotyping 36
      3.3.1. Limited Awareness of Effective Responses to Stereotyping 36
      3.3.2. Identify and Highlight Measures that are Bringing about Positive Change ............................................................... 37
4. Conclusion ................................................................. 37
## Gender Stereotyping in Domestic Violence Cases. An Analysis of the European Court of Human Rights' Jurisprudence

Lourdes Peroni and Alexandra Timmer ............................. 39

1. The Conceptual and Legal Framework ...................................... 40
   1.1. On Stereotypes ............................................... 40
   1.2. Gender Stereotypes as a Root Cause of Violence Against Women in International Human Rights Law .................... 42

2. Naming and Contesting Gender Stereotypes in Domestic Violence Case Law .......................................................... 48
   2.1. Naming Gender Stereotypes and Exposing their Harms ........ 48
      2.1.1. Women are (or Ought to be) Weak, Passive and Helpless ... 49
      2.1.2. Women Ought to be Submissive .................................. 51
      2.1.3. Women (Ought to) Endure Men’s Aggressiveness or Violence (and Other Gender Stereotypes) .............. 54
      2.1.4. Women are Financially Dependent .............................. 55
      2.1.5. Women are Mothers and Homemakers (and Other Gender Stereotypes) .................................................. 57
   2.2. Contesting Gender Stereotypes ................................ 61
      2.2.1. Contesting Individuals’ Stereotyping: States’ Positive Obligations ............................................. 61
      2.2.2. Contesting Gender Stereotyping by the State: Discrimination ......................................................... 63

3. Conclusion ........................................................ 65

## Gender Stereotyping in the Case Law of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Verónica Undurraga .............................................. 67

1. The Inter-American Human Rights System ........................... 68
2. Gender and Gender Stereotyping in the Inter-American Human Rights System .................................................. 69
   2.1. The Cotton Field Case ................................................ 70
   2.2. The Atala Case ................................................ 74
3. Some Common Approaches to Both Cases ............................. 77
4. Debunking Gender Stereotypes through Adjudication ............ 81
5. Separating the Wheat from the Chaff ................................ 83
6. Preparing for Backlash .............................................. 89
### ‘My Sense of Humanity Has Gone Down the Drain’. Stereotypes, Stigma and Sanism

Michael Perlin .................................................... 95

1. How Stereotypes Limit Human Rights ............................... 97
   1.1. Lack of Dignity .............................................. 100
   1.2. Stereotypes Contaminate Legislative Debate ................... 102
   1.3. ‘Hearts and Minds’ ........................................... 104
   1.4. Value of Test Cases ........................................... 106
   1.5. Relationship to Other Sorts of Stigma and Stereotypes ........ 110
   1.6. Impact of the CRPD .......................................... 112
2. Therapeutic Jurisprudence ......................................... 114
3. Conclusion ....................................................... 117

### Racial Stereotypes and Human Rights

Mathias Möschel ................................................. 119

1. Racial Stereotypes and Violence .................................... 121
   1.1. In Relation to State-Organised Violence/Genocide .............. 121
   1.2. Racially Motivated/Biased Violence by State or Public Actors ... 123
   1.3. Racially Motivated/Biased Violence by Private Actors .......... 126
2. Racial Stereotypes in the Absence of Violence ....................... 127
   2.1. Racial Bias in Court Decisions ................................ 127
   2.2. Racial Profiling .............................................. 128
   2.3. Racial Imagery or Depictions not Leading to Violence .......... 129
3. Specialised Human Rights Bodies Against Racism ................... 131
   3.1. International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD) ............................. 131
       3.1.1. Article 7 ............................................... 131
       3.1.2. Article 4 ............................................... 134
   3.2. European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) . 136

### The Head of the Woman is the Man. The Failure to Address Gender Stereotypes in the Legal Procedures around the Dutch SGP

Rikki Holtmaat.................................................. 143

1. The SGP: its Origins and Principles, and the Political and Legal Responses to its Discriminatory Policy ............................. 146
   1.1. The SGP: its Origins and Principles ................................ 146
   1.2. The Position of the Dutch Government as Regards the SGP ..... 149
   1.3. Legal Procedures Against the SGP and Against the Dutch State Supporting the SGP .................................................. 151
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.</th>
<th>The Construction of the Legal Problem as an Issue of Unequal Treatment of Women</th>
<th>154</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1.</td>
<td>Four Different Possibilities to Legally Address the SGP’s Policy to Exclude Women from Political Participation</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.</td>
<td>The Construction of the SGP’s Policy as a Case of Unequal Treatment of Women</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.</td>
<td>The Impossibility of Justifying Discrimination Against Women with an Appeal to Traditional Gender Roles</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The Metaphors Used in the Justification Test in Sex-Equality Cases</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.</td>
<td>The Disastrous Language of Conflicting Rights</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.</td>
<td>Towards Using a Different Metaphor</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gender Stereotyping in the Military. Insights From Court Cases**

Rebecca Cook and Cornelia Weiss | 175 |

| 1. | Introduction | 175 |
| 2. | Analysis of Cases | 178 |
| 2.1. | Exclusion Cases | 178 |
| 2.2. | Unequal Treatment Cases | 188 |
| 2.3. | Sexual Assault Cases | 192 |
| 3. | Conclusion | 197 |