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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFSJ</td>
<td>Area of Freedom, Security and Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQIM</td>
<td>Al-Qaeda Islamic Maghreb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art.</td>
<td>Article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Air Transport Visa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE</td>
<td>Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVergG</td>
<td>Bundesverfassungsgericht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Common Agricultural Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>Comité Article Trente-Six</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>Convergence and Competitiveness Instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEEP</td>
<td>European Centre of Employers and Enterprises providing Public services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>Court of First Instance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Charter of Fundamental Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSP</td>
<td>Common Foreign and Security Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISIA</td>
<td>Convention Implementing the Schengen Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJEU</td>
<td>Court of Justice of the European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COE</td>
<td>Council of Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSAC</td>
<td>Conference of Parliamentary Committees for Union Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSI</td>
<td>Standing Committee on Internal Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAS</td>
<td>Centre public d’aide sociale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDP</td>
<td>Common Security and Defence Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Common Strategic Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG</td>
<td>Directorate General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAEC</td>
<td>European Atomic Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASO</td>
<td>European Asylum Support Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAW</td>
<td>European Arrest Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB</td>
<td>European Central Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHR</td>
<td>European Convention on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECJ</td>
<td>European Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOFIN</td>
<td>Economic and Financial Affairs Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOWAS</td>
<td>Economic Community of West African States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSC</td>
<td>European Coal and Steel Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECtHR</td>
<td>European Court of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>European Currency Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDIU</td>
<td>European Drug Intelligence Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP</td>
<td>Excessive Deficit Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>European Economic Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEAS</td>
<td>European External Action Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEB</td>
<td>European Environmental Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>European Economic Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EES</td>
<td>European Employment Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EESC</td>
<td>European Employment and Social Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEW</td>
<td>European Evidence Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSF</td>
<td>European Financial Stability Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFSM</td>
<td>European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFTA</td>
<td>European Free Trade Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIO</td>
<td>European Investigation Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP</td>
<td>Excessive Imbalance Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>European Monetary System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMU</td>
<td>Economic and Monetary Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGO</td>
<td>Environmental Non-Governmental Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVI Committee</td>
<td>Committee on Environment, Public Health and Food Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>European Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC</td>
<td>European Political Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPC</td>
<td>European Political Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Euro Plus Pact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPPO</td>
<td>European Public Prosecutor Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPU</td>
<td>European Political Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>Exchange Rate Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTA</td>
<td>European Road Transport Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>EFTA Surveillance Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC</td>
<td>European Social Charter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCB</td>
<td>European System of Central Banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCR</td>
<td>European Committee of Social Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESF</td>
<td>European Social Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESM</td>
<td>European Stability Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS</td>
<td>European Security Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETUC</td>
<td>European Trade Union Confederation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUCLID</td>
<td>European Union CSDP mission in Niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUCHAR</td>
<td>European Union’s Charter of Fundamental Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUJUST LEX</td>
<td>European Union Integrated Rule of Law Mission for Iraq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUJUST THEMIS</td>
<td>European Union Rule of Law Mission in Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULEX</td>
<td>European Union Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROPOL</td>
<td>European Police Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUTM Mali</td>
<td>European Union military mission to contribute to the training of the Malian Armed Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWS</td>
<td>Early Warning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Framework Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPÖ</td>
<td>Freedom Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA</td>
<td>Fundamental Rights Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLF</td>
<td>Greek Loan Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICJ</td>
<td>International Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAO</td>
<td>International Civil Aviation Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICESCR</td>
<td>International Convenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JHA</td>
<td>Justice and Home Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBE Committee</td>
<td>Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBM</td>
<td>Market-Based Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCEL</td>
<td>Maastricht Centre for European Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>Members of European Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN</td>
<td>Most Favoured Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>Macroeconomic Imbalance Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA</td>
<td>Mutual Legal Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Member of Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoU</td>
<td>Memorandum of Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTO</td>
<td>Medium-Term Budgetary Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATO</td>
<td>North Atlantic Treaty Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP</td>
<td>National Reform Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSR</td>
<td>National Strategy Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAPEC</td>
<td>Organisation of Arab Petroleum Exporting Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJ</td>
<td>Official Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLAF</td>
<td>Office Européen de Lutte Anti-fraude (European Anti-Fraud Office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMC</td>
<td>Open Method of Coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMT</td>
<td>Outright Monetary Transaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONEm</td>
<td>Office national de l’emploi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSCE</td>
<td>Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVP</td>
<td>Austrian People’s Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>Party of European Socialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIL</td>
<td>Private International Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PISA</td>
<td>Programme for International Student Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLO</td>
<td>Palestine Liberation Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNR</td>
<td>Personal Name Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>Patients’ Rights Directive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMV</td>
<td>Qualified Majority Voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF</td>
<td>Rote Armee Fraktion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Directive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>European Cooperative Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG</td>
<td>Stability, Coordination and Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIFA</td>
<td>Strategic Committee on Immigration, Frontiers and Asylum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCP</td>
<td>Stability and Convergence Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>Single European Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGP</td>
<td>Stability and Growth Pact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small and Middle-sized Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>Securities Markets Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNM</td>
<td>Stichting Natuur en Milieu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Social Policy Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>European Private Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPM</td>
<td>Social Protection Performance Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSI</td>
<td>social protection and social inclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPV</td>
<td>Special Purpose Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACIS</td>
<td>Technical Assistance for the Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEPSA</td>
<td>Trans European Policy Studies Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEU</td>
<td>Treaty on European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFEU</td>
<td>Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREVIE</td>
<td>Terrorisme, Radicalisme, Extrémisme et Violence Internationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSCG</td>
<td>Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICE</td>
<td>Union of Industrial and Employers’ Confederations of Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEU</td>
<td>Western European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Trade Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWF</td>
<td>World Wild Fund</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I take great pleasure, as the Dean of Maastricht University’s Faculty of Law and a member of the Maastricht Centre for European Law (MCEL), to introduce this edited volume concerning the Maastricht Treaty and the development of EU law in the past two decades. This volume contains contributions written by authors who all are or were members of our Faculty or MCEL. This book is the product of a collective effort of dear colleagues and friends of mine all of whom I am proud to be associated with.

In addition it is a book that accurately reflects how our Faculty looks at EU law, both as regards education as well as research. The European law programmes that we offer, both at the Bachelor and Master levels, essentially study EU law from two different but complementary angles. The first entails a top-down approach, studying EU law and analysing how it impacts on national law. The second is rather a bottom-up approach and explores how national law, or the common law of Europe, can help to advance EU Law. This book does exactly the same: it brings together contributions written from an EU law perspective and articles viewing and analysing the Maastricht Treaty from a national angle. In so doing, the book also offers new insights and enhances our understanding of the impact the Treaty has had.

A wide variety of topics are touched upon in this book, including the ‘classic’ ones that have consistently dominated debates on ‘Maastricht’ – such as EMU, the so-called second and third pillars and Union citizenship – as well as less eye-catching topics but nonetheless significant ones – such as the European Parliament’s right of enquiry, industrial and internal market policy or consumer policy. Each of the contributions gives a proper answer to the questions of what Maastricht has introduced in the field in question, why this was done and what the impact of these changes has been in the last 20 years. The answers to the latter question differ. There are failures, there are success stories and in some cases the jury is still out. Views on ‘how important Maastricht has been’ may differ – from topic to topic, from discipline to discipline, from country to country –, but there is no denying that the Treaty on European Union has had an impact that perhaps no other European treaty has had. The Treaty, and thus the city where it was signed and adopted, are simply part of European history.
I thank and compliment all those who have contributed to this volume and whole-heartedly recommend it to all those having an interest in European law and politics.

Hildegard Schneider
Dean, Faculty of Law
Professor of European Migration Law