



# Constitutional Conversations in Europe



Editors:  
Monica Claes  
Maartje de Visser  
Patricia Popelier  
Catherine Van de  
Heyning

# Constitutional Conversations in Europe

Actors, Topics and Procedures

Monica Claes, Maartje de Visser, Patricia Popelier and Catherine Van de Heyning (eds.)  
Constitutional Conversations in Europe – Actors, Topics and Procedures

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement no. 207279 (EuNaCon) and from the FWO (fundamental research organization Flanders) and Antwerp University.

Intersentia Publishing Ltd  
Trinity House | Cambridge Business Park | Cowley Road  
Cambridge | CB4 0WZ | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 393 753 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

ISBN 978-1-78068-069-9  
D/2012/7849/71  
NUR 823

© 2012 Intersentia  
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk



Cover picture: The Magna Carta – Wikimedia commons

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

## CONTENTS

### **Introduction: On constitutional conversations**

Monica Claes, Maartje de Visser, Patricia Popelier and Catherine Van de Heyning.....	1
1. The rise of conversations.....	1
2. Some comments on terminology.....	3
3. Organisation of the book.....	5
4. Some final remarks.....	8

### PART I

NORMATIVE PERSPECTIVES ON CONSTITUTIONAL CONVERSATIONS ...	11
--	----

#### **Chapter 1.**

##### **Half a Case at a Time: Dealing with Judicial Minimalism at the European Court of Justice**

Daniel Sarmiento.....	13
1. Judicial minimalism, EU-style.....	14
2. A topography of the minimalist case law of the CJEU.....	19
3. The causes of minimalism.....	22
3.1. External choice-oriented minimalism.....	23
3.2. Internal choice-oriented minimalism.....	26
4. The consequences of minimalism.....	29
5. An assessment.....	32
6. Fixing the ills and righting the wrongs of minimalism: nudge or reform?.....	35

#### **Chapter 2.**

##### **An Essay on How the Discourse on Sovereignty and on the Co-operativeness of National Courts has Diverted Attention from the Erosion of Classic Constitutional Rights in the EU**

Anneli Albi.....	41
1. Introduction: Constitutional rights and the CJEU's rulings on secret legislation, blanket electronic surveillance and property rights.....	41

2.	The European Arrest Warrant in the EU constitutional law discourse: the focus on relinquishing sovereignty and compliance with <i>Pupino</i> . . . . .	46
3.	Presumption of innocence, the right to judicial protection and the rule of law . . . . .	48
3.1.	<i>Nullum crimen, nulla poena sine lege</i> . . . . .	50
4.	The right to a fair trial and the heightened likelihood of miscarriages of justice: problems regarding translation and access to a lawyer . . . . .	51
5.	The helplessness of the ‘simple person’ in a foreign prison: a continued relevance of the bond between the state and the citizen? . . . . .	53
6.	Fair trial and <i>in absentia</i> judgments. . . . .	55
7.	The 55,000 arrest warrants and the 12,000 individuals caught in the system: calls for proportionality . . . . .	57
8.	Transplanting mutual recognition from internal market to criminal law: towards ever closer integration with ‘almost total absence of political debate’ and at any cost? . . . . .	58
9.	A call for ‘substantive co-operative constitutionalism’: the need for a more proactive role for the national constitutional courts in judicial dialogues with the Court of Justice of the EU. . . . .	61

PART II

MODALITIES OF CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND THE EUROPEAN COURTS . . . . .	71
---	----

**Chapter 3.**

**Judicial Conversations in Multilevel Constitutionalism. The Belgian Case**

Patricia Popelier . . . . .	73	
1.	Introduction: questions underlying the assessment of judicial conversations in Belgium . . . . .	73
2.	Position of the Belgian courts: an introduction to Belgian judicial organisation . . . . .	74
2.1.	The starting position of the courts in the Belgian judicial system . . . . .	74
2.2.	Positions in the light of the europeanisation of the constitution . . . . .	75
3.	A methodological note . . . . .	76
3.1.	The object of study: forms of judicial conversations. . . . .	76
3.2.	Looking for data: samples . . . . .	76
3.3.	Interpretation of data: purpose of judicial conversations . . . . .	77
4.	Preconditions: tools for judicial conversations . . . . .	78
4.1.	Methods of publication . . . . .	79
4.2.	Interrelationships . . . . .	79
4.3.	Networks and conferences . . . . .	80
5.	Multilevel conversations: confirmation of a Europe-friendly stance . . . . .	81
5.1.	Diffusion of European law into domestic jurisdiction . . . . .	81
5.2.	Preliminary references. . . . .	82
5.2.1.	The Constitutional Court . . . . .	83
5.2.2.	The Court of Cassation . . . . .	84

5.2.3.	The Council of State . . . . .	85
5.3.	Citations of the case law of the European courts. . . . .	85
5.3.1.	The Constitutional Court . . . . .	86
5.3.2.	The Court of Cassation . . . . .	86
5.3.3.	The Council of State . . . . .	88
5.4.	Reasoning in the domestic judgments . . . . .	88
5.5.	Conclusion . . . . .	89
6.	Multilevel conversations: a one-way flow of arguments . . . . .	90
7.	Horizontal conversations: interpretative competition. . . . .	91
7.1.	Parallels in the willingness to engage in judicial conversations . . . . .	92
7.1.1.	Preliminary referrals . . . . .	92
7.1.2.	Reference to other national courts . . . . .	94
7.1.3.	Reasoning. . . . .	96
7.2.	The use of European law in horizontal dialogue. . . . .	96
7.3.	Horizontal conversations strengthen the position of national courts in relation to the European courts. . . . .	97
8.	Conclusion . . . . .	98

#### Chapter 4.

#### **The Italian Constitutional Court and the European Court of Justice: a Progressive Overlapping between the Supranational and the Domestic Dimensions**

	Oreste Pollicino . . . . .	101
1.	Introduction and methodological remarks . . . . .	101
2.	The nursery rhyme from a different perspective. . . . .	103
3.	After <i>Granital</i> : the (absence of) changes brought by the introduction of Article 117, par. 1 of the Constitution. . . . .	106
4.	From an indirect to a (partially) direct dialogue: the first preliminary reference of the ICC to the CJEU . . . . .	112
5.	The extension of the conversation: the interplay between the common judges and the overlapping between the constitutional case law in EU matters and the constitutional case law in ECHR matters . . . . .	118
6.	Final remarks . . . . .	124

#### Chapter 5.

#### **The Czech Constitutional Court and the Court of Justice: Between Fascination and Securing Autonomy**

	Pavel Molek. . . . .	131
1.	Direct relations between the Court of Justice and the CCC: guided by the Court of Justice . . . . .	133
1.1.	Waiting for a preliminary ruling . . . . .	134
1.2.	Court of Justice case-law in the decisions of the CCC . . . . .	138

2.	The CCC as the gatekeeper of Czech law: EU law as a friend at the gates? . . . . .	140
2.1.	Lisbon I decision . . . . .	140
2.2.	... and the others . . . . .	143
3.	Ex post constitutional review of Czech law implementing EU law . . . . .	143
4.	The CCC supervising the communication between ordinary courts and the Court of Justice: scared of being bypassed? . . . . .	151
5.	Conclusions: listen and respect, but neither ask nor compete . . . . .	157

**Chapter 6.**

**Mutual Recognition and Fundamental Constitutional Rights. The first preliminary reference of the Spanish Constitutional Court**

	Maribel González Pascual . . . . .	161
1.	The Spanish Constitutional Court and EU Law . . . . .	161
2.	The European Arrest Warrant: mutual recognition and fundamental rights . . . . .	163
3.	The extradition of a person condemned <i>in absentia</i> : the Spanish case law . . . . .	165
4.	Three ways to cope with the clash between the Constitutional Rights and the EAW . . . . .	168
4.1.	The refusal of the European Arrest Warrant: judgment 199/2009 of the Spanish Constitutional Court . . . . .	169
4.2.	The reference of a preliminary ruling; the <i>Melloni</i> case . . . . .	170
4.2.1.	The scope of Articles 47 and 48 of EU Charter of Fundamental Rights . . . . .	170
4.2.2.	The national fundamental rights and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights . . . . .	171
4.2.3.	Advantages and inconsistencies of the decision of the Spanish Constitutional Court . . . . .	172
4.3.	The need to change the case law of the Constitutional Court: the dissenting opinion . . . . .	174
5.	The cooperation among Courts in Europe: the need for a consistent interpretation . . . . .	174

PART III

	THE CONTENT OF CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND THE EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE . . . . .	179
--	--	-----

**Chapter 7.**

**The European Perspective: from *lingua franca* to a common language**

	Catherine Van de Heyning . . . . .	181
1.	Introduction . . . . .	181
2.	The changing content of European judicial conversations . . . . .	183
2.1.	The changing content of constitutional conversations . . . . .	183
2.2.	Constitutional boundaries . . . . .	188



3.	The CJEU focus on <i>lingua franca</i> . . . . .	190
3.1.	The lack of genuine conversation . . . . .	190
3.2.	The need for a common language . . . . .	193
4.	In search of common language . . . . .	198
4.1.	New possibilities in the Lisbon Treaty . . . . .	198
4.2.	Conditions for national identity as common language . . . . .	201
5.	Conclusion . . . . .	203

## Chapter 8.

### Negotiating Constitutional Identity or Whose Identity is It Anyway?

	Monica Claes . . . . .	205
1.	Introduction . . . . .	205
2.	A European community of courts: conversations between national constitutional courts and the CJEU . . . . .	208
3.	The European discovery of the concept of ‘constitutional identity’ . . . . .	213
3.1.	The advent of ‘national identity’ . . . . .	215
3.2.	A new context . . . . .	218
3.3.	‘National and constitutional identity’ in the case law of the constitutional courts . . . . .	222
3.3.1.	Case law of the European Court . . . . .	226
4.	Back to judicial conversations . . . . .	229
5.	Modalities of the conversation on national identities . . . . .	230
6.	In lieu of conclusions . . . . .	233

## Chapter 9.

### Attack or Retreat? Evolving Themes and Strategies of the Judicial Dialogue between the German Constitutional Court and the European Court of Justice

	Daniel Thym . . . . .	235
1.	Introduction . . . . .	235
2.	Traditional approach: fundamental rights . . . . .	236
3.	<i>Maastricht</i> : democracy & competences . . . . .	237
3.1.	<i>Ultra vires</i> review . . . . .	238
3.2.	<i>Honeywell</i> : judicial retreat . . . . .	239
4.	Lisbon: sovereignty & constitutional identity . . . . .	241
4.1.	Political and academic context of the German <i>Lisbon</i> judgment . . . . .	241
4.2.	New <i>leitmotiv</i> : sovereign statehood . . . . .	242
4.3.	Constitutional identity control . . . . .	243
5.	Pluralist multi-level constitutionalism . . . . .	246

**Chapter 10.**

**The French Constitutional Council and the CJEU: between splendid isolation, communication and forced dialogue**

François-Xavier Millet .....	251
1. The Constitutional Council’s signalling of its red lines through constitutional identity.....	254
1.1. Adjudicating statutes implementing EU secondary law: how constitutional identity surfaced.....	254
1.2. Providing national interpretations of EU primary law: unveiling French constitutional identity .....	256
2. The CJEU’s response to the domestic signals: how to come to terms with constitutional identity .....	261
2.1. Adjudicating <i>lois de transposition</i> : imposing constitutional conversation.....	262
2.2. The unknown status of interpretations of EU law by the Constitutional Council: the case for engaging into constitutional conversation.....	264

**Chapter 11.**

**Sending Smoke Signals to Luxembourg – The Polish Constitutional Tribunal in Dialogue with the ECJ**

Krystyna Kowalik-Bańczyk .....	267
1. The possibility of open dialogue between the Polish Constitutional Tribunal and the ECJ.....	269
2. Indirect dialogue between ECJ and the Polish Constitutional Tribunal .....	272
3. The <i>Lisbon</i> judgment .....	275
3.1. The notion of sovereignty and its core content .....	277
3.2. EU membership and Polish sovereignty .....	278
3.3. Interference with different legislative centres .....	279
3.4. Exclusion of a ‘total’ transfer of competences .....	280
3.5. Sovereignty in constitutional jurisprudence of different Member States on the Lisbon Treaty.....	281
4. The implications of <i>Lisbon</i> judgment .....	282

PART IV

EXPANDING THE CONVERSATION: THE INFLUENCE OF THE

EUROPEAN MANDATE OF ORDINARY COURTS..... 285

**Chapter 12.**

**The Impact of the European Mandate of Ordinary Courts on the Position of Constitutional Courts**

Michal Bobek .....	287
1. Introduction: alternatives after Lisbon.....	287

2.	Setting the scene: the birth of constitutional uniqueness . . . . .	288
3.	The challenges. . . . .	290
3.1.	Type of challenge . . . . .	291
3.2.	Gravity of the challenge: the decrease in <i>caput</i> . . . . .	296
3.2.1.	<i>Capitis deminutio minima</i> : not blocking access to a different master . . . . .	296
3.2.2.	<i>Capitis deminutio media</i> : no more internal review exclusivity. . . . .	296
3.2.3.	<i>Capitis deminutio maxima</i> : constitutional marginalisation as a consequence of the Charter? . . . . .	301
4.	The alternative after Lisbon: give and take, but integrate . . . . .	304
5.	Conclusion . . . . .	308

### Chapter 13.

#### The *Melki* Way: The *Melki* Case and Everything You Always Wanted to Know About French Judicial Politics (but Were Afraid to Ask)

	Arthur Deyevre . . . . .	309
1.	Introduction . . . . .	309
2.	A multi-character story. . . . .	310
3.	The constitutional <i>status quo</i> . . . . .	312
3.1.	Institutional limits to the expansion of constitutional law . . . . .	312
3.2.	Better off under the <i>status quo</i> : the <i>Conseil d'Etat</i> and the <i>Cour de cassation</i> . . . . .	313
4.	Changing the institutional configuration of the judiciary: the new concrete review mechanism . . . . .	315
5.	Reframing the reform: the Constitutional Council and the constitutional law lobby . . . . .	316
6.	Mounting tensions. . . . .	318
7.	<i>Dénouement</i> . . . . .	320
8.	Epilogue: the aftermath of <i>Melki</i> . . . . .	321

### Chapter 14.

#### The Protection of Fundamental Rights by the Belgian Constitutional Court and the *Melki-Abdeli* Judgment of the European Court of Justice

	Jan Velaers. . . . .	323
1.	Introduction: centralised and diffuse review of laws and the <i>Melki-Abdeli</i> judgment of the CJEU. . . . .	323
2.	The Belgian situation: the 'war of the judges' . . . . .	325
3.	The scope of the Belgian legislative provision. . . . .	327
4.	Questions on compatibility with EU law. . . . .	330
5.	The judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union . . . . .	332
5.1.	References for a preliminary ruling possible at any time. . . . .	332

5.2.	The necessity of referring a question for a preliminary ruling on the validity of Directives to the Court of Justice of the European Union . . . . .	334
5.3.	Measures to ensure the interim protection of the rights conferred under EU law . . . . .	335
5.4.	Enforcement of EU law after the proceedings before the constitutional court . . . . .	336
6.	Two major problems still remain . . . . .	338
6.1.	The interpretation of the national Constitution in conformity with international and European Law . . . . .	339
6.2.	Preliminary references from the Constitutional Court to the CJEU . . . . .	340
7.	Conclusion . . . . .	341

**Chapter 15.**

**Changing the Conversation in the Netherlands? Two Recent Legislative Proposals Evaluated from a European and Comparative Perspective**

	Maartje de Visser . . . . .	343
1.	Introduction . . . . .	343
2.	Dutch judges, the Dutch constitution and EU law: a primer . . . . .	343
3.	Lesson 1: designing the domestic preliminary reference procedure . . . . .	345
3.1.	Background and characteristics of the proposed Dutch preliminary reference procedure . . . . .	346
3.2.	The design of the procedure in European and comparative perspective . . . . .	347
3.3.	A Dutch <i>Melki</i> ? . . . . .	349
3.4.	Dutch judges and Article 267 TFEU after the introduction of a national reference procedure . . . . .	353
4.	Lesson 2: towards constitutional adjudication? . . . . .	355
4.1.	Characteristics of the proposal and some recent developments . . . . .	356
4.2.	The design of judicial constitutional review from a comparative perspective . . . . .	358
4.3.	The design of judicial constitutional review from a European perspective . . . . .	363
5.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	367

## PART V

'THE OTHER EUROPE': A CONVERSATIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS .....	369
---	-----

**Chapter 16.****The Pilot Judgment Procedure Before the European Court of Human Rights as  
an Instrument for Dialogue**

Janeke Gerards .....	371
1. Introduction .....	371
2. Setting the stage: why is 'conversation' so important for the ECtHR? .....	373
3. General introduction to the ECtHR's pilot judgment procedure. ....	377
4. First dialogical element: listening to the respondent state and giving clear answers. ....	380
4.1. Listening to the national authorities: analysing the systemic problem .....	380
4.2. Giving clear answers: defining the desired remedy. ....	381
4.2.1. The declaratory character of the Court's judgments. ....	381
4.2.2. Suggested remedies in individual cases. ....	382
4.2.3. Suggested remedies in pilot judgment procedures .....	384
5. Second dialogical element: supervision of the execution of judgments .....	385
6. Third dialogical element: relations after execution of the judgment. ....	388
6.1. The relationship between the CoM and the ECtHR .....	388
6.2. The relationship between the Court and the national authorities .....	392
6.3. Conclusion .....	395
7. Conclusion: the 'conversational' potential of the pilot judgment procedure .....	395

